COMMITTEE NAME EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS **MEETING DATE & TIME** 07 DECEMBER 2018; 3:30–4:45

MEETING LOCATION PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, ROOM 301

ATTENDANCE

Members		"P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets			
Р	Donna Bennett (Library, ECUS Member)	P	John Swinton (CoB, ECUS Member)		
Р	Alex Blazer (CoAS, ECUS Chair)	P	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)		
Р	Kelli Brown (Provost)	P	Rodica Cazacu (CoAS, APC Chair)		
R	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Chair Emeritus)	P	Mary Magoulick (CoAS, EAPC Chair)		
R	Steve Dorman (University President)	P	Ashley Taylor (CoAS, FAPC Chair)		
Р	Glynnis Haley (CoHS, ECUS Member)	P	Diana Young (CoAS, RPIPC Chair)		
P	David Johnson (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)	P	Joanna Schwartz (CoB, SAPC Chair)		
GUES	GUESTS				
None					
	Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.				
	*Denotes new discussion on old business.				

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to Order	The meeting was called to order at 3:30 pm by Alex Blazer (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the minutes of the 2 Nov 2018 meeting of the Executive Committee		

President's Report As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Kelli Brown Interview of the term of the term of the term of the term of term				1
been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted as circulated. so no additional action was required. V. Reports As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. Provost Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost S (CoN) Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Subcommittee on Nominations (SCON) 1. David Johnson 1. Motions Conficers David Johnson 2. Officers Turner (Sceretary). 3. University senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. 1. Motions 2. Officers 2. Officers 2. Officers 2. Officers 2. 1. Metting: Lamp 1. Metting: Lamp 1. Metting: Lamp 1. Metting: Lamp 2.		0	0	
email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted as circulated. required. VV. Reports As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. President Orman Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Stelli Brown Subcommittee on Nominations (SCON) 1. Motions SCON has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Provement Stelli Brown Stelli Brown Stelli Brown Stelli Brown Stelli Brown Subcommittee on Nominations (SCON) David Johnson 2. Officers The 2018-19 SCON officers are David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Sccretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 weeting. Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate Colls as no motions for university Senate Colls as no motions for University Senate Colls as no motions for University Senate Colls and an at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alter Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turmer (Sccretary). 3. Meeting ECUS meet on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:1			• • • •	
minutes had been posted as circulated. - VV. Reports As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. President Dorman As President Steport. Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Kelli Brown - Subcommittee on 1. Motions SCoN has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. David Johnson 2. Officers The 2018-19 SCoN officers are David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Sceretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair positoria at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 3. Meeting ECUS mat on ontoins for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. 3. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm The following topics were discussed.		e e		
V. Reports As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Kelli Brown Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Subcommittee on Nominations (SCoN) 1. Motions SCoN has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. David Johnson 2. Officers The 2018-19 SCoN officers are David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative One new university senate representative One new university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.			required.	
President's Report As President Dorman had extended Regrets and was unable to attend this meeting, there was no President's Report. Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Kelli Brown Interview of the term of the term of the term of t		minutes had been posted as circulated.		
and was unable to attend this meeting, there President Dorman Provost's Report Provost S Report Provost Kelli Brown Subcommittee on Nominations (SCoN) 2. Officers David Johnson 2. Officers David Johnson 2. Officers David Johnson 2. Officers David Johnson 3. University senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. 2. Officers David Johnson 2. Officers David Johnson (Chair). (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Officers The Officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). Alex Blazer Officers 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm t	IV. Reports			
President Dorman was no President's Report. Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Kelli Brown	President's Report	As President Dorman had extended Regrets		
Provost's Report Provost Brown indicated she had no report. Provost Kelli Brown . Subcommittee on 1. Motions SCoN has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. David Johnson 2. Officers Divid Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Motions Noticers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer Alex Blazer 2. Officers Integration and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.	_	and was unable to attend this meeting, there		
Provost Kelli Brown Subcommittee on Nominations (SCoN) 1 Motions SCoN has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. David Johnson 2. Officers position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Alex Blazer 2. Officers (Secretary). 3. Meting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.	President Dorman	was no President's Report.		
Subcommittee on Nominations (SCON) 1. Motions SCoN has no motions to submit for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. David Johnson 2. Officers David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Motions 1. Motions Differs Service. Alex Blazer 2. Officers Officers The 2018-19 ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 3. Officers Meeting Differs The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig 2. Officers Differs	Provost's Report	Provost Brown indicated she had no report.		
Nominations (SCON)for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.David Johnson2.Officers Officers David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service.Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)1.Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.Alex Blazer2.Officers Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.3.	Provost Kelli Brown			
David Johnson 25 Jan 2019 meeting. 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 SCoN officers are David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. <u>University Senate Representative</u> One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. <u>Motions</u> ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS meet on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.	Subcommittee on	1. <u>Motions</u> SCoN has no motions to submit		
David Johnson 2. Officers The 2018-19 SCoN officers are David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.	Nominations (SCoN)	for university senate consideration at its		
David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service.Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)1.Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.Alex Blazer2.Officers Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		25 Jan 2019 meeting.		
position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service.Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)1.MotionsECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.Alex Blazer2.Officers Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.	David Johnson	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 SCoN officers are		
3. University Senate Representative One new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair		
new university senate representative has been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service.Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)1.Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.Alex Blazer2.Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).		
been named since the last meeting: Jamie Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service.Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)1.Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.Alex Blazer2.Officers Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		3. University Senate Representative One		
Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service.Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS)1.Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.Alex Blazer2.Officers Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		new university senate representative has		
Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		• •		
Committee with a 2018-2021 term of service. Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		Addy to the Academic Affairs Special Fee		
Executive Committee of the University Senate (ECUS) 1. Motions ECUS has no motions for university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		•		
University Senate (ECUS) university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.		service.		
University Senate (ECUS) university senate consideration at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting. Alex Blazer 2. Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.	Executive Committee of the	1. Motions ECUS has no motions for		
Alex BlazerJan 2019 meeting.2.Officers The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary).3.Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.				
 Alex Blazer 2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 ECUS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. 		•		
 2. <u>Officers</u> file 2010 19 ECOS officers are Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. 	Alex Blazer	e		
 (Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. 				
 (Secretary). 3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. 				
 Meeting ECUS met on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed. 				
2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics were discussed.				
were discussed.				
a. 2018-2019 Governance Calendar				
		a. 2018-2019 Governance Calendar		
will be updated to reflect the revised		will be updated to reflect the revised		

n	
scheduling of two events it advertises	
in February 2019.	
i. The start time of <i>The State of the</i>	
University Address will now be	
1:00pm – rather than 2:00pm – on	
Friday, 8 Feb 2019.	
ii. Service Recognition Ceremony	
will immediately follow The State	
of the University Address on	
Friday, 8 Feb 2019 – rather than be	
held starting at 3:30pm on Friday,	
15 Feb 2019.	
b. Inventory and Review of Official	
Documents including but not	
limited to the Governance History,	
University Senate Handbook John	
Swinton and Nicole DeClouette have	
edited these documents and are	
considering protocols for revision	
and adoption.	
c. University Senate Representation	
on University-Wide Committees	
While two committees need to be	
confirmed and a few details need to	
be clarified, the majority of the	
review is complete. Once polished,	
the document will be shared with	
President Dorman for publication on	
both the University and University	
Senate websites. Following up on	
ECUS's November discussion	
regarding elected faculty senators as	
well as those from certain committees	
and those with certain skill sets	
serving on particular committees, the	

and an end of the second secon	
proposed procedure for the	
identification and appointment of	
University Senate representatives to	
university-wide committees is as	
follows:	
• In February, SCoN confirms	
both the status (terminating,	
continuing, new for following	
year) of each committee for	
the following year as well as	
whether the current	
representatives will continue	
serving on their university-	
wide committees next year and	
makes a list of representative	
openings.	
• In March, SCoN includes	
University-Wide Committee	
preferences in the Standing	
Committee Preference poll	
sent to Elected Faculty	
Senators. The preference poll	
should include not only	
committee preference, but	
level of interest in serving on	
the committee.	
 In the April University Senate 	
Organizational Meeting, the	
University Senate reviews the	
proposed slate of university	
senate representative	
nominees for university	
committees in addition to the	
slate of nominees for	
university senate officers and	

	nositions on minunity service	
	positions on university senate	
	committees. In each case, the	
	university senate can approve	
	the slate either as presented or	
	with revisions.	
	\circ During the academic year,	
	when a representative resigns	
	from a committee or a	
	representative is needed for a	
	new committee, SCoN calls	
	for a replacement or volunteer,	
	subject to the approval of the	
	university senate at its next	
	meeting.	
d.	2019-2020 Governance Calendar A	
	workgroup comprised of Alex	
	Blazer, David Johnson, and Craig	
	Turner has begun work on the 2019-	
	2020 governance calendar. Most	
	advertised (i.e. not the designated	
	governance meetings) events have	
	been confirmed. ECUS endorsed the	
	proposal – the placement of the	
	governance meetings for department,	
	college, university senate, university	
	senate committees, ECUS-SCC and	
	open (undesignated) into the 2:00-	
	3:15 and 3:30-4:45 Friday timeslots –	
	submitted by the workgroup; the	
	calendar is on track to be shared with	
	University Senate in early spring.	
	Provost Brown alerted ECUS that	
	Chris Ferland and Cara Smith will	
	meet with ECUS about the creation of	
	a Student Assessment Day.	

 e. <u>Consent Agenda</u> Following up on a recommendation by the Secretary, the Presiding Officer queried ECUS if it would like to use a consent agenda, but there was no interest to do so.the f. <u>Foundation Account</u> No update, as the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 Travel (USG Faculty Council Meetings): \$675.00
 the Presiding Officer queried ECUS if it would like to use a consent agenda, but there was no interest to do so.the f. Foundation Account No update, as the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 Travel (USG Faculty Council
 if it would like to use a consent agenda, but there was no interest to do so.the f. Foundation Account No update, as the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. Budget i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
agenda, but there was no interest to do so.the f. Foundation Account No update, as the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. Budget i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
do so.thef.Foundation AccountNo update, as the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO.g.Budget i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 l) Travel (USG Faculty Council
 f. <u>Foundation Account</u> No update, as the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 Travel (USG Faculty Council
the Presiding Officer (PO) forgot that he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. Budget i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
he has to request the report since it is automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
automatically sent to the signature authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
authorities (Monica Starley, Jen Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
Yearwood) rather than the PO. g. <u>Budget</u> i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
g. <u>Budget</u> i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
i. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00 1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
2) Office Supplies & Expenses
(Printing, Retreat, etc.):
\$4325.00
ii. November Expenses:
1) None
iii. Total Expended AY 2018-2019:
\$3402.84
iv. Remaining Balance: \$1597.16
Academic Policy Committee 1. Motions APC has no motions to submit
(APC) for university senate consideration at its
25 Jan 2019 meeting.
Rodica Cazacu2. OfficersThe 2018-19APC officers are
Rodica Cazacu (Chair), Lyndall Muschell
(Vice-Chair) and Sarah Handwerker
(Secretary).
3. <u>Meeting</u> The Academic Policy
Committee met on 07 Dec 2018 from
2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics
were discussed.

a. <u>SGA Academic Resolution on</u>	
<u>University Grading Policies</u> Taylor	
Carswell, the chair of the Academic	
Affairs Committee of the SGA	
(Student Government Association)	
and Brenda Solomon, a member of	
the same committee, came to present	
their proposal and ask for feedback	
from APC. All APC members had the	
proposed resolution to review and	
they were able to give their feedback	
and hear students' arguments. The	
resolution refers to midterm grade	
submission requesting a midterm	
grade submission for all courses, not	
just the 1000-2000 level ones. Since	
APC believes the existing grading	
policy at GC already covers all the	
issues students presented, there were	
several recommendations made:	
• Talk to the deans and chairs to	
make them aware of problems	
in their college and department,	
respectively.	
• Students should be advised to	
talk to their instructor and	
request the feedback if they	
don't receive it on time.	
• Advise students to act on their	
problems and report instructors	
who do not comply with the	
existing policy when nothing	
else can be done.	
 Make students aware of the 	
• Make students aware of the Academic Grievance Policy at	
GC, which is mentioned in all	
syllabi.	

	b. <u>Plagiarism Software: Changing the</u> <u>Default Settings in Banner</u> Bryan
	Marshall was interested in discussing
	the possibility of recommending that
	the plagiarism software usage box in
	Banner be checked by default and
	anyone not wanting to use the
	plagiarism-detecting software can opt
	out or leave it be. Currently, if we are
	going to use any plagiarism-detection
	software, our administrative
	assistants must check the box each
	semester or we are not allowed to use
	the software. Some departments or
	even colleges have the box checked
	for all courses each semester even if
	the software is not used.
	i. <u>ECUS-SCC Deliberation</u> Those
	who spoke supported the default
	being to check the box that the
	plagiarism software would be
	used. This does not require – yet
	does enable – an instructor to use
	plagiarism software. In short, an
	opt-out versus the current opt-in
	approach was supported by those
	individuals who expressed their
	position on this matter. There was
	no formal vote taken to gauge the
	preference of the full membership
	of ECUS-SCC.
Educational and	1. <u>Motions</u> EAPC has no motions to submit
Assessment Policy	for university senate consideration at its
Committee (EAPC)	25 Jan 2019 meeting.
	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 EAPC officers are
Mary Magoulick	Mary Magoulick (Chair), Matt Forrest

	(Vice-Chair) and Christine Mutiti
	(Vice-Chair) and Christine Muthi (Secretary).
	3. <u>Meeting</u> The Educational and Assessment
	Policy Committee did not meet on 07 Dec
	2018 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm as there
	were no items of business requiring the
	attention of this committee.
Faculty Affairs Policy	1. <u>Motions</u> FAPC has no motions to submit
Committee (FAPC)	for consideration by the university senate
	at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.
Ashley Taylor	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 FAPC officers are
	Ashley Taylor (Chair), Angela Criscoe
	(Vice-Chair) and Olha Osobov
	(Secretary).
	3. <u>Meeting</u> The Faculty Affairs Policy
	Committee did not meet on 07 Dec 2018
	from 2:00pm to 3:15pm as there were no
	items of business requiring the attention
	of this committee.
Resources, Planning and	1. <u>Motions</u> RPIPC has no motions to submit
Institutional Policy	for university senate consideration at its 25
Committee (RPIPC)	Jan 2019 meeting.
	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 RPIPC officers are
Diana Young	Diana Young (Chair), Darryl Richardson
	(Vice-Chair) and Marcela Chiorescu
	(Secretary).
	3. <u>Meetings</u> The Resources, Planning and
	Institutional Policy Committee did not
	meet on 07 Dec 2018 from 2:00pm to
	3:15pm as there were no items of business
	requiring the attention of this committee.
Student Affairs Policy	1. Motions SAPC has no motions to submit
Committee (SAPC)	for university senate consideration at its
	25 Jan 2019 meeting.
Joanna Schwartz	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 SAPC officers are
	Joanna Schwartz (Chair), Monica Ketchie

	 term of service of position selection process of position membership of group charge of group 	
	<u>5 Oct 2018</u> <i>This agenda item was postponed to the next</i> <i>ECUS-SCC meeting due to a shortage of time.</i>	
	<u>2 Nov 2018</u> An update on this item was provided in the ECUS report.	
	7 Dec 2018 An update on this item was provided in the ECUS report.	
Inventory and Review of	<u>7 Sep 2018</u>	
Official Documents of the	1. At the 2018 Governance Retreat, Nicole	
University Senate	DeClouette and John Swinton agreed to	
	serve as the ECUS work group on this	
Nicole DeClouette	initiative.	
John Swinton	2. Presently other obligations are taking	
	precedence for both Nicole DeClouette	
	and John Swinton, and they anticipate making some progress on this initiative by	
	the 5 Oct 2018 ECUS meeting.	
	<i>3.</i> A brief discussion included the following	
	talking points.	
	a. There is a list of official documents in	
	the supporting document University	
	Senate Highlights for the 2018	
	governance retreat which includes	
	university senate mission, vision,	
	beliefs, governing concepts, meeting	
	etiquette, governance history, and the	
	university senator handbook.	

	b. A suggestion was made to fold all of	
	these official documents into the	
	university senator handbook (as	
	chapters) updating as necessary the	
	documents for accuracy, currency, as	
	well as for compliance with university	
	senate bylaws AND adding a revision	
	process. In this way, there will be only	
	one official document to maintain	
	going forward.	
	<u>5 Oct 2018</u>	
	S OCI 2018 As both John Swinton and Nicole DeClouette	
	had extended regrets and were unable to	
	attend this meeting, Alex Blazer read into the	
	record their update.	
	<i>The governance history has been reformated</i>	
	(larger font) and added as a proposed new	
	section to the university senate handbook.	
	Our next step is to bring this history and	
	references to university senate bylaws up to	
	date. After that, we will draft a proposed	
	revision process to guide future handbook	
	updates.	
	in a concern	
	2 Nov 2018	
	An update on this item was provided in the	
	ECUS report.	
	7 Dec 2018	
	An update on this item was provided in the	
	ECUS report.	
И		

VI. Unfinished Business Review of Action & Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up		
Retreat Sessions on Possible	<u>7 Sep 2018</u>	
Revisions to University	This agenda item was postponed to the next	
Senate and Assessment	ECUS-SCC meeting due to a shortage of time.	
	5 Oct 2018 Alex Blazer invited feedback on the proposal to consolidate the scopes of EAPC and APC and dissolve EAPC. A question of what would happen to the EAPC members – would they have a committee assignment? One suggestion was to continue EAPC during 2018-2019 and invite them to assist with the assessment reports pertaining to the upcoming 5-year SACS review. No position was adopted by the full membership present at this meeting as there was not time to do so. This agenda item was postponed to the next ECUS-SCC meeting due to a shortage of time. Discussion might continue via email.	
	 2 Nov 2018 Each of the four topics were taken up in the order they were provided in the supporting document disseminated along with the meeting agenda. 1. University Senate Officer Selection a. Contextual information was provided to present the current process by which the Presiding Officer Elect and Secretary are selected. The process requires SCoN to nominate a single candidate for each officer position. The 	

university senate bylaws compel the Presiding Officer to extend an invitation for nominations from the floor at the organizational meeting. b. The point of concern is that a successful candidate nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
to extend an invitation for nominations from the floor at the organizational meeting. b. The point of concern is that a successful candidate nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
nominations from the floor at the organizational meeting. b. The point of concern is that a successful candidate nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
the organizational meeting. b. The point of concern is that a successful candidate nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
b. The point of concern is that a successful candidate nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
successful candidate nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
nominated from the floor could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
could cause a significant ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
ripple effect into the slate of nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
nominees as the SCoN's nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
nominee might be displaced from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
from ECUS and displace another when assigned to a committee and this
another when assigned to a committee and this
committee and this
displacement could domino
across multiple committees.
c. In spite of this potential
shortcoming of the current
process, there was not
sufficient interest in pursuing
an alternate university senate
officer process at this time.
2. Organizational Committee Meetings
a. Recently (the last two to three
years), organizational
committee meetings have not
been well attended and
multiple committees have not
met the quorum needed to call
the meeting to order to
organize which includes the
election of committee officers
and considering tentative
agenda items for the
committee to consider in the
coming year based on a review

 of the annual report from the previous year's conduct organizational committee organizational committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a <u>MortON</u> to ordify the university senate bylaws and <u>mortON</u> to modify the preference expressed in the preference expressed in the preference expressed in the suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate bylaws previous motion to university senate bylaws and this motion to university senate bylaws the previous motion to university senate bylaws and the discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that FAPC has had no business since tis recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee) 		
b. A MOTION to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a MOTION to modify the university senate bylaws, and MOTION to modify the university senate bylaws language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		
organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout sexion of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a MOTION language pertaining organizational committee meetings to reflect the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further further suggested liming of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee		
meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a MorrION to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university sended and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university sended and adopted with no further suggested timing of presenting this motion to university sender was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and hen. 3. Standing Committee Structure	<i>b.</i>	
breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seeconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a <u>MOTION</u> to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the preference expressed in the preference expressed in the suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be <i>Feb/March</i> to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws <i>revisions that are proposed</i> between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		0
governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a <u>MOTION</u> to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be <i>Feb/March</i> to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		
seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a <u>MOTION</u> to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		breakout session of the
further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a MOTION to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		governance retreat was made,
was noted that this would require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a <u>MOTION</u> to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		seconded and adopted with no
require a revision to the university senate bylaws, a MOTION university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		further discussion. When it
university senate bylaws, a <u>MOTION</u> to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		was noted that this would
MOTION to modify the university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seeconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy		require a revision to the
university senate bylaw language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		university senate bylaws, a
language pertaining to organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		MOTION to modify the
organizational committee meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		university senate bylaw
meetings to reflect the preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested liming of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		language pertaining to
preference expressed in the previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then.3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		organizational committee
previous motion was made, seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		meetings to reflect the
seconded, and adopted with no further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		preference expressed in the
further discussion. The suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		previous motion was made,
suggested timing of presenting this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		seconded, and adopted with no
this motion to university senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		further discussion. The
senate was proposed to be Feb/March to allow the accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		suggested timing of presenting
Feb/Marchtoallowtheaccumulationofanyotheruniversitysenatebylawsrevisionsthatareproposedbetween now and then.3.Standing Committee Structurea.It was noted that EAPC hashadnobusiness sincehadnobusiness sinceccurriculumand AssessmentPolicyCommittee)		this motion to university
accumulation of any other university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then.3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		senate was proposed to be
university senate bylaws revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		Feb/March to allow the
revisions that are proposed between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		accumulation of any other
between now and then. 3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		university senate bylaws
3. Standing Committee Structure a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		revisions that are proposed
a. It was noted that EAPC has had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		between now and then.
had no business since its recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)	3. Standa	ing Committee Structure
recent creation as a CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)	a.	It was noted that EAPC has
(Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee)		had no business since its
Policy Committee)		recent creation as a CAPC
		(Curriculum and Assessment
		Policy Committee)
replacement.		replacement.

b. A proposal to dissobe EAPC and MPC was made. The talking points were as follows. i. The number of volunteer positions on APC, SAPC, CRIPC, and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty sentor positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the epportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not apresent. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	h A propagal to diagolus FADC	
and APC was made. The talking points were as follows. i. The number of volunteer positions on APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thriteen, the prospect of enlarging committee star was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlaging the committee size was not	· ·	
talking points were as follows. i. The number of volunteer positions on APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thriteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	°	
i. The number of volunteer positions on APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the		
volunteer positions on APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not enlarging the committee size was not	· · ·	
APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. present. fibree and prayeding the assess and	5	
and FAPC will be reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	·	
reduced in the aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
aggregate by seven due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appending to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
due to the seven elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
elected faculty senator positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	00 0 1	
positions displaced from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year tern of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
from EAPC. While the option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	• •	
option to mitigate this reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
reduction in volunteer positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
positions (which give a corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	· · ·	
corps of instruction faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
faculty member the opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	· · · ·	
opportunity to try out governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	corps of instruction	
governance with a one year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	faculty member the	
year term of service as a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	opportunity to try out	
a volunteer) by adding two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	governance with a one	
two corps of instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	year term of service as	
instruction positions to each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	a volunteer) by adding	
each of APC, SAPC, RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	two corps of	
RPIPC, and FAPC and making them of size fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	instruction positions to	
making them of sizefifteen rather thanthirteen, the prospectof enlarging committeesize was not appealingto a majority of thosepresent.Thusenlargingthecommittee size was not	each of APC, SAPC,	
fifteen rather than thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	RPIPC, and FAPC and	
thirteen, the prospect of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	making them of size	
of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	fifteen rather than	
of enlarging committee size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	thirteen, the prospect	
size was not appealing to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
to a majority of those present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not		
present. Thus enlarging the committee size was not	· · · ·	
enlarging the committee size was not		
committee size was not	1	
	0 0	
acceptea.	accepted.	

	,
ii. Assessment should	
intentionally placed	
a committee scope (
as not to lose it) a	nd
this would likely	be
incorporated into t	he
scope of APC.	
	as
charged to const	ılt
with EAPC to confi	
the curre	
membership of t	he
2018-2019 EAPC (w	
have not yet met due	
lack of business) a	
	he
dissolution of EAR	
and report back at t	
next meeting of ECU	
SCC. This EAR	
consultation	is
necessary due to t	
absence of the EAR	
Chair who may ha	
been able to supply th	
information duri	
this meeting.	-8
4. Attendance	
a. There was agreement not	to
apply any punitive measur	
on individuals who establish	
pattern of not attendi	
meetings of university send	•
or its committees, but rather	
reach out to these individue	
to offer assistance in a	

<u>г</u>	-	
	encouragement to being	
	present to future meetings.	
	b. The Presiding Officer Elect	
	(David Johnson) offered to be	
	one to reach out as necessary.	
	His kind offer was quickly	
	accepted by those present. So	
	it was agreed that committee	
	chairs and university senate	
	officers should route the	
	names of individuals	
	establishing a pattern of not	
	attending to David Johnson	
	and he would reach out to	
	these individuals to encourage	
	and assist in resolving the	
	attendance concern.	
	7 Dec 2018 The discussion on two of the four	
	aforementioned topics continued.	
	1. <u>Committee Organizational Meetings</u>	
	a. There was agreement by those	
	present to form a bylaws	
	revision work group to draft	
	proposed revisions to the	
	university senate bylaws	
	pertaining to the rescheduling	
	of the standing committee	
	organizational meetings.	
	b. There was a recommendation	
	to keep the language flexible	
	(rather than prescribe the	
	committee breakout session of	
	the governance retreat,	
	designate a body such as	
	ECUS or ECUS-SCC to be the	
	authority to annually set the	
	autionty to annually set the	

	d'an al
timing of these organiza	
meetings) to avoid	
necessity of another re	
on this scheduling in	n the
future.	
c. Volunteers to this b	bylaws
revision work group	were
Alex Blazer, David Jol	hnson,
and Craig Turner.	
2. <u>Standing Committee Structure</u>	
a. There was agreement by	y those
present to form a b	bylaws
revision work group to	
	b the
	bylaws
pertaining to the sta	
committee structure.	
b. There was a recommend	dation
to propose the dissolution	ion of
EAPC and merge the sco	
APC and EAPC.	1
c. There was a recommend	dation
not to increase the com	
sizes of existing comm	
recognizing that this	
reduce the number of f	
volunteers necessary	
populate these comm	
going forward. There	
plenty of service opportu	
for university facult	
include in particular the	
-	culum
Committee) GEC (G	
Education Committee).	
d. It was noted that the	2017
2018 EAPC annual	report

included the following	
recommendations:	
i. The committee	
recommends that the	
charge of the committee be	
examined based on the	
needs of the university.	
ii. The committee	
recommends considering	
the consolidation of the	
Educational and	
Assessment Policy	
Committee and the	
Academic Policy	
Committee.	
e. It was noted that the 2018-	
2019 EAPC held an email vote	
regarding the dissolution of	
EAPC. Of the seven EAPC	
members that cast votes, all	
seven supported its	
dissolution.	
f. The inclusion of faculty	
oversight of assessment in the	
1	
proposed.	
i. Proponents indicated this	
oversight is founded on	
AAUP principles.	
ii. Critics suggested that it	
would be rather unusual to	
have faculty oversee an	
office, in this case the	
office of university	
assessment.	
iii. A compromise position	
was reached to clarify the	

	faculty oversight proposal		
	would be realized		
	satisfactorily if we		
	maintain the existing		
	threads of the EAPC scope		
	pertaining to the advisory		
	role on academic		
	assessment as well as the		
	responsibility to review		
	and recommend for or		
	against policy relating to		
	academic assessment.		
	g. Volunteers to this bylaws		
	revision work group were		
	Alex Blazer, David Johnson,		
	Mary Magoulick, John		
	Swinton, and Craig Turner.		
VII. New Business			
Actions/Recommendations			
Steering of Items to	At the time of this meeting, there were no		
Committees	items that required steering to a committee.		
Alex Blazer			
University Senate Agenda	1. <u>Tentative Agenda 25 Jan 2019</u> Based on	The motion (circulate minutes)	1. Alex Blazer to draft the
and Minutes Review	the committee reports at this meeting	was approved.	tentative agenda of this
	a. <u>Motions</u> There will be no motions on		university senate
	the agenda of this meeting of the		meeting.
	university senate.		2. There are no motions to
	b. <u>Reports</u> Administrative reports and		be entered into the
	committee reports and an update on the		online motion database.
	activities of the University Curriculum		3. Craig Turner to
	Committee (UCC), and the Faculty		circulate the DRAFT of
	Salary Review Task Force will also be		the university senate
	agenda items.		meeting minutes to
	c. <u>Potential Speakers</u> recommended to		university senators for
	receive an invitation to provide updates		review.
	included Dr. Veronica Womack for		

	Inclusive Excellence and the Diversity Action Plan and Lori Strawder for	
	Sustainability and Recycling.	
	d. University Senate Minutes Review A	
	MOTION that the DRAFT minutes of the	
	16 Nov 2018 meeting of the university	
	senate be circulated for university	
	senator review was made and	
	seconded.	
Consent Agenda for	Alex Blazer noted that a consent agenda could	4.
ECUS-SCC Meetings	be used to attend to the routine matters of	
_	agenda and minutes approval that are	
Alex Blazer	typically approved with no discussion. Those	
	present favored not adopting a consent agenda	
	at this time noting that current practice was	
	sufficiently efficient.	

Open Discussion	Open discussion was invited.	
-	1. Scholarship Eligibility John Swinton	
	noted Steven Elliott-Gower advocates the	
	replication of a University of Georgia	
	(UGA) policy pertaining to scholarship	
	eligibility whereby students don't lose a	
	scholarship when nonacademic	
	circumstances intervene to prevent a	
	student from maintaining a full academic	
	course load. This proposal was steered to	
	the Academic Policy Committee for	
	consideration noting that the details such	
	as a citation to the UGA Policy would be	
	extremely helpful.	
	2. <u>Space Utilization</u> Diana Young provided	
	an update on the activity of the Space	
	Utilization Committee noting that the	
	current plan was to go live with the new	
	software (25Live) that will replace the	
	existing R25 effective 1 June 2019.	
	Department chairs will be consulted	
	regularly during the spring 2019 semester	
	as the capabilities of 25Live for academic	
	scheduling are better understood when	
	they are tested to compare the 25Live	
	recommendations to academic course	
	scheduling to the schedules developed	
	with the current scheduling process.	
VIII. Next Meeting		
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)		
1. Calendar	25 Jan 2019 @ 3:30pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72	
	01 Feb 2019 @ 2:00pm ECUS Parks 301	
2 Tontative Agenda	01 Feb 2019 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC Parks 301 Some of the deliberation today may have	Alex Blazer to ensure that
2. Tentative Agenda	generated tentative agenda items for future	such items (if any) are
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.	added to agenda of a future
	LCOS and ECOS-SCC incentigs.	meeting of ECUS or ECUS-
		SCC.

IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider,	The motion to adjourn was
	a MOTION to adjourn the meeting was made	approved and the meeting
	and seconded.	adjourned at 4:27 pm.

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by: _____ Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS **COMMITTEE OFFICERS** ALEX BLAZER (CHAIR), DAVID JOHNSON (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY) **ACADEMIC YEAR** 2018-2019

AGGREGATE ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR MEETINGS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets and "N/A" denotes Not Applicable.

EFS = Electe	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator;										
Acronyms CoAS = Coll	llege of Arts and S	of Arts and Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences									
Meeting Dates	9/7/2018	10/5/2018	11/2/2018	12/7/2018	2/1/2019	3/1/2019	3/30/2019	Present	Regrets	Absent	
Donna Bennett EFS; Library; ECUS Member	Р	Р	Р	Р				4	0	0	
Alex Blazer EFS; CoAS; ECUS Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р				4	0	0	
Kelli Brown Provost	Р	Р	R	Р				3	1	0	
Nicole DeClouette EFS; CoE; ECUS Chair Emeritu.	P	R	Р	R				2	2	0	
Steve Dorman University President	R	R	R	R				0	4	0	
Glynnis Haley EFS; CoHS; ECUS Member	Р	R	Р	Р				3	1	0	
David Johnson EFS; CoAS; ECUS Vice-Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р				4	0	0	
John Swinton EFS; CoB; ECUS Member	Р	R	Р	Р				3	1	0	
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secretary	Р	Р	Р	Р				4	0	0	
Rodica Cazacu EFS; CoAS; APC Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р				4	0	0	
Mary Magoulick EFS; CoAS; EAPC Chair	Р	Р	R	Р				3	1	0	
Ashley Taylor EFS; CoAS; FAPC Chair	R	Р	Р	Р				3	1	0	
Diana Young EFS; CoAS; RPIPC Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р				4	0	0	
Joanna Schwartz EFS; CoB; SAPC Chair	R	Р	R	Р				2	2	0	