**Committee Name: SAPC**

**Meeting Date & Time: October 7, 2019 2:01 p.m.**

**Meeting Location: A&S 116**

**Attendance**:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Members “P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets** | | | |
| P | Jamie Addy | P | Amelia Lord |
| P | Kevin Blanch | A | Ben McMillian |
| R | Shawn Brooks | P | Christopher Newsome |
| P | Angela Criscoe | R | Amy Pinney |
| R | Paulette Cross | P | Joanna Swartz |
| P | Sophia Gonzalez | P | Cameron Watts |
| P | Monica Ketchie | P | Diana Young |
| P | Leng Ling |  |  |
| Guests – Tom Miles, Melissa Gerrior, Emily Brookshire, Peter Pendleton | | | |
|  | *Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.* |  |  |
|  | \*Denotes new discussion on old business. |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Agenda Topic (Committees should feel free to customize this template to make it as functional for them as possible. Other categories of topics might include Reports, Information Items, Unfinished Business, etc.) | Discussions & Conclusions | Action or Recommendations | Follow-Up {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)} |
| **I. Call to order**  2:01 |  |  |  |
| **II. Approval of Agenda** | Approved |  |  |
| **III. Approval of Minutes** | Difficulty sending minutes | Contact Brant Wells |  |
| **IV. Old Business/Review of**  **Actions/Recommendations** | Guests- Melissa Gerrior &Emily Brookshire – the HUB  Student Guests- Peter Pendleton |  |  |
| **1.Adoption of operating procedures** | Floor is open for discussion:   * Request for the procedures to be projected for the committee to go over together * Should Quorum be further defined?   Voting members definition is enough   * Update emails * Amendment is added to change emails * Passes Unanimously | * Update with new emails of the chair and secretary | New procedures will be sent to committee |
| **2. Guests** | Emily Brookshire: here to answer questions on victims’ services  Melissa Gerrior: Here to speak for project brave  Peter Pendleton: provide gender identity perspective |  |  |
| **V. New Business** Actions/Recommendations |  |  |  |
| **1.Nondiscrimination clause** | Quiana Wilson -   * Not advising against it but are we in a place to make a political statement?   What is the level of concern?   * State level has been the one who have made the initial barrier   The floor is open:   * We want to continue action * Chance of President shooting it down due to the political climate   Flowchart of how a Motion/ Resolution is passed is shared with the committee   * University Senate seemed supportive * Motion to add vote to Agenda | Wilson- looking further into other universities actions  Motion to amend – Voting on the nondiscrimination clause | Bring to Senate at next meeting |
| **Vote Discussion** | Move to vote - discussion   * GCSU clause is pulled up * Ethnicity is also excluded   SGA clause is read by the secretary   * Includes gender identity and gender expression * Would we like to adopt this? * SGA would pass a resolution to include color for parallelism in the statements * SGA’s clause was passed 3 years ago * To pass this SGA Senate could have it confirmed in 3 weeks * University Senate would take longer but the sooner it is on the agenda the better   Points made that the committee should be fully aware of the differences of sex, gender, gender identity, and gender expression   * Each are defined and clarifications are made * It is agreed that each should be included   The Board of Regents policy also includes information that is not included in our clause.  But the committee feels that the best justification for the change is that the Students have already changed the clause within their own power   * Concerns brought up again about the legal department * If we go through this an it was ultimately struck down by legal then nothing has changed * The committee should take positions based off campus beliefs and voice instead of the current legal position   SGA clause is read again. Passed including Color which is a pending change in SGA.  Unanimous passing of motion to adopt the language of the SGA clause including color. | SGA will pass a resolution to update their clause to include Color. This would make SGA’s cause the most comprehensive and therefore the best to adopt for the university.  SAPC will put this on the Agenda for the upcoming US meeting  Committee strives to be a voice of change for University but we are just recommending that change not  Recommended change:  “...including but not limited to age, color, race, gender, religion, non-religion, sexual orientation, disability, veteran status, genetic information, gender identity, gender expression, or national origin.”  What is the deadline for the Agenda? |  |
| **2. The HUB** | Open discussion:  What have been the big changes of the HUBs organization?   * Name changed from student affairs to division of Student Life * Not much has changed within the HUB besides some personnel changes   Bright House   * Located at Lafayette Square * Right behind Old Tyme behind student housing * Provide forensic exams * Legal/criminal advocates * Counselor * How did they get here? There were conversations over the years with the DA over the fact that we did not have a crisis center * CJCC- provides the grant * Southern Crescent is the umbrella   What to do first when a student talks with a mandatory reporter?   * Identify yourself as a reporter * Complete the reporting form first * Let them know the resources   Many people are unaware that they are reporters.  Why was this change made?  Specifically making the women's center a mandatory reporter area   * The change is supportive services removed from women's center * USG came here to work with us to move us out of supportive services * This seems to be the way DOJ is moving   Concern over access to services and knowledge of services   * Working with public safety for Student transportation * Faculty feel helpless in this situation because there is no control over the situation * Where is the level of trust?   How can we improve the situation?   * Counseling services was the only place before the women's center * Bright House is even more confidential due to its location off campus * Campus police are also reporters so having them transported to the bright house would not be ideal   Counseling Services-   * Is trained but women's center was preferred to students * Walking distant on main campus   Why can’t we have counseling on main campus?   * The center provides protection for the students and counselors * Must have a director and all safety nets   Concerns over the timing of accident and check up  How can we have more people who do not have to mandatory report?   * Very few and not easy to gain * Emily had it through a grant   The HUB has created a level of personal connection on main campus and the fact that this committee is so confused is alarming   * How is a student expected to understand this? * Others must be more confused on campus since we have been presented all facts.   The Title IX office is responsible for helping to inform the campus. They are working through details before fully alerting campus.  Funding for the HUB:  2 employees were on a grant which ended last month   * There is an option to reapply * Changes with title IX also affects this * Student worker budget in VP’s office given to the HUB to help keep it open   What student services would be lost if we can not fund these 2 positions?   * Project Brave- educational programs on ally-ship, domestic violence intervention, and assault * LGBTQ+ professional services would be lost * Star ally training * Lose all the institutional advocacy for LGBTQ+ * Support for victims referred through Counseling * Support for those who have experienced abuse outside of USG system * No confidential employee for assault survivors * Specialized education in trauma and support * Students who need understanding of process   Is there a plan to put further a plan for budget request?   * Nothing yet * Conversation must be brought up | Continue to bring it up on the agenda |  |
| **3. Bias Reporting** | Motion to move it onto the next agenda |  |  |
| VI. Next Meeting | 1. Bias Reporting  2. Nondiscrimination Clause  3. HIB Funding  November 1st |  |  |
| VII. Adjournment | 3:20pm |  |  |

**Distribution(as determined in committee operating procedure – one possibility given):**

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

**Approved by:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

**Guidance**

**Committee Name:**

**Committee Officers:**

**Academic Year:**

**Aggregate Member Attendance at Committee Meetings for the Academic Year:**

**“P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | | | | | | | | | |
| Meeting Dates | | Date 1 | Date2 | Date3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member Name 1 | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member Name 2 | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member Name 3 | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE DATE \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_-

(Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)