

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS

MEETING DATE & TIME: 2 DECEMBER 2016; 3:30 –4:45

MEETING LOCATION: TERRELL HALL, ROOM 114

ATTENDANCE:

MEMBERS	“P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets
----------------	---

P	Alex Blazer (CoAS, FAPC Chair)	P	Carol Sapp (CoHS, APC Chair)
P	Jan Hoffmann Clark (CoAS, RPIPC Chair)	P	Costas Spirou (Interim Provost)
R	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Vice-Chair)	R	Susan Steele (CoHS, ECUS Chair Emeritus)
R	Steve Dorman (University President)	P	John R. Swinton (CoB, ECUS Chair)
P	Heidi Fowler (CoHS, SAPC Chair)	P	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)
P	Chavonda Mills (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)	P	Shaundra Walker (Library, ECUS Member)
P	Lyndall Muschell (CoE, CAPC Chair)		

GUESTS
Mary Magoulick (SoCC Chair)

<i>Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.</i>	
*Denotes new discussion on old business.	

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to Order	The meeting was called to order at 3:31 pm by Chavonda Mills (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the minutes of the 4 Nov 2016 meeting of the Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs was made	The 4 Nov 2016 Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs meeting	

	and seconded. A draft of these minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted as circulated.	minutes were approved as posted, so no additional action was required.	
IV. Reports			
President's Report President Dorman	As President Dorman had extended <i>Regrets</i> and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report.		
Provost's Report Interim Provost Spirou	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Professional Leave</u> The recipients of the 2017-2018 Professional Leaves were notified by the Office of the Provost on 1 Dec 2016. 2. <u>BoR Awards</u> GC nominated the following for Board of Regents (BoR) Awards. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Dr. Cynthia Alby was nominated for the Faculty Award for Excellence in the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning. b. Matt Roessing was nominated for the Felton Jenkins, Jr. Hall of Fame Award. c. The Department of Accounting was nominated for the Department Excellence Award. 3. <u>Early Action Admissions (Class of 2021)</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. 1100 Admitted Students (slightly higher than last year's Early Action group) b. 3.66 Average High School GPA (.01 points higher than last year) c. 1235 Average SAT Score for the Old Test (16 points higher than last year) d. 27 Average ACT Score (No change from last year) e. 1280 Average SAT Score for the New Test 		

<p>Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)</p> <p>Chavonda Mills</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Motions</u> ECUS has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting. 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2016-17 ECUS officers are Chavonda Mills (Chair), Nicole DeClouette (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS met on 2 Dec 2016 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Presiding Officer Report to ECUS</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. <u>Concern</u> ECUS addressed a faculty concern regarding the role of executive administration in advancing proposals under review by standing committees. In the spirit of shared governance, it is recommended that the executive administrators limit their influence in advancing contested proposals. ii. <u>Bylaws</u> ECUS will review university senate bylaws and further clarify the charge of each standing committee. iii. <u>ECUS-SCC Deliberation</u> Spirited deliberation ensued on this issue with the following conversation points. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) What is the role of standing committees in reviewing a proposal emerging from a department and/or college? Matters that emerge from these sources are often curricular, but this issue was broadened to all standing committees not simply CAPC. There is a need to 	<p>Chavonda Mills to ensure that prior to implementation of a policy committee, the proposal of such a policy committee be brought back to ECUS-SCC for further discussion.</p>
--	--	---

	<p>attempt to remove the gray areas in the committee charges and perhaps to codify the role of the standing committee more clearly and specifically. As one example, should CAPC be reviewing the merits of a curricular proposal or simply ensuring the proposal has been adequately considered at the department and college levels with good practice in shared governance? At present, the university senate bylaws are silent on guidance in these matters and so there are some gray areas in current committee charges. ECUS subcommittee consisting of Chavonda Mills, Shaundra Walker and Craig Turner was formed at the 4 Dec 2016 ECUS meeting and this subcommittee was charged to review committee charges in the university senate bylaws. Some felt removal of all gray areas would be easier said than done.</p> <p>2) The absence of an appeal process may also be a point of tension. ECUS subcommittee consisting of Interim Provost Spirou, John Swinton, and Craig Turner was formed at the 4 Dec 2016 ECUS meeting and this subcommittee was charged to draft language for appeal</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>processes. Initially this might be considered with respect to the curricular flowchart that recently emerged as well as for decisions of the standing committees of the university senate.</p> <p>3) In the consideration of curricular matters, faculty have primacy in the curricular content and the pedagogical delivery of this content and administrators have the responsibility to ensure efficiency and effectiveness in the resource management in the delivery of curriculum. At times there is a tension between these.</p> <p>4) There is always room for more clarity in existing governance documents, and the recent issue has brought this to light. It is hoped that we can move forward together and bring additional clarity to our shared governance processes including the university senate bylaws and recently emerging curricular flowchart.</p> <p>b. <u>USGFC Rep Term of Service</u></p> <p>i. <u>Two Reps</u> ECUS agreed the university faculty will have two USGFC reps: the Presiding Officer Elect with a one year term of service and an elected faculty senator representative with a two year term of service.</p>		
--	--	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. <u>Election Process</u> The Chair of the Subcommittee on Nominations (SCoN) will facilitate the election and SCoN members will provide recommendations on the election process (e.g., timeline, eligibility, etc.). c. <u>Role of US in Liberal Arts Council</u> ECUS recommends the university senate representative (presently Susan Steele) on the Liberal Arts Council provide monthly written and oral updates on council business. The university senate representative is encouraged to inform the council of items requiring university senate consideration to ensure university senate involvement throughout decision-making processes. d. <u>IFR Procedures</u> ECUS considered a concern regarding the Individual Faculty Report (IFR) deadline of March 15, which was set when IFR submission was based on the academic year. Concerns were <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. faculty need sufficient notification of a deadline change, and ii. can the deadline be changed without due process and faculty input? <p>For calendar year 2016 IFR submission, ECUS recommends Interim Provost Spirou consult with Deans Council to propose a deadline, and communicate this deadline to faculty as early as possible. Moving forward, FAPC will review current IFR</p> 		
--	--	--	--

submission procedures and make recommendations to revise language and deadlines based on the current practice of calendar year IFR submission.

e. **Policy Updates Committee**

i. **Issue** ECUS considered a query regarding the process for ensuring existing university policies are in compliance with USG/BoR policy when USG/BoR policies are updated. ECUS noted that the University Compliance/ Policy Officer (presently Sadie Simmons) is the responsible party for ensuring policy compliance and recommends this officer notify the university senate of USG/BoR policy changes. University Senate will present these USG/BoR policy updates as information items as no deliberation is necessary unless it is to contest the policy change.

ii. **Proposal** ECUS proposed formation of a policy oversight committee to ensure proposed policies are in compliance with external (USG/BoR) as well as existing internal (GC) policies, processes, and procedures. Recommended as committee members were the Policy/ Compliance Officer and representatives from the following: Legal Affairs, Human Resources, Academic Affairs, and Finance and

	<p>Administration. This committee might also draft policies.</p> <p><u>ECUS-SCC Deliberation</u> There were concerns vocalized about the formation of such a committee and its role. Those concerned felt that the proposed members of this policy committee could be brought in for consultation as needed when policies were being developed by a standing committee (APC, CAPC, FAPC, RPIPC, SAPC). Another concern was including the drafting of policy as a charge to this proposed committee as university policy is within the domain of the university senate. A request was made of Chavonda Mills that prior to implementation of this policy committee, this proposal be brought back to ECUS-SCC for further discussion. Chavonda Mills assured those concerned that this would be done.</p>		
<p>Academic Policy Committee (APC)</p> <p>Carol Sapp</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Motions</u> APC has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting. 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2016-17 APC officers are Carol Sapp (Chair), Mike Gleason (Vice-Chair) and David McIntyre (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> The Academic Policy Committee was scheduled to meet on 2 Dec 2016 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm but had no quorum. Even so, the following topics were discussed by those able to be in attendance. 		

	<p>a. No quorum APC did not have a quorum and thus could not officially conduct business. Those who were present discussed the following items.</p> <p>b. Information Items Two APC subcommittees have engaged in activity since the last ECUS-SCC meeting, and will be reporting to APC at its 3 Feb 2017 meeting.</p> <p>i. Subcommittee Report on motion for a common syllabus statement related to Emergency Action Plans.</p> <p>ii. Subcommittee Report on meeting with Jeanne Sewell to further committee discussion of any online teaching and learning issues.</p>		
<p>Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee (CAPC)</p> <p>Lyndall Muschell</p>	<p>1. Motions CAPC has three motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting. The topics of these motions are provided below in 3.a.</p> <p>2. Officers The 2016-17 CAPC officers are Lyndall Muschell (Chair), Angel Abney (Vice-Chair) and Josie Doss (Secretary).</p> <p>3. Meeting The Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee met on 2 Dec 2016 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.</p> <p>a. Motions The following Action Items were deliberated and voted upon. The result of these votes, documenting CAPC actions, are given below.</p> <p>i. <u>Deactivation Bachelor of Music Education</u> approved</p> <p>ii. <u>Deactivation Bachelors of Science in Outdoor Education</u> not approved</p> <p>iii. <u>Certificate Geographic Information Science</u> approved unanimously</p>		

	<p>iv. <u>Certificate Sustainability</u> approved unanimously</p> <p>Each of the approved items will be submitted as a motion to University Senate for deliberation and a vote.</p> <p>b. Information Items The following information items were shared with CAPC and will be documented in the CAPC minutes.</p> <p>i. <u>New Course Proposals</u> BLST 4320, 4325; GEOG 4105, 4115; IDST 2050, 4995; PHIL 4100, 4320, 4325, 4330; SOCI 3433</p> <p>ii. <u>MAT in Middle Grades Education MAED Course Corrections</u> Prior records for the program of study include MATH 5001 and 5002. The prefix for these two courses has been corrected to reflect MAED 5001 and MAED 5002.</p> <p>iii. <u>GY2Y Course Approvals</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) GC2Y Global Horror; 2) GC2Y Knowledge Democracy; 3) GC2Y Disability and Culture in Tanzania (Study Abroad); 4) GC2Y Culture, Nature, and Empire; 5) GC2Y Bodies, Borders, and Bound. 		
<p>Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum (SoCC)</p> <p>Mary Magoulick</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Motions SoCC has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting. 2. Officers The 2016-17 SoCC officers are Mary Magoulick (Chair), Brandon Samples (Vice-Chair) and Kay Anderson (Secretary). 		

- | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | <p>3. <u>Meetings</u> The following summarizes SoCC activity at its recent meetings.</p> <p>a. <u>Area B</u> SoCC has been discussing management of Area B Sections for much of this semester.</p> <p>i. <u>Area B Chair</u> For many years we have reported to the administration that we believe there should be an acting chair for Area B. Many departments seem to conceive of these courses as not residing in their departments, and thus they may not monitor their faculty who teach them (reviewing syllabi, assignments, and assessment) the way they would with native courses. SoCC has talked (over many years) about various ways we could help facilitate some such oversight. Many years ago Tom Ormond (Associate Provost at the time) was assigned to act as a de facto chair, but we believe his primary work in this regard was to check SACS qualifications of instructors to teach particular topics. Given how many other duties the Associate Provost has, it does not seem feasible to expect them to do a chair's job as well.</p> <p>ii. <u>Reviewing Sections</u> Two years in a row, SoCC members voted to initiate a process of reviewing sections of GC1Y and GC2Y. We also talked to the Provost's office both years to ensure we'd have its support. Although many people</p> | | |
|--|---|--|--|

	<p>seemed in favor of this process (voting for it), there remain a few strong voices (on SoCC) of people questioning the value of this proposed process. Discussion continues, and we are hoping that whatever we do will facilitate the best possible teaching of these sections.</p> <p>iii. <u>Next Steps</u> After much discussion all semester, we are now hoping to meet with the chairs next semester to ensure they know that they are responsible for oversight of Area B classes taught by their faculty, and we will attempt to offer documents and information to assist them in such efforts. We will also try to schedule a voluntary forum with Area B instructors to discuss teaching in Area B and to answer any questions.</p>		
<p>Faculty Affairs Policy Committee (FAPC)</p> <p>Alex Blazer</p>	<p>1. <u>Motions</u> FAPC has no motions to submit for consideration by the university senate at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting.</p> <p>2. <u>Officers</u> The 2016-17 FAPC officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), Tom Toney (Vice-Chair) and David Johnson (Secretary).</p> <p>3. <u>Meeting</u> The Faculty Affairs Policy Committee met on 2 Dec 2016 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm and the following items were discussed.</p> <p>a. <u>Committee Composition</u> FAPC has reached consensus that its committee composition, as defined in the bylaws, is appropriate.</p>		

	<p>b. <u>Peer Teaching Evaluation Models:</u> FAPC discussed peer teaching evaluation being used for both formative purposes during annual review and pre-tenure review and also summative purposes during tenure, promotion, and five-year review. A question arose regarding this type of evaluation for non-tenure track part-time and full-time lecturers. FAPC also discussed training faculty to be peer teaching evaluators. A preliminary possibility is a two person peer review committee composed of a department member and an outside, trained observer, perhaps from the Center of Teaching & Learning (CTL). FAPC is going to talk with Jeanne Sewell for CTL's perspective.</p> <p>c. <u>T&P Task Force</u> The Task Force urges faculty to take the survey that was released this week on FrontPage. Alex Blazer will replace Ryan Brown, who is resigning from the Task Force at the end of this semester, as one of the two FAPC representatives on the Task Force.</p> <p>d. <u>Faculty Rights and Responsibilities During Summer</u> FAPC reminds all members of the university community that important issues that require shared governance should be dealt with during the academic year when possible.</p> <p>e. <u>T&P Policy and Procedure Irregularities</u> FAPC would like to remind administrators that copies of</p>		
--	---	--	--

	<p>recommendations for and against promotion and tenure from chairs, deans, etc. should be provided to the candidate. There was also a discussion about faculty members being prevented from responding to recommendations "for" promotion and tenure when such a positive recommendation sends mixed messages. FAPC members are carefully reviewing the promotion and tenure university policy language for their next meeting.</p>		
<p>Resources, Planning and Institutional Policy Committee (RPIPC)</p> <p>Jan Hoffmann Clark</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Motions</u> RPIPC has one motion to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting. See item 3a below. 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2016-17 RPIPC officers are Jan Hoffmann Clark (Chair), Susan C. Allen (Vice-Chair) and Emily Gomez (Secretary). 3. <u>Meetings</u> The Resources, Planning and Institutional Policy Committee met on 2 Dec 2016 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm and the following items were discussed. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Policy Motion</u> RPIPC voted to forward a policy motion to the full university senate at its 20 Jan 2017 to adopt the proposed "GC Policy for Programs Serving Minors." b. <u>Parking Concern from the Floor</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. A parking concern regarding the perception that participants in today's (2 Dec 2016) President's Scholarship Competition were parked in spots typically requiring employee decals. The expresser of the concern shared their morning 		

	<p>experience riding the parking shuttle.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. Jan Clark responded to indicate that this had been a point of conversation at the 2 Dec 2016 RPIPC meeting, and that this very concern was shared by many. iii. While it was recognized that employees are supportive of having the Presidential Scholars on campus, they would equally welcome advanced notification of this kind of event so that the employees with paid parking permits can plan their parking strategies on such days. iv. Ideally, this feedback will be shared with the PTAC (Parking and Transportation Advisory Committee) to seek possible resolutions to this concern. 		
<p>Student Affairs Policy Committee (SAPC)</p> <p>Heidi Fowler</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Motions</u> SAPC has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting. 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2016-17 SAPC officers are Heidi Fowler (Chair), Ben McMillan (Vice-Chair) and Simplice Tchamna-Kouna (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> The Student Affairs Policy Committee met on 2 Dec 2016 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>The Honor Code: Inclusion of Discrimination</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. On behalf of a Student Government Association (SGA) Senator, Johnathan Garcia, SAPC was 		

	<p>introduced to a draft-version of a non-discrimination statement to (potentially) be included in the Honor Code. A request was made for SAPC to provide feedback. SAPC members recommended that the finalized document be vetted by Legal Counsel before bringing before University Senate (if University Senate is the appropriate audience).</p> <p>b. <u>GC Permit issued to "hate speech" group</u></p> <p>i. According to Campus Safety, a permit may be granted, but is not necessary, for a group or individual if they wish to utilize their freedom of speech on campus (as long as they make the appropriate request/ notification and alert campus organizers of their planned event and remain in the physical location allotted).</p> <p>ii. SAPC discussed ways in which we might alert students or better prepare students for moments of "hate speech" when they are presented with them on campus.</p>		
<p>Subcommittee on Nominations (SCoN)</p> <p>Nicole DeClouette</p>	<p>1. <u>Motions</u> SCoN has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 20 Jan 2017 meeting.</p> <p>2. <u>Officers</u> The 2016-17 SCoN officers are Nicole DeClouette (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).</p>		

	3. No Report As Nicole DeClouette had extended <i>Regrets</i> and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no SCoN Report.		
V. Information Items Actions/Recommendations			
University Senate Budget Chavonda Mills	1. Balance The balance of the university senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually) is presently holding at \$1711.24 . 2. Expenditures Pending expenditures are the reimbursements for travel expenses incurred by Nicole DeClouette when she was attending the USGFC meeting.		
AAUP Redbooks Chavonda Mills	Chavonda Mills noted that university senate leaders are given a copy of the most current edition of the AAUP (American Association of University Professors) Redbook as a token of appreciation for their leadership service and as a resource to inform future committee deliberation. Chavonda Mills surveyed the standing committee chairs and executive committee members and found that only Heidi Fowler (SAPC Chair) and Carol Sapp (APC Chair) needed Redbooks. All others who were present at this meeting had one. Chavonda Mills noted that Redbooks should be delivered at or prior to the next ECUS-SCC meeting, which is slated for 3 Feb 2017.		Chavonda Mills to ensure that an AAUP Redbook is awarded to Carol Sapp and Heidi Fowler. <i>Note from ECUS Secretary</i> <i>During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that Redbooks have been delivered to Carol Sapp and Heidi Fowler.</i>
VI. Unfinished Business Review of Action & Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up			
Committee Annual Reports Chavonda Mills	Due to the proximity to adjournment time, consideration of the template and due date for committee annual reports was postponed to a future meeting.		
Revisions to Committee Composition	Chavonda Mills reminded the standing committee chairs of the request for review of	It was noted that this information will inform proposed revisions to	Chavonda Mills to ensure that composition revisions

<p>Chavonda Mills</p>	<p>committee composition. Chairs were asked to report whether or not changes were proposed. If so, they were reminded that ECUS is willing to assist in updating pertinent bylaws. To date, ECUS and FAPC have responded with no proposed changes to its composition. Yet to hear from are APC, CAPC, RPIPC, SAPC, SCoN and SoCC. Chairs of these committees were invited to submit this information by email to Chavonda Mills.</p>	<p>the composition of the university senate. With current committee compositions, there is a need for at least one additional elected faculty senator to avoid the need of requesting that an elected faculty senator from SoCC serve on a second committee.</p>	<p>of the university senate and its committees be an agenda item at a future ECUS-SCC meeting.</p>
<p>VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations</p>			
<p>Steering of Items to Committees</p> <p>Chavonda Mills</p>	<p><u>Due Date Individual Faculty Reports</u> Chavonda Mills noted that consideration of the Individual Faculty Reports (IFRs) policy and procedures are being steered to FAPC. In the procedures, the IFR due date is 15 March which made more sense when these reports were based on an academic year. Now that the IFR is based on a calendar year, the due date could reasonably be earlier. The Deans Council will discuss the due date at its December 2016 meeting and forward its recommendation of due date to FAPC.</p>		
<p>University Senate Agenda and Minutes Review</p>	<p>1. <u>Tentative Agenda 20 Jan 2017:</u> Based on the committee reports at this meeting</p> <p>a. <u>Motions</u> There will be up to four motions on the agenda of this meeting of the university senate, specifically</p> <p>i. CAPC (3)</p> <p>1) Deactivation Bachelor of Music Education</p> <p>2) Certificate Geographic Information Science</p> <p>3) Certificate Sustainability</p> <p>ii. RPIPC (1)</p>	<p>The motion (circulate minutes) was approved.</p>	<p>1. Chavonda Mills to draft the tentative agenda of the university senate meeting.</p> <p>2. Motions to be entered into the online motion database by CAPC (3), RPIPC (1).</p> <p>3. Craig Turner to circulate the DRAFT of the university senate meeting minutes to</p>

	<p>1) Programs Serving Minors Policy</p> <p>b. Reports Administrative reports and committee reports will also be agenda items.</p> <p>2. University Senate Minutes Review: A MOTION that the <i>DRAFT</i> minutes of the 18 Nov 2016 meeting of the 2016-2017 university senate be circulated for university senator review was made and seconded.</p>		university senators for review.
VIII. Next Meeting (Tentative Agenda, Calendar)			
1. Calendar	<p>20 Jan 2017 @ 2:00pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72</p> <p>3 Feb 2017 @ 2:00pm ECUS in 301 Parks</p> <p>3 Feb 2017 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC in 301 Parks</p>		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have generated tentative agenda items for future ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		Chavonda Mills to ensure that such items (if any) are added to agendas of an ECUS and/or ECUS-SCC meeting in the future.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider, a MOTION to adjourn the meeting was made and seconded.	The motion to adjourn was approved and the meeting adjourned at 4:45 pm.	

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by: _____

Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS
COMMITTEE OFFICERS: CHAVONDA MILLS (CHAIR), NICOLE DECLOUETTE (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY)
ACADEMIC YEAR: 2016-2017

AGGREGATE ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR MEETINGS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS
“P” denotes Present, “A” denotes Absent, “R” denotes Regrets and “N/A” denotes Not Applicable.

Note: 2 Sep 2016 meeting canceled due to University officially closing (tropical storm)

Acronyms	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator; CoAS = College of Arts & Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences									
Meeting Dates	09-02-16	10-07-16	11-04-16	12-02-16	02-03-17	03-03-17	03-31-17	Present	Regrets	Absent
Nicole DeClouette <i>EFS; CoE; ECUS Vice-Chair</i>	N/A	P	P	R				2	1	0
Steve Dorman <i>University President</i>	N/A	R	R	R				0	3	0
Chavonda Mills <i>EFS; CoAS; ECUS Chair</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Costas Spirou <i>Interim Provost</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Susan Steele <i>EFS; CoHS; ECUS Member</i>	N/A	P	P	R				2	1	0
John R. Swinton <i>EFS; CoB; ECUS Chair Emeritus</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Craig Turner <i>EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secretary</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Shaundra Walker <i>EFS; Library; ECUS Member</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Carol Sapp <i>EFS; CoHS APC Chair</i>	N/A	R	P	P				2	1	0
Lyndall Muschell <i>EFS; CoE; CAPC Chair</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Alex Blazer <i>EFS; CoAS; FAPC Chair</i>	N/A	P	R	P				2	1	0
Jan Hoffmann Clark <i>EFS; CoAS, RPIPC Chair</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0
Heidi Fowler <i>EFS; CoHS, SAPC Chair</i>	N/A	P	P	P				3	0	0

CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE _____ DATE _____ -(Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)
 2 December 2016 ECUS-SCC Meeting Minutes (FINAL DRAFT) Page 20 of 20