COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS **MEETING DATE & TIME:** 2 OCTOBER 2015; 3:30–4:45 **MEETING LOCATION:** 301 PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

ATTENDANCE:

MEMBERS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets					
Р	Angel Abney (CoAS, CAPC Chair)	Chavonda Mills (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)			
Р	Kelli Brown (Provost)	P	Lyndall Muschell (CoE, ECUS Member)		
Р	Jan Clark (CoAS, RPIPC Chair)	Р	Barbara Roquemore (CoE, FAPC Chair)		
Р	Jolene Cole (Library, ECUS Member)	Р	Susan Steele (CoHS, ECUS Chair Emeritus)		
Р	Donovan Domingue (CoAS, APC Chair)	Р	John R. Swinton (CoB, ECUS Chair)		
Р	Steve Dorman (University President)	Р	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)		
Р	David Johnson (CoAS, SAPC Chair)				
GUESTS: None					
Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.					
	*Denotes new discussion on old business.				

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to Order	The meeting was called to order at 3:30 pm by John R. Swinton (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded. A proposal to add the Provost's Report to the Reports section of the agenda was made and accepted by those present.		

2 October 2015 ECUS-SCC Meeting Minutes (FINAL DRAFT)

III. Approval of Minutes IV. Reports	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the minutes of the 4 Sep 2015 meeting of the Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs was made and seconded. A draft of these minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted (as circulated) to the minutes.gcsu.edu site.	The 4 Sep 2015 Executive Committee with Standing Committee Chairs meeting minutes were approved as posted, so no additional action was required.	
President's Report	President Dorman indicated that he had		
*	nothing to report as University President.		
President Dorman			
Provost's Report	1. <u>Tenure and Promotion Task Force</u>		
Provost Brown	 a. <u>Members</u> Per a Faculty Affairs Policy Committee recommendation, each academic unit (college, library) held an election to determine its representative(s). Below are the academic unit elected faculty, plus president and provost appointees and FAPC representatives. The names are provided in alphabetically order: Stephen Auerbach (CoAS) Karen Berman (FAPC) Ryan Brown (FAPC) Doug Goings (CoB) Lisa Griffin (CoHS) Eric Griffis (CoAS) Karl Manrodt (Provost appointee) Lyndall Muschell (CoE) 		
	 ix. Craig Turner (CoAS) ix. Edward Whatley (Library) xi. Diana Young (President appointee) b. <u>Organizational Meeting</u> The President and Provost will meet with 		

	the group on either October 9, 12 or 19
	to give the task force its charge.
	to give the task force its charge.
Executive Committee of the	1. Motions ECUS has two motions to
University Senate (ECUS)	submit for University Senate
	consideration at its 16 Oct 2015 meeting.
John R. Swinton	A pair of proposed bylaws revisions have
	been made. These are detailed below in
	item 3.c.
	2. Officers The 2015-16 ECUS officers are
	John R. Swinton (Chair), Chavonda Mills
	(Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner (Secretary)
	3. <u>Meeting</u> ECUS met on 2 Oct 2015 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics
	were discussed.
	a. <u>University Senate Representatives</u> A
	list of the individuals who will
	represent the university senate on
	university-wide committees and task
	forces follows.
	i. Parking and Transportation
	Advisory Committee: Alex Blazer
	(faculty) and Daniel Simpson
	(Staff)
	ii. Administrative Systems and
	Banner Advisory Committee:
	Patrick Simmons (faculty)
	iii. Mandatory Fee Committee: Craig
	Turner and David McIntyre (both
	faculty)
	iv. Liberal Arts Renewal Project
	(LARP): Jim Winchester (faculty)
	The issue of whether or not there needs
	to be a formal procedure for

<u>п</u>	
	confirming people who represent the
	university senate on university-wide
	committees was briefly discussed at
	the 2 Oct 2015 ECUS meeting noting
	that recent practice was for either the
	Presiding Officer to appoint a
	representative (possibly in
	consultation with ECUS) OR for the
	university senate to elect its
	representative (typically only in the
	presence of more individuals than
	positions available emerging as
	volunteers or nominees). As getting
	people to volunteer in the first place
	seems to be a challenge, at this time
	there is little interest in formalizing a
	procedure. It was agreed that in the
	case that more than one person would
	like to fill a spot that the Presiding
	Officer will initiate a survey (probably
	electronic) to determine the university
	senate's preference.
	b. <u>Campus Storyteller/Archivist</u> Jolene
	Cole will take on the task of working
	with library faculty and staff to define
	the role and suggest a person.
	c. <u>Drafting Bylaws Revisions</u>
	i. Elected Faculty Senator Eligibility,
	clarify when the two years of
	service needed for eligibility ends
	to address the perceived ambiguity
	of this requirement. The standing
uI	

	·	
	committee chairs were asked to	
	review the three versions proposed	
	and endorsed the promotion of	
	version three recommended by the	
	Executive Committee. The	
	interested reader is directed to the 2	
	Oct 2015 ECUS minutes for details	
	on the proposed revision and	
	current language of the relevant	
	section of the university senate	
	bylaws.	
	ii. Add the SoCC (Subcommittee on	
	the Core Curriculum) Chair to the	
	minimal membership of SCoN	
	(Subcommittee on Nominations).	
	The language proposed by the	
	Executive Committee was endorsed	
	by the standing committee chairs.	
	This was simply the insertion of the	
	SoCC Chair into the minimal	
	membership list. The interested	
	reader is directed to the 2 Oct 2015	
	ECUS minutes for details on the	
	proposed revision and current	
	language of the relevant section of	
	the university senate bylaws.	
	d. AAUP Redbooks The Chair requested	
	guidance on purchasing sufficient	
	copies of the most recent edition of the	
	AAUP Policy Documents and Reports	
	(a.k.a. Redbook) so that all FAPC	
	members will have one available. It	
μ	1	

Г (1 . 1 1 1	
	was agreed to release university senate	
	funds to purchase ten (10) copies that	
	will be housed in the Library.	
e.	Diversity Action Plan Update	
	Veronica Womack provided an update	
	on the diversity action plan to the	
	members of ECUS. Supporting	
	documents were supplied and will be	
	attached to the 2 Oct 2015 ECUS	
	minutes. The interested reader is	
	referred to the 2 Oct 2015 ECSU	
	minutes for additional information.	
f.	Apportionment The 2015-2016	
	apportionment of elected faculty	
	senator positions to academic units	
	was adopted by ECUS. The	
	apportionment of the 34 elected	
	faculty positions is CoAS – 18, CoB –	
	5, CoE – 4, CoHS – 5, Library – 2.	
	There was a change from the 2014-	
	2015 apportionment: specifically the	
	CoE apportionment dropped from five	
	to four and the CoAS apportionment	
	increased from seventeen to eighteen.	
g.	Steered the Following to Committees	
	i. The current university policy on	
	the posting of office hours found in	
	the Policies, Procedures and	
	Practices Manual reads as follows:	
	Office Hours, Posting Each	
	instructor shall post office hours	
	on his/her door. The instructor is	

		T	
	responsible for maintaining		
	adequate office hours to enable		
	him/her to be available for		
	meeting with any student who		
	wishes to see him/her. In no case		
	shall posted office hours for		
	student consultation be less than a		
	minimum of one clock hour a day.		
	Given that it is increasingly		
	common for the contact between		
	faculty and students to be virtual		
	(electronic) and that the purpose of		
	office hours is to promote		
	accessibility of the faculty		
	member to her/his students, it was		
	proposed that the required		
	minimum number of clock hours		
	required for inclusion as posted		
	office hours be reviewed and		
	possibly reduced or eliminated OR		
	that this policy be reconsidered to		
	permit consideration of counting		
	electronic access, i.e. virtual		
	availability vs. face-to-face		
	availability. This matter was		
	unanimously steered for review		
	and consideration to the Faculty		
	Affairs Policy Committee		
	(FAPC).		
Academic Policy Committee	1. <u>Motions</u> APC has no motions to submit		
(APC)	for University Senate consideration at its		
	16 Oct 2015 meeting.		

Donovan Domingue	2. Officers The 2015-16 APC officers are
2 010 (un 2 01111 gut	Donovan Domingue (Chair), David
	McIntryre (Vice-Chair) and John Sirmans
	(Secretary)
	3. Meeting The APC did not meet on Oct.
	2nd and requested a clarification of the
	ECUS request for APC to review policy
	and procedure on curriculum review.
	4. <u>Clarification</u> Passages from relevant
	minutes were referenced.
	a. Item in ECUS Report in the ECUS-
	SCC 4 Sep 2015 minutes
	Develop curriculum review policy and
	procedures steered to APC (broader
	than CAPC scope) with direction to
	coordinate with CAPC when
	curricular review is within CAPC
	scope.
	b. Item (Curriculum Review) in ECUS 4
	Sep 2015 minutes
	Late in the 2014-2015 academic year,
	Susan Steele in coordination with
	Senior Associate Provost Tom
	Ormond sought to provide clarity in
	the role of the new graduate council in
	curricular review. This effort has not
	yet reached fruition. What is desirable
	is a more robust approach to develop
	a policy and procedures for all
	curricular review at the institution.
	Since some curricular review is
	outside the scope of CAPC
	(Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee), this was steered to APC
	Committee), this was steered to APC (Academic Policy Committee) for
	(Academic Policy Committee) for development and disposition. It was
	development and disposition. It was
	recommended that APC consult with

	Questions such as "What is critical
	thinking?" will be explored.
	Eventually they will provide
	workshops for all core areas. Snacks
	will be served.
	b. Cross-Listed Courses Amy Sumpter
	mentioned that University Registrar
	Kay Anderson was thinking of
	recommending to no longer cross-list
	courses across disciplines.
	c. MED Curriculum and Instruction
	After CAPC's questions were
	clarified, CAPC voted to approve the
	new program, which offers an MED in
	Curriculum and Instruction from the
	Department of Foundations in the
	College of Education, to submit as a
	motion for university senate
	consideration. This program will be
	100% online.
Faculty Affairs Policy	1. Motions FAPC has one motion to submit
Committee (FAPC)	for consideration by the University Senate
	at its 16 Oct 2015 meeting. The motion is
Barbara Roquemore	a resubmission of 1415.FAPC.001.P
	(Policy defining Emeritus/Emerita Status)
	which was committed to FAPC for review
	of the viability of the benefits advertised
	in the policy by the university senate at its
	24 Apr 2015 meeting. See 3.a. below for
	details.
	2. Officers The 2015-16 FAPC officers are
	Barbara Roquemore (Chair), Tom Toney
	(Vice-Chair) and Alex Blazer (Secretary).
	3. <u>Meeting</u> The Faculty Affairs Policy
	Committee of the University Senate met
	on 2 Oct 2015 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm and
	the following items were discussed.
μ	

a. <u>Em</u>	<u>eritus Faculty</u>	
i.	Eliminating the following from	
	the proposal of 2014-15:	
	1) Georgia College bookstore	
	2) GC Fitness Center	
ii.	Change 'Parking' to read 'may	
	purchase an employee parking	
	tag'	
iii.	Resubmit to Senate	
b. <u>AA</u>	<u>UP Redbook</u>	
i.	5 individuals without Redbooks	
ii.	Recognized that it is already	
	used as a guidance tool on many	
	issues	
iii.	What is the purpose for an	
	official statement on the use of	
	the Redbook?	
iv.	Requesting John Swinton or	
	designee to next FAPC meeting	
	to provide clarification	
v.	Will consider after FAPC	
	members have opportunities to	
	review Redbook	
vi.	Note- John Swinton reported	
	that there would be ten (10)	
	copies of Redbook purchased	
	and placed on reserve in the	
	Library	
vii.	Note- John Swinton stated that	
	he would work out the meeting	
	with FAPC- perhaps sending a	
	narrative about this issue	
c. Aca	demic Year Faculty - Summer	
Wo	<u>rk</u>	
i.	Is there evidence of a problem in	
	colleges and departments?	

	ii. Requesting John Swinton or
	designee to attend next FAPC
	meeting to provide clarification.
	iii. Note- John Swinton reported that
	there had been concerns from
	faculty to include: add on
	expectations (orientations, etc.) to
	teaching contract, perceived
	discrepancies: (e.g. some paid
	and some not paid to serve on a
	search committee over the
	summer), inconsistency of
	summer contracts (some faculty
	reported they taught a summer
	course without a formal contract,
	the administration was confident
	that a faculty member would not
	be paid for teaching without a
	contract admitting that the
	summer contracts are not as
	formal as the academic year
	contracts).
	d. Faculty (Email) ListServ- Seeking
	an individual to help Alex Blazer to
	moderate a faculty (email) ListServ
Resources, Planning and	1. Motions RPIPC has no motions to submit
Institutional Policy	for University Senate consideration at its
Committee (RPIPC)	16 Oct 2015 meeting.
	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2015-16 RPIPC officers are
Jan Clark	Jan Clark (Chair), Ben McMillan (Vice-
	Chair) and Brittiny Johnson (Secretary).
	3. <u>Meetings</u> The Resources, Planning and
	Institutional Policy Committee met on 2
	Oct 2015 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm.
	a. <u>Who Was There?</u> All committee
	members were present as well as the
	following guests; Bob Orr, Mark

I		
	Pelton, Juawn Jackson, Miriam	
	Jordan, Ryan Greene, Mark Duclos,	
	and Shea Groebner.	
	b. <u>Holiday Calendar</u> The 2017	
	proposed employee holiday calendar	
	was presented for discussion as an	
	information item from Susan Allen,	
	with the committee indicating support	
	for the proposed calendar.	
	c. Parking Concern Employee parking	
	concern feedback in the form of	
	survey data from 136 employees as	
	well as a presentation by Miriam	
	Jordan were presented to the	
	committee and discussed in	
	consultation with Ryan Greene,	
	Parking and Transportation Services	
	Director, who submitted utilization	
	data and maps to inform the committee	
	of the changes in delegation of parking	
	spaces in the Kilpatrick lot to	
	commuter from employee and	
	Peabody lots from half employee and	
	commuter to all employee, which	
	resulted in a net loss of 50 employee	
	parking spaces.	
	d. Parking Policy A 20 Feb 2006	
	document was located by Kyle Cullars	
	which identified a parking committee	
	set of guidelines and procedures	
	signed by then president Dorothy	
	Leland which appears to be the current	
	policy document regarding parking.	
	The document indicates that parking	
	decisions are guided by the following	
	principles:	
	i. Parking in the heart of the main	
	campus is primarily set aside for	

 faculty and staff, although a premium should not be charge for these spaces. These groups have the greatest need to be closest to the academic and administrative buildings on campus, and accommodation should be made to permit employees to leave campus for work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at most for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than SIG,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park in dense below a diministrative should be primited to park in dense below a figure to a student parking fee, allowing them to park in more remote areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in dense meters. iv. Upper class resident should be parking in dense below to no cost for employees who make below a fact of the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be parking the parking the parking to commuter students should be parking to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident should be parking to commuter students and commuter students and close the heart parking to coations while not having a negative impact on specific and park and the park in perimeter areas. v. Transportation services should be improved as more park on genty and englisher in parking to coations while not having a negative impact on specific as more park and park in perimeter areas. 	Г		
These groups have the greatest need to be closest to the academic and administrative buildings on campus, and accommodation should be made to permit employees to leave campus, for work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees solued have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided a no cost for employees to leave the below a minimum pay grade (less than S16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student schild be provided a "basic" parking the students should be provided a "basic" parking the students and worder areas. with or campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. with. worder areas. with or houring a negative impart on space needed for employees. worder areas. worder areas while not having a negative <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
 be closes, to the academic and administrative buildings on campus, and accommodation should be made to permit employees to leave campus, for work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote arreas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking mort it at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimiter areas. iii. Freshmen should be primited to park in more students and charge beyond the normal student students should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and clores beyond the intervent students and clores beyond the should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students and the permitted to parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in generation areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 			
administrative buildings on campus, and accommodation should be made to permit employees to leave campus for work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16.000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking fee, allowing them to park to campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park iii. Areas, but primarily in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative inpact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more irrequent and reliable transportation			
 and accommodation should be made to permit employees to leave campus for work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$15,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking should be provided a "basic" parking should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		be closest to the academic and	
permit employees to leave campus for work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be importation sories and need more park on space needed for employees.		administrative buildings on campus,	
 work-related trips and yet be able to find parking when they return without costing the state additional more by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they vish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than S16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		and accommodation should be made to	
find parking when they return without costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/sear). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impacton space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more parkons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		permit employees to leave campus for	
 costing the state additional money by spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commutes should be marking and fee to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee to more convenient parking locations shull be preving locations shull be preving locations shull be preving the shull be preving the park on campus but primarily in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be preving for a fee to nore convenient parking locations shull be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations shull be preving an egative impact on space having a negative impact and resident shull be improved as more park on park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		work-related trips and yet be able to	
 spending time looking for parking. Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students is hould be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		find parking when they return without	
 Employees should have an option to park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. Upper class resident students and commute students and commuter students and fee to more and fee to the permitted to park in designated locations only. Upper class resident students and commuter students while not having a negative impact on space needed for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		costing the state additional money by	
 park in more remote areas if they wish to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		spending time looking for parking.	
to pay a lower fee for doing so, and "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more partons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		Employees should have an option to	
 "basic" parking should be provided at no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more partons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		park in more remote areas if they wish	
no cost for employees who make below a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		to pay a lower fee for doing so, and	
a minimum pay grade (less than \$16,000/year). ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		"basic" parking should be provided at	
 \$16,000/year). All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		no cost for employees who make below	
 ii. All students should be provided a "basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		a minimum pay grade (less than	
"basic" parking permit at no additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		\$16,000/year).	
additional charge beyond the normal student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas.iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas.iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees.v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation	ii.	All students should be provided a	
student parking fee, allowing them to park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas.iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas.iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees.v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		"basic" parking permit at no	
 park on campus, but primarily in perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		additional charge beyond the normal	
 perimeter areas. iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		student parking fee, allowing them to	
 iii. Freshmen should be permitted to park in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 		park on campus, but primarily in	
in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		perimeter areas.	
in designated locations only, specifically in perimeter areas. iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation	iii.	Freshmen should be permitted to park	
 iv. Upper class resident students and commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation 			
commuter students should be permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		specifically in perimeter areas.	
permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation	iv.	Upper class resident students and	
fee) to more convenient parking locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		commuter students should be	
locations while not having a negative impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		permitted to voluntarily upgrade (for a	
impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		fee) to more convenient parking	
impact on space needed for employees. v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		locations while not having a negative	
v. Transportation services should be improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation			
improved as more patrons park in perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation	v.		
perimeter areas and need more frequent and reliable transportation		-	
frequent and reliable transportation			
from outer lots to the heart of campus.		-	
		from outer lots to the heart of campus.	
vi. For all parking and transportation			
considerations, the campus master			

	plan and way-finding plan should be	
	followed.	
	Policy or Procedure? The question of	
	whether this was policy or procedure	
	vas raised in the RPIPC meeting and	
	s being brought to ECUS for	
	liscussion. The 20 Feb 2006 parking	
	committee document, signed by	
	President Leland appears to be a	
	Presidential proclamation and as such	
	could be considered the current	
	oinding policy regarding parking	
	lelegation procedures.	
f. <u>I</u>	Parking Recommendation The	
r	ecommendation was presented to	
r	eturn the 50 employee spaces in the	
	Kilpatrick lot as soon as possible as	
t	his presents an emergency situation of	
f	aculty being unable to meet their	
	contractual obligations due to an	
i	nability to find parking.	
	Personal Transportation Vehicles A	
	lraft of a GC Personal Transportation	
, v	Vehicle Procedure Requirements	
	locument was presented by Mark	
I	Duclos and Shea Groebner. After	
	liscussion, the committee suggested	
t	hat the document could be	
s	trengthened by putting it into the	
	iniversity senate policy template	
f	format. Whether it needs to be put	
f	orth as a policy motion from RPIPC	
	or simply accepted as an information	
i	tem is being brought to ECUS for	
	liscussion. <u>Note</u> : It was noted that this	
i	nitiative emerged as an issue of	
	compliance with new state legislation	

regarding the driving of gol	f carts on
sidewalks.	
h. <u>Strategic Plan</u> The prop	
Strategic Planning process	
was presented to the com	
Mark Pelton. After discussion	
suggested by the committee	
about having fewer commit	
	robust
representation of all constitu	
Parking Deliberation A sum	
deliberation on parking concerns	that took
place at the 2 Oct 2015 meeting of E	CUS with
Standing Committee Chairs follows	
RPIPC advocates for	
o the return of t	he fifty
employee parking	s spots
recently lost	through
reallocation and	
o a revival of complia	ince with
the guiding principle	s and
o the presence of a	parking
advisory committee	called for
in the 20 Feb 2006 de	ocument.
President Dorman noted that	t this was
the first time he had seen	the 2006
guiding principles on	parking
document and that althoug	h he had
been part of the parking rea	allocation
decision, he would not have	approved
the recent changes if	he had
understood at the time that the	ere was a
loss of employee parking spo	ots.
President Dorman offer	ered to
communicate with Ryan G	reene the
need to (1) revive the part	
transportation advisory c	ommittee

	populated by faculty, staff and
	students to provide counsel and advice
	on the reallocation of parking places
	(2) return the fifty employee spots as
	soon as possible (3) ensure all parking
	spot reallocation complies with the
	guiding principles of the 20 Feb 2006
	document.
	• This offer was immediately accepted
	with gratitude by Jan Clark on behalf
	of RPIPC.
	• Parking challenges near the
	Centennial Center exist, particularly
	regarding the use of Centennial Center
	classrooms. Instructors are guided to
	take the shuttle rather than drive
	personal vehicles. Students are
	challenged to get to class on time as
	shuttles do not seem to be running at
	all times they are needed. Similar
	concerns were expressed for West
	Campus parking for West Campus
	classrooms.
	• A recommendation that parking
	reallocation decisions be informed by
	peak demand not average observed
	demand. That is, do not reallocate
	spots simply because there is a
	consistent observation that spots are
	not utilized between 3pm and 4pm
	when during peak utilization times all
	spots allocated are in fact occupied by
	authorized (individuals possessing
	appropriate hang tags) users of the
	parking lot.
l	punning fot.

Student Affairs Policy	1. Motions SAPC has no motions to submit
Committee (SAPC)	for University Senate consideration at its
	16 Oct 2015 meeting.
David Johnson	2. Officers The 2015-16 SAPC officers are
	David Johnson (Chair), Heidi Fowler
	(Vice-Chair) and Cliff Towner
	(Secretary).
	3. <u>Meeting</u> The Student Affairs Policy
	Committee met on 2 Oct 2015 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics
	were discussed.
	a. <u>Committee Officers Elected</u> Heidi
	Fowler elected vice chair, Cliff
	Towner elected secretary.
	b. Student Government Association
	(SGA) Activity
	i. SGA advocates for a full-time staff
	member for gender identity and
	expression, and a review of
	language in the academic non-
	discrimination policy.
	ii. SGA requests clarity and
	accessibility for the academic
	grievance/grade appeals policy,
	with inclusion on the required
	statements for all university
	syllabi.
	iii. SGA requests looking into the
	fairness of the withdrawal system
	(should a lab count as a withdrawal
	on top of the class to which it is
	attached?) and the necessity of a
	medical "partial" withdrawal
	4. <u>Deliberation at ECUS-SCC</u>
	a. Consideration of the advocacy of a
	full-time staff member for gender

	identity and expression was steered to
	SAPC.
	b. Consideration of the academic
	nondiscrimination policy was steered
	to SAPC with a recommendation to
	consult FAPC if necessary
	c. Consideration of the accessibility of
	the academic grievance (including
	grade appeals) policy with inclusion
	as a standard statement on all syllabi
	was steered to ECUS. Note: During
	the preparation of the minutes, ECUS
	checked and notes that a reference to
	this policy is already in the list of
	required syllabi statements found
	online. At the time these minutes are
	being prepared, the link to this set of
	required syllabi statements is
	https://intranet.gcsu.edu/common-
	syllabus-statements and requires
	unify credentials to access.
	d. Consideration of the withdrawal
	system (the 5W policy) was steered to
	APC.
Subcommittee on	1. Motions SCoN has no motions to submit
Nominations (SCoN)	for University Senate consideration at its
	16 Oct 2015 meeting.
Chavonda Mills	2. Officers The 2015-16 SCoN officers are
	Chavonda Mills (Chair), (No Vice-Chair
	position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).
	3. <u>Apportionment</u> The exact number of
	elected faculty senator positions
	apportioned to each academic unit was
	approved by the Executive Committee of
	the University Senate during the ECUS
	meeting on 2 Oct 2015.

V. Information Items	4. <u>Elected Faculty Senator Election</u> The SCoN will assist ECUS in drafting elected faculty senator election oversight letters for distribution to deans of each academic unit (colleges and the library).	
Actions/Recommendations		
AAUP Redbooks	2 Sep 2015	<u>2 Sep 2015</u>
John R. Swinton	The American Association of University Professors (AAUP) celebrates the 100 th anniversary of its 1915 founding during 2015. As part of this celebration, a new (eleventh) edition of the Redbook (so called due to its red cover and more formally titled Policy Documents and Reports) was published in December 2014 and is now available for purchase. The Redbook is a compendium of statements of best practice for all things academic. Are there committee chairs who do not have a copy of the most recent (eleventh) edition? There were at least two (Jan Clark,	John Swinton to ensure that university senate leaders receive a copy of the eleventh edition of the AAUP Redbook (or access to the pdf format version) and to check with President Dorman on (partially) funding this purchase.
	Barbara Roquemore) chairs who did not have a copy of this resource. There was agreement by those present to explore ways to obtain copies of the AAUP Redbook for leaders of the 2015-2016 University Senate. The feasibility of hard copies and/or access to the pdf version will be explored. Also before allocating university senate dollars to this, John R. Swinton will see if President Dorman is willing to provide funding (partial or full) to purchase these books.	
Committee Annual Reports		<u>2 Sep 2015</u> John R. Swinton to follow up with Susan Steele, Ben McMillan, and Amy Pinney, respectively, to secure

	 with Susan Steele, Ben McMillan, and Amy Pinney, respectively, to secure electronic copies of these reports. <u>4 Oct 2015</u> The ECUS and RPIPC reports have been secured and are archived on the university senate Green Page. The SAPC report has not yet been secured. 		electronic copies of these reports. <u>4 Oct 2015</u> John R. Swinton did follow up with Susan Steele, Ben McMillan, and Amy Pinney, respectively, to secure electronic copies of these reports.
VI. Unfinished Business Review of Action & Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up			
VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations			
University Senate Agenda and Minutes Review	 Tentative Agenda 16 Oct 2015: Based on the committee reports at this meeting, <u>Motions</u> There will be up to four motions on the agenda of the 16 Oct 2015 meeting of the University Senate, specifically 	The motion (circulate minutes) was approved.	 John R. Swinton to draft the tentative agenda of the 16 Oct 2015 meeting of the University Senate. Motions to be entered into the online motion database by CAPC (1), ECUS (2), FAPC (1). Craig Turner to circulate the DRAFT minutes of the 18 Sep 2015 meetings of the University Senate to university senators for review.

	1) Resubmission of Emeritus		
	Benefits policy.		
	b. <u>Reports</u> Administrative reports and		
	committee reports will also be agenda		
	items.		
	2. <u>University Senate Minutes Review</u> : A		
	<u>MOTION</u> that the DRAFT minutes of the 18		
	Sep 2015 University Senate meeting be		
	circulated for university senator review		
	was made and seconded.		
VIII. Next Meeting			
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)			
1. Calendar	16 Oct 2015 @ 3:30pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72		
	06 Nov 2015 @ 2:00pm ECUS Parks 301		
	06 Nov 2015 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC Parks 301		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have		John R. Swinton to ensure
_	generated tentative agenda items for future		that such items (if any) are
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		added to agendas of an
			ECUS and/or ECUS-SCC
			meeting in the future.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider,	The motion to adjourn was	- C
	a MOTION to adjourn the meeting was made	approved and the meeting	
	and seconded. Note that at 4:44pm a motion	adjourned at 4:47 pm.	
	to extend the meeting by five minutes was	_	
	made, seconded and approved.		

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by:_____ Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) **COMMITTEE OFFICERS:** JOHN R. SWINTON (CHAIR), CHAVONDA MILLS (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY) **ACADEMIC YEAR: 2015-2016**

AGGREGATE ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR MEETINGS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets, "NYE" denotes not yet elected

Acronyms	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator; CoAS = College of Arts & Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences										
Meeting Dates		09-04-15	10-02-15	11-06-15	12-04-15	02-05-15	03-04-15	04-01-15	Present	Regrets	Absent
Kelli Brown		R	Р						1	1	0
Provost		N	1						1	1	0
Jolene Cole		R	Р						1	1	0
EFS; Library, ECUS Mem	ıber	Ν	1						1		
Steve Dorman		R	Р						1	1	0
University President			•						_		
Chavonda Mills		Р	Р						2	0	0
EFS; CoE; ECUS Vice-Ch	iair	-								-	
Lyndall Muschell EFS; CoE; ECUS Member		Р	Р						2	0	0
Susan Steele	<i>r</i>									0	0
EFS; CoHS; ECUS Chair	Fmeritus	Р	Р						2	0	0
John R. Swinton	Lineritus	6	6						2	0	0
EFS; CoB; ECUS Chair		Р	Р							0	U
Craig Turner		D	л						2	0	0
EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secret	ary	Р	Р							U	U
Donovan Domingue	-		P						1	0	0
EFS; CoAS APC Chair		NYE	Р						L	U	U
Angel Abney		Д	П						2	0	0
EFS; CoE; CAPC Chair		Р	Р							Ŭ	Ŭ
Barbara Roquemore		р	Л						2	0	0
EFS; CoAS; FAPC Chair		Р	P							<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Jan Clark		Р	Р						2	0	0
EFS; CoB, RPIPC Chair		Г	r								
David Johnson		NYE	Р						1	0	0
EFS; CoAS, SAPC Chair			L L								

CHAIRPERSON SIGNATURE

DATE _____-(Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion

2 October 2015 ECUS-SCC Meeting Minutes (FINAL DRAFT)