COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS

MEETING DATE & TIME: 01 SEPTEMBER 2017; 3:30 –4:45

MEETING LOCATION: PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, ROOM 301

ATTENDANCE:

MEMBERS		"P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets		
P	Alex Blazer (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)		Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)	
P	Kelli Brown (Provost)	R	J.F. Yao (CoB, ECUS Member)	
R	Jolene Cole (Library, ECUS Member)	P	John Swinton (CoB, APC Chair)	
P	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Chair)	P	Lyndall Muschell (CoE, CAPC Chair)	
R	Steve Dorman (University President)	P	David Johnson (CoAS, FAPC Chair)	
P	Will Hobbs (CoHS, ECUS Member)	P	Diana Young (CoAS, RPIPC Chair)	
P	Chavonda Mills (CoAS, ECUS Chair Emeritus)	P	Emily Gomez (CoAS, SAPC Chair)	
Mary	GUESTS Mary Magoulick (SoCC Chair) Qiana Wilson (University Counsel)			
	Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting. *Denotes new discussion on old business.			

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to Order	The meeting was called to order at 3:27 pm by Nicole DeClouette (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A MOTION to approve the agenda was made and seconded. A revision to the agenda was proposed to move the university senate bylaws item to immediately follow the approval of the agenda to accommodate the		

	schedule of one of the meeting guests
	(University Counsel Qiana Wilson). This
	revision was accepted by those present with
	no dissenting voice.
III. Approval of Minutes	As this was the first ECUS-SCC meeting of
	the 2017-2018 academic year, there were no
	ECUS-SCC minutes to review.
IV. Reports	
President's Report	As President Dorman had extended Regrets
	and was unable to attend this meeting, there
President Dorman	was no President's Report.
Provost's Report	1. 2017-18 Academic Year Fall semester is
_	off to a good start.
Interim Associate Provost	2. <u>University Curriculum Committee</u>
Costas Spirou	(UCC) Task Force members selected
for	(except for a representative from the
Provost Kelli Brown	Department. Chairs).
	a. ECUS-SCC Deliberation A request
	for a SoCC (Subcommittee on the
	Core Curriculum) representative on
	this task force was made from the
	floor. The Provost was receptive to
	this request.
	3. University Senate Support Since my
	arrival in 2013, new support for
	University Senate has been
	1) include assisting university senate
	with a percentage of time of a staff
	position to provide continuity from
	year to year, especially with
	financials, etc.
	2) a one course release for each semester
	for the presiding officer;
	Now I am proposing three credit hours of
	summer pay over eight weeks (June and
	July) to have a university senate
	sury to have a university schace

representative (i.e., incoming presiding officer) available to answer questions, be available for consultation, etc. Must follow BoR rules regarding amount of compensation in summer (at most 33 1/3%). Request: to put into practice for summer 2018.

- a. <u>ECUS-SCC</u> <u>Deliberation</u> While there was appreciation expressed for this additional support, there were some concerns expressed. Specifically
 - 1) Given the nature of this work would not vary with the discipline of the individual, it was thought a consistent stipend would be better than the proposal to treat the stipend as a three hour summer course.
 - 2) There should be a narrative that clearly articulates the expectations of the individual representing the university senate formalized as a contract for this work.

While no formal vote was taken to discern the support of those present for the concerns raised, the points of concern resulted in a

MOTION that the Provost draft narrative that clearly articulates the expectations of the individual representing the university senate formalized as a contract for this work for review at the next ECUS-SCC meeting. The motion was seconded. During the motion discussion, the Provost requested two volunteers from those in attendance to assist with the

	$\overline{}$		T
		drafting of this language. Both Alex	
		Blazer (Presiding Officer Elect) and	
		Chavonda Mills (Past Presiding	
		Officer) volunteered to assist. The	
		motion was adopted as amended with	
		no dissenting voice.	
Subcommittee on	1.	Motions SCoN has one motion to submit	
Nominations (SCoN)		for university senate consideration at its	
, ,		15 Sep 2017 meeting. See item 3 below.	
Alex Blazer	2.	Officers The 2017-18 SCoN officers are	
		Alex Blazer (Chair), (No Vice-Chair	
		position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).	
	3.	Slate of Nominees Since the 21 Apr 2017	
		University Senate meeting, a number of	
		changes have been made to the slate of	
		nominees that will be submitted as a	
		motion for a vote at the 15 Sep 2017	
		university senate meeting.	
		a. RPIPC: Michael Watson has replaced	
		Haley Dingess as a Selected Staff	
		Senator.	
		b. RPIPC: Jessica Swain has replaced	
		Bill Doerr as Staff Council Appointee.	
		c. SAPC: Simplice Tchamna has	
		replaced Jeffrey Dowdy as a	
		Volunteer.	
		d. APC: Christina Smith has replaced	
		Mariana Stoyanova as a Volunteer.	
		This motion will be added to the consent	
		agenda as unanimous endorsement is	
		anticipated.	
	4.	SoCC Area E The Chair of SoCC reports	
		that Area E teaching representative Dana	
		Wood has resigned from the committee;	
		therefore, a replacement is needed.	
	5.	USG Faculty Council (USGFC)	
			1

	a LISCEC survivad member institutions
	a. USGFC surveyed member institutions
	regarding their summer teaching
	policies over the summer.
	b. USGFC is clarifying its bylaws
	charge.
	c. USGFC wants member institutions to
	be aware of Learning Support
	Academy
	https://frontpage.gcsu.edu/announcem
	ent/usg-learning-support-academy.
	d. USGFC fall 2017 meeting will take
	place Fri 1 Dec 2017.
Executive Committee of the	1. Motions ECUS has no motions for
University Senate (ECUS)	university senate consideration at its 15
	Sep 2017 meeting.
Nicole DeClouette	2. Officers The 2017-18 ECUS officers are
	Nicole DeClouette (Chair), Alex Blazer
	(Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner
	(Secretary).
	3. Meeting ECUS met on 01 Sep 2017 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics
	were discussed.
	a. Operating Procedures The ECUS
	reviewed and approved the revised
	ECUS Operating Procedures for
	2017-18.
	b. Appeals Process University Senate
	<u>Committee Decisions</u>
	i. The university senate bylaws
	already provide a process by
	which three university senators
	can bring items to ECUS for
	steering to a committee and
	possibly result in consideration
	by the university senate as a
	committee of the whole. (See

University Senate **Bylaws** IV.Section1) ii. ECUS recommends that all votes coming out of committees be reported; includes this recommendations for a proposal and recommendations against a proposal. 1) Recommendation for proposal will come to the university senate floor either as a formal motion or as an item on the consent agenda. 2) Recommendation against a proposal will come to the university senate floor as an item on the consent agenda. A single university senator may remove an item from the consent agenda to be considered separately. 3) This way each vote will be recorded and will provide a way for the university senate to advise the University President. c. Proposed Revisions to University **Senate Composition** This item was postponed pending the revisions to the university senate once curricular matters are removed. This may relieve the tension of not having enough elected faculty senators. d. University Senate Bylaws Qiana

Wilson presented the recommended changes to the bylaws and ECUS

members asked questions and gave further recommendations. e. Elected Faculty Senator Election **Oversight** i. Request for Corps of Instruction List was made on August 25. ii. Once received, it will be forwarded to Chair of SCoN to proceed with apportionment. f. Revisions to the University Senate **Committees Motion (include on the** consent agenda?) ECUS agreed that motions to revise the slate of nominees be included on the consent agenda because these revisions rarely require any discussion. g. University Committees and Task Forces Update Presiding Officer is working to find representatives to serve. h. University Senate Representative University Curriculum Committee Ad Hoc Task Force Presiding Officer conducted the election this week and John Swinton was elected to represent the university senate in this capacity. i. Standing Committee Officers **Orientation (SCOO)** i. Date: Friday, 22 Sep 2017, 3:30p ii. Location: A&S 2-55 **University Senate Budget Update**

i. \$3,344.25 was spent for the

ii. Available balance: \$1,655.75

retreat

Motions APC has no motions to submit **Academic Policy Committee** (APC) for university senate consideration at its 15 Sep 2017 meeting. John R. Swinton 2. Officers The 2017-18 APC officers are John R. Swinton (Chair), Rodica Cazacu (Vice-Chair) and Catrena Lisse (Secretary). 3. **Meeting** Academic **Policy** The Committee met on 01 Sep 2017 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topic was discussed. a. Academic Standing at the Midterm APC is continuing its long-standing review of policies pertaining to the timely notification of students of their academic standing in classes. On behalf of APC, I requested that the information regarding the minimum requirements for course syllabi be posted in the same place that the required syllabus statements are posted. The Provost requested that I provide links to both locations to which I sent her the following: i. "We concluded that it would be beneficial that links to both the required syllabus statements, which exist in these two locations (note that the links may require Unify credentials to access): • https://intranet.gcsu.edu/com mon-syllabus-statements • http://www.gcsu.edu/registrar/ required-syllabus-statements

and the minimum requirements for what belongs in a course syllabus, which currently exists in

	1 DDD16 11 1 1
	the PPPM at this location: http://gcsu.smartcatalogiq.com/e
	n/Policy-Manual/Policy-
	Manual/Academic-
	Affairs/EmploymentPolicies-
	Procedures-Benefits/Faculty-
	Rights-and-
	Responsibilities/Faculty-Work-
	Requirements/Syllabus-
	Requirements,
	be found together. It appears that
	most faculty are currently aware
	of the links to the required
	syllabus statements. Therefore, it
	would probably be easiest if a link
	to the minimum requirements of a
	syllabus appear with the notice
	concerning the required
	statements. We are particularly
	interested in all faculty members
	being made aware that they are
	encouraged to provide feedback
	by mid-semester (item 11) in <i>all</i>
	classes, not just core classes."
Curriculum and Assessment	1. Motions CAPC has seven motions to
Policy Committee (CAPC)	submit for university senate consideration
	at its 15 Sep 2017 meeting. The topics of
Lyndall Muschell	these motion are provided below in 3.a.
Lynam Wasenen	2. Officers The 2017-18 CAPC officers are
	Lyndall Muschell (Chair), Angel Abney
	(Vice-Chair) and Angela Criscoe
	(Secretary).
	3. Meeting The Curriculum and Assessment
	Policy Committee met on 01 Sep 2017
	from 2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following
	topics were discussed.
	topics were discussed.

- a. <u>Motions</u> The following Action Items were deliberated and voted upon. The result of these votes, documenting CAPC actions, is given below.
 - i. <u>Restructuring College of Business</u> <u>Departments</u> - approved
 - ii. Proposal to Form a Department of Communication approved unanimously
 - iii. New Course Core Curriculum
 Area E Sustainability approved
 unanimously
 - iv. Termination of Deactivated
 Programs approved unanimously
 <Note that this motion is being
 parsed into four motions: one for
 each college: CoAS, CoB, CoE,
 and CoHS.>

Each of these items will be submitted as a motion to university senate for deliberation and a vote.

- b. <u>Information Items</u> The following information items were shared with CAPC and will be documented in the CAPC minutes.
 - i. New Course Proposals
 - 1) ENGL 5224 Renaissance
 Poetry and Prose A study of selected works of poetry and prose from the Renaissance period in England, continental Europe, and explorations of the Americas.
 - 2) ENGL 5229 English Renaissance Drama A study of dramatic literature from the

Elizabethan and Jacobean periods in England. 3) ENGL 5450 International Women's Literature - A study of literature and film by and about women from a global and from perspective perspectives of women's and gender studies. 4) ENGL 5530 Early American **Literature** - A study of early American literature. 5) **ENGL** 5540 American Romanticism - A study of American Romanticism. 5660 6) ENGL Modern **American Literature** - A study of early twentieth-century American literature. 7) ENGL 5675 Contemporary American Literature - A study of contemporary American literature. 8) **ENGL 5775 Folklore** & Literature - A study of the interconnections between folklore and literature and how they influence each other, from a global perspective. 9) ENGL 5810 Film Studies - A study of film and film theory. 10) ENGL 5820 Jane Austen on **Film** - A study of selected texts by Jane Austen in comparison with film adaptations of

Austen's work.

ii. Changes in Academic Profile And/or Catalog Descriptions of Courses

1) The academic profile of ENGL
4110 Literary Criticism and
5110 Literary Criticism was changed to an in depth study of two or three critical theories.
The revised catalog description should read: "A focused study of one or two methodologies of literary criticism."

2) ENGL 5440 Modern Drama - Course Description and

Course Description and Academic Profile Change was made to expose literature students to both modern and contemporary drama. The following changes were made:

- a) change the catalog description of ENGL 5440 Modern Drama from "a study of selected modern plays" to "a study of selected modern and/or contemporary plays," and
- b) change the academic profile of the course such that whenever the phrase "modern drama" appears, it is replaced with "modern and/or contemporary drama."

3) ENGL 5446 Modern Poetry -

Course Description and Academic Profile Change was made to expose literature

	<u></u>
	students to both modern and
	contemporary poetry. The
	following changes were made
	a) change the catalog
	description of ENGL 5446
	Modern Poetry from "a
	study of selected modern
	poetry in English" to "a
	study of modern and/or
	contemporary poetry," and
	b) change the academic profile
	of the course such that
	whenever the phrase
	"modern poetry" appears, it
	is replaced with "modern
	and/or contemporary
	poetry."
	iii. Course Title Change
	1) The title of ENGL 5555
	American Literature 1865 to
	1920 was changed to ENGL
	5555 American Realism.
	iv. <u>Catalog Revisions – CoE</u>
	ADMISSION REQUIREMENTS FOR
	GRADUATE PROGRAMS The
	admission requirements for the
	Masters of Education Programs,
	Masters of Arts of Teaching
	Programs, and Education Specialist
	Programs within the College of
	Education have been revised to
	provide clarity and consistency
	across degree areas.
Subcommittee on the Core	1. Motions SoCC has no motions to submit
Curriculum (SoCC)	for university senate consideration at its
	15 Sep 2017 meeting.
Mary Magoulick	

- 2. Officers The 2017-18 SoCC officers are Mary Magoulick (Chair), Brandon Samples (Vice-Chair) and Kay Anderson (Secretary).
- 3. <u>Meetings</u> The following summarizes SoCC activity at its recent meetings.
 - a. <u>Information Items</u> SoCC reviewed the following information items on 25 Aug 2017
 - i. Add a new psychology instructor, Stephanie Eileen Jett, to teach GC1Y section, *Psychological Ethics*
 - ii. Add a new business instructor, Cynthia Orms, to teach a new section of *Issues in International Business* (note: it was not indicated on the form whether this is a GC1Y or a GC2Y)
 - b. Area E Proposal SoCC approved a proposal to add IDST 2050, Sustainability, to Area E of the core. The proposal will make its way through the system (CAPC approved it also and will add it as a motion for university senate consideration.)

c. Area B Section Proposals

- i. SoCC is accepting new proposals for Area B classes. Please inform your faculty colleagues who may be wishing to teach Area B sections.
- ii. Please be aware that the routing process has changed slightly, so that now we must receive three signatures before we can review proposals: the Chair's signature, the Dean's signature, and the Associate

	Durandla si angkana Alling ang si ang
	Provost's signature. All information
	about our proposal process is on our
	website:
	https://intranet.gcsu.edu/socc
	(requires unify credentials to login)
	d. <u>Area B Forums</u> SoCC has two
	upcoming forums scheduled:
	i. <u>Training Seminar</u> for all Faculty
	in HOW TO PROPOSE AN AREA
	B SECTION, Friday, Sep. 22, 2pm,
	A&S 2-72. Please encourage
	faculty in your area to attend.
	ii. Discussion Forum for faculty
	teaching (or wishing to teach)
	about the rewards and challenges
	of teaching in Area B. 2pm,
	Friday, Sep 29, 2pm, room TBA.
	Please encourage faculty currently
	teaching in Area B to attend.
	e. Area E Teaching Representative is
	needed on SoCC to replace Dana
	Wood who left GCSU.
Faculty Affairs Policy	1. Motions FAPC has one motion to submit
Committee (FAPC)	for consideration by the university senate
	at its 15 Sep 2017 meeting. See 3.a.
David Johnson	2. Officers The 2017-18 FAPC officers are
	David Johnson (Chair), Ashley Taylor
	(Vice-Chair) and Sarah Handwerker
	(Secretary).
	3. Meeting The Faculty Affairs Policy
	Committee met on 01 Sep 2017 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm and the following
	items were discussed.
	a. Motion A motion has been submitted
	to the university senate to switch to the
	shorter SRIS form (Student Rating of
	Instruction Survey).
	HIST UCTION Survey).

	b. <u>Peer Teaching Evaluation Pilot</u> FAPC has broad agreement on a pilot
	program for peer teaching evaluation,
	and is now working on the final draft.
	c. Post-Tenure Review Notifications
	i. Concern was expressed that
	faculty who are up for post-tenure
	review are not being notified by
	the office of Academic Affairs.
	ii. Reply Provost Brown indicated
	her awareness of this issue and that
	notifications for this year should
	be going out soon. Going forward,
	the notifications should go out in
	May in compliance with the Post-
	Tenure Review policy.
Resources, Planning and	1. Motions RPIPC has no motions to submit
Institutional Policy	for university senate consideration at its 15
Committee (RPIPC)	Sep 2017 meeting.
	2. Officers The 2017-18 RPIPC officers are
Diana Young	Diana Young (Chair), Donna Bennett
	(Vice-Chair) and Courtney Manson
	(Secretary).
	3. Meetings The Resources, Planning and
	Institutional Policy Committee met on 01
	Sep 2017 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm and the
	following items were discussed.
	a. Operating Procedures RPIPC first
	reviewed and approved the meeting
	agenda and the 2017-2018 RPIPC
	operating procedures.
	b. RPIPC Scope We continued our
	discussion from the August 15
	meeting about whether changes to the
	RPIPC Scope would better describe
	the nature of the committee. Points
	discussed:
	uiscusseu.

- i. Does the "non-instructional personnel" description provide a narrow understanding of the kinds of policies we may recommend?
- ii. Would a change in language to describe the scope of RPIPC in a "catch-all" manner (we can take on any matter not otherwise directed to another committee) result in RPIPC being seen as a second-class university senate committee?

We agreed to postpone a vote on any changes to this Scope until a future meeting.

c. Shared Sick Leave During the previous academic year, RPIPC was involved in fact-finding regarding the GC shared sick leave program policy that has been developed to align with USG/BoR policy. Also during that time, GC Human Resources included regulations regarding this policy on their website, and their language mirrors that of the USG/BoR policy. Young: What does RPIPC have to do from this point forward? Hoffmann and Pierce: HR website has all necessary policy procedures in place, and shared sick leave was available during Open Enrollment in November 2016. We will draft a motion for review in the next week, and pending approval by the committee, bring this motion to the University Senate at its September or October meeting as a RPIPC policy proposal.

d	RPIPC Composition The committee	
۵.	also discussed the possibility of	
	changing RPIPC Membership. The	
	committee has suggested that the	
	committee should include among its	
	13 members an appointed CIO (Chief	
	Information Officer) representative.	
	Over the last few years, Bob Orr (the	
	current CIO) has volunteered as a	
	"guest" at RPIPC meetings, which has	
	been extremely helpful; however,	
	there is no formal mechanism for	
	including a CIO representative. Last	
	year, RPIPC discussed this issue	
	without a formal proposed change to	
	the "membership" of the committee.	
	Michael Watson and Evita Shinholster	
	(staff council representatives) noted	
	that it has been difficult for Staff	
	Council to fill their three RPIPC	
	member positions in recent years; they	
	suggested that we change membership	
	of RPIPC in the following way:	
	Change the "one (1) member who is a	
	staff member appointed by a process	
	determined by Staff Council" to "one	
	(1) member who is the Chief	
	Information Officer or an appointed	
	representative of the Chief	
	Information Officer." We will draft a	
	revised RPIPC membership	
	description to reflect these discussed	
	changes; committee will review and	
	vote on these changes at its October	
	meeting. Such changes will need to go	
	through ECUS as it requires a change	

in bylaws. Proposed changes should also be reviewed by Staff Council. e. 12-month Pay Option for Faculty We discussed the various materials related to the 12-month distribution option request for 10month salaried employees. Jan Hoffmann and Jen Yearwood: there is documentation from various places supporting this option as ADP was being replaced (Spring 2014 GC faculty survey strongly supporting this option; March 21, 2015 statements from USG chancellor Huckaby and USG Chief Academic Officer Davis at the USG Faculty Council meeting promising this option) Leslie Pierce: There is a OneUSG Connect Project Team (she is the HR Functional Lead on this team) continuing to work on the roll-out of OneUSG Connect; there is a plan in place to have this 12-month distribution option for faculty in the future. Diana Young, Jan Hoffman, and Jen Yearwood (or Susan Allen) will contact Dennis Brown (our OneUSG Connect Project Team Lead) to learn about continued progress on this item and draft a resolution to bring to the University Senate if information about progress is not provided in the next month. f. Sustainability/Recycling We had small discussions how the recycling

program (developed by the GC Sustainability Council) is being implemented in different places across

	campus. There may be inconsistencies
	in the existence of trash/recycling bins
	in different campus classrooms.
	g. HR Policy We also learned about a
	possible upcoming change in HR
	policy (that may need action from
	RPIPC) about vacation/annual leave
	accrual.
	h. Next Meeting More about these last
	two topics are expected in our October
	meeting.
Student Affairs Policy	1. Motions SAPC has no motions to submit
Committee (SAPC)	for university senate consideration at its
Committee (SAFC)	
Emily Comes	15 Sep 2017 meeting. 2. Officers The 2017-18 SAPC officers are
Emily Gomez	
	Emily Gomez (Chair), Wanda Johnson
	(Vice-Chair) and Simplice Tchamna-
	Kouna (Secretary).
	3. Meeting The Student Affairs Policy
	Committee met on 01 Sep 2017 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm and the following
	items were discussed.
	a. HUB (Honoring, Uniting, Building)
	i. Jennifer Graham and Melissa
	Gerrior told us about all the
	programs and services offered at
	the HUB. The HUB includes the
	Women's Center, Cultural Center,
	and LGBTQ+ Center.
	ii. Mike Augustine and Laverne
	Renfroe talked to us about issues
	related to Veterans on campus and
	a future Veteran's Center at the
	HUB.
	b. Next SAPC Meeting We will invite
	Veronica Womack to talk about the
	progress being made on the Diversity

П		Т
	Action Plan, and find out how SGA	
	can help move it forward.	
V. Information Items		
Actions/Recommendations		
University Senate Budgets	1. Foundation Account for university	
	senate is now established and has a	
Nicole DeClouette	balance of \$0.	
	2. Balance The balance of the university	
	senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually)	
	is presently at \$1655.75. Anticipated	
	expenditures include reimbursement of	
	attendance expenditures to Alex Blazer	
	for USG Faculty Council meetings during	
	the 2017-18 academic year.	
Standing Committee Officer	Nicole DeClouette indicated a date for the	
Orientation (SCOO)	Standing Committee Officer Orientation	
	(SCOO) had been selected based on the	
Nicole DeClouette	Doodle poll and was Fri 22 Sep 2017. The	
	location of this meeting will be shared once it	
	is confirmed via the pending R25 request. The	
	start time of the orientation was proposed for	
	3:00pm based upon the Doodle poll. At the	
	request of some of the standing committee	
	chairs the orientation start time was changed	
	to 3:30pm noting that there were a number of	
	meetings scheduled for 2:00pm that day. This	
	change brings the meeting to a consistency	
	with the typical Friday afternoon meeting	
	blocks of 2:00-3:15 and 3:30-4:45. Nicole	
	DeClouette will send an email invite to	
	standing committee officers once the location	
	is confirmed for 3:30pm Fri 22 Sep 2017.	

VI. Unfinished Business Review of Action & Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up		
Appeals Process for	Nicole DeClouette reminded those present	
Decisions of University	that as part of his narrative response to Motion	
Senate Committees	1617.CAPC.020.C, President Dorman stated	
	Finally, I charge the ECUS of the University	
Nicole DeClouette	Senate to consider an appeals process	
	whereby decisions made by the various	
	committees of the University Senate may be	
	considered for appeal.	
	This appeals process had been discussed at the	
	2017 Governance Retreat with the conclusion	
	that there already exist channels of appeal. This retreet assign had been as facilitated by	
	This retreat session had been co-facilitated by Nicole DeClouette and Chavonda Mills.	
	Nicole DeClouette provided a synopsis of the	
	findings of the session.	
	1. The university senate bylaws already	
	provide a process by which three	
	university senators can bring items to	
	ECUS for steering to a committee and	
	possibly result in consideration by the	
	university senate as a committee of the	
	whole. (See University Senate Bylaws	
	IV.Section1)	
	2. All votes coming out of committees be	
	reported; this includes recommendations	
	for a proposal and recommendations	
	against a proposal.	
	i. Recommendation for a proposal will	
	come to the university senate floor	
	either as a formal motion or as an	
	informational item on the consent	
	agenda.	

- ii. Recommendation against a proposal will come to the university senate floor on the consent agenda. A single university senator may remove an item from the consent agenda to be considered separately.
- iii. This way each vote will be recorded and will provide a way for the university senate to advise the President.
- 3. <u>ECUS-SCC Deliberation</u> A lively discussion of the proposal ensued with the following conversation points.
 - i. All items on which a committee makes a recommendation (for or against) with a committee vote will be documented in the university senate committee minutes.
 - ii. Recommendations for OR against will come to the university senate floor either as a formal motion or as an item on the consent agenda.
 - iii. The consent agenda is for those matters for which unanimous agreement by all university senators is anticipated.
 - iv. The placing of an item on the consent agenda will be determined by a recommendation of the committee via the committee chair and ultimately determined by the presiding officer in consultation with both the committee chair and the agenda setting body ECUS.
 - v. It is anticipated that language detailing the process will be drafted by Nicole DeClouette in

Contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gsus.edu of standing committee chairs. 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty			
further review by ECUS as well as standing committee chairs. The ultimate repository of this language is anticipated to be the university senate bylaws. Naturally this will require subjecting this language to the university senate bylaws revision process. ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group sco@scus.edu of standing committee chairs. 1. Nicole DeClouette note the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate			
standing committee chairs. The ultimate repository of this language is anticipated to be the university senate bylaws. Naturally this will require subjecting this language to the university senate bylaws revision process. ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate			
ultimate repository of this language is anticipated to be the university senate bylaws. Naturally this will require subjecting this language to the university senate bylaws revision process. ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group sce@gest.edu of standing committee chairs. 1. Nicole DeClouette University Senate Composition Nicole DeClouette Nicole DeClouette 1. Nicole DeClouette of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senators on university senate		•	
is anticipated to be the university senate bylaws. Naturally this will require subjecting this will require subjecting this will require subjecting this will require subjecting this language to the university senate bylaws revision process. ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu_edu_of standing committee chairs. 1. Nicole DeClouette onted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senators on university senate			
senate bylaws. Naturally this will require subjecting this language to the university senate bylaws revision process. ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group sec@ecu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition Nicole DeClouette Senators and the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senators on university senate		ultimate repository of this language	
require subjecting this language to the university senate bylaws revision process. ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcus.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senators on university senate		is anticipated to be the university	
the university senate bylaws revision process. I. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group sec@gesu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senators positions on university senate		senate bylaws. Naturally this will	
ECUS Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group secone genued of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition Nicole DeClouette Nicole DeClouette of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		require subjecting this language to	
I. Nicole DeClouette read into the record contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		the university senate bylaws revision	
Nicole DeClouette contextual information regarding a proposed revision to the composition of ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		process.	
Nicole DeClouette proposed revision to the composition of ECUs. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information group scc@gesu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate	ECUS Composition	1. Nicole DeClouette read into the record	
ECUS. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		contextual information regarding a	
the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition University Senate Composition the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes standing committee of standing committee of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate	Nicole DeClouette	proposed revision to the composition of	
details. 2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		ECUS. The interested reader is directed to	
2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS-SCC minutes for	
committee chairs to seek feedback from their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition University Senate I. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		details.	
their committees on the proposal as the topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		2. Nicole DeClouette requested standing	
topic was not discussed at the 2017 Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		committee chairs to seek feedback from	
Governance Retreat as planned. 3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty Nicole DeClouette Senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		their committees on the proposal as the	
3. There was a request from standing committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		topic was not discussed at the 2017	
committee chairs that the aforementioned contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		Governance Retreat as planned.	
contextual information be provided electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		3. There was a request from standing	
electronically by email. Nicole DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		committee chairs that the aforementioned	
DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		contextual information be provided	
ensure that this information was shared. Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		electronically by email. Nicole	
Note During the preparation of these minutes, it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		DeClouette and Craig Turner agreed to	
it is noted that this contextual information email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		ensure that this information was shared.	
email was sent to the distribution group scc@gcsu.edu of standing committee chairs. University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		Note During the preparation of these minutes,	
University Senate 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty Nicole DeClouette senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		it is noted that this contextual information	
University Senate Composition 1. Nicole DeClouette noted the source of this issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate		email was sent to the distribution group	
Composition issue was the identification of the tension between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate			
between the number of elected faculty senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate	University Senate		
Nicole DeClouette senators and the number of elected faculty senator positions on university senate	Composition		
senator positions on university senate		between the <i>number of elected faculty</i>	
	Nicole DeClouette	v v	
committees. Recent practice to relieve this			
		committees. Recent practice to relieve this	

tension has been to find an elected faculty senator on SoCC willing to also serve on CAPC to meet the bylaws requirements pertinent to elected faculty senators. At present, Mary Magoulick is serving on both SoCC and CAPC. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 — was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws Nicole DeClouette Nicole DeClouette The interested reader is directed to the 1 Sep 2017 ECUS minutes for deliberation of levels on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1 Sep 2017 ECUS minutes for deliberation of		
CAPC to meet the bylaws requirements pertinent to elected faculty senators. At present, Mary Magoulick is serving on both SoCC and CAPC. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainty persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		tension has been to find an elected faculty
pertinent to elected faculty senators. At present, Mary Magoulick is serving on both SoCC and CAPC. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainty persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws Nicole DeClouette I. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		
present, Mary Magoulick is serving on both SoCC and CAPC. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
both SoCC and ČAPC. The interested reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 — was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		
reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017 ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee on impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 — was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainty persist to review, develop and amend curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws Nicole DeClouette The interested reader is directed to the 1 The interested reader is directed to the 1		
ECUS minutes for more details including ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee is impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 — was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainty persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		both SoCC and CAPC. The interested
ideas that were proposed during 2016-17 for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee is impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainty persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		reader is directed to the 31 Mar 2017
for consideration to relieve this tension. 2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainty persist to review, develop and amend curricular paisessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		ECUS minutes for more details including
2. In light of the current development of the university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		ideas that were proposed during 2016-17
university curriculum committee and the uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		for consideration to relieve this tension.
uncertainty of the university curriculum committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 — was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		2. In light of the current development of the
committee's impact on the continuation of CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty — which is anticipated by January 2018 — was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		university curriculum committee and the
CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		uncertainty of the university curriculum
postpone consideration of this issue until there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		committee's impact on the continuation of
there is more certainty – which is anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		CAPC and SoCC, a recommendation to
anticipated by January 2018 – was offered from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		postpone consideration of this issue until
from the floor. There was no dissenting voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		there is more certainty – which is
voice to this recommended course of action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		anticipated by January 2018 – was offered
action. 3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		from the floor. There was no dissenting
3. There were brief conversation threads indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		voice to this recommended course of
indicating that CAPC would almost certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		action.
certainly persist to review, develop and amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		3. There were brief conversation threads
amend curricular policy and curricular assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		indicating that CAPC would almost
assessment, the latter being the A of CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		certainly persist to review, develop and
CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		amend curricular policy and curricular
Policy Committee). University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		assessment, the latter being the A of
University Senate Bylaws 1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		CAPC (Curriculum and Assessment
deliberation of ECUS on university senate bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		Policy Committee).
Nicole DeClouette bylaws was provided. The same supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1	University Senate Bylaws	1. ECUS Deliberation Summary The
supporting document that ECUS reviewed had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		deliberation of ECUS on university senate
had been disseminated to all present with the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1	Nicole DeClouette	bylaws was provided. The same
the agenda for this ECUS-SCC meeting. The interested reader is directed to the 1		supporting document that ECUS reviewed
The interested reader is directed to the 1		had been disseminated to all present with
Sep 2017 ECUS minutes for deliberation		The interested reader is directed to the 1
		<u> </u>
details. The topics summarized were		details. The topics summarized were

- a. Proposed removal of curricular review function of CAPC, noting that this review function persists until current university senate bylaws are revised.
- b. An editorial suggestion to replace *be concerned with* with *review and recommend* in scopes of APC, CAPC, FAPC, RPIPC, SAPC.
- c. Addressing the policy-making vs policy-recommending language noting Will Hobbs was drafting some alternative language for consideration.
- d. Addressing the restriction of votes on academic matters to faculty noting Craig Turner was drafting language for consideration. Recommended from the floor was restricting the vote at the committee level to corps of instruction faculty for APC, CAPC and FAPC to ensure that elected faculty senators and faculty volunteers serving on the committee could vote at committee meetings. There was no clear consensus on whether to apply a restriction to elected faculty senators or corps of instruction for university senate votes.
- e. Next steps were for
 - i. Qiana Wilson to collect revisions into a new draft
 - ii. Nicole DeClouette to disseminate the new draft to all committee members with a clear deadline for feedback
 - iii. Nicole DeClouette to ensure that the first reading of the new draft

	occur at the 20 Oct 2017 meeting of the university senate. 2. ECUS-SCC Deliberation a. No additional revisions were offered from the floor beyond those proposed by ECUS as addressed above. b. Discussion ensued about the UCC (University Curriculum Committee) and the composition of the task force to form the UCC resulting in the proposed incorporation of a SoCC		
	representative. This proposal was		
VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations	accepted by Provost Brown.		
Steering of Items to	At the time of this meeting, there were no		
Committees	items that required steering to a committee.		
Nicole DeClouette			
University Senate Agenda and Minutes Review	 Tentative Agenda 15 Sep 2017: Based on the committee reports at this meeting Motions There will be up to nine motions on the agenda of this meeting of the university senate, specifically CAPC (7) Restructuring College of Business Departments Proposal to Form a Department of Communication New Course - Core Curriculum Area E - Sustainability Termination of Deactivated Programs <note and="" being="" coas,="" cob,="" coe,="" cohs.="" college:="" each="" for="" four="" into="" is="" motion="" motions:="" one="" parsed="" that="" this=""></note> 	The motion (circulate minutes) was approved.	 Nicole DeClouette to draft the tentative agenda of this university senate meeting. Motions to be entered into the online motion database by CAPC (7), FAPC (1), SCoN (1). Craig Turner to circulate the DRAFT of the university senate meeting minutes to university senators for review.

	 Student Opinion Surveys SCoN (1) Committee Replacements Reports Administrative reports and committee reports will also be agenda items. University Senate Minutes Review: A MOTION that the DRAFT minutes of the 21 Apr 2017 meeting of the 2016-2017 university senate and the DRAFT minutes of the 21 Apr 2017 organizational meeting of the 2017-2018 university senate be 		
	circulated for university senator review		
	was made and seconded.		
VIII. Next Meeting			
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)	15.0 2017.0 200 11 1 0 1 100.2 72		
1. Calendar	15 Sep 2017 @ 2:00pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72 06 Oct 2017 @ 2:00pm ECUS Parks 301		
	06 Oct 2017 @ 2:00pin ECUS-1 arks 301 06 Oct 2017 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC Parks 301		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have		Nicole DeClouette to ensure
	generated tentative agenda items for future		that such items (if any) are
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		added to agenda of a future
			meeting of ECUS or ECUS-
***			SCC.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider,	The motion to adjourn was	
	a MOTION to adjourn the meeting was made	approved and the meeting	
	and seconded.	adjourned at 4:48 pm.	1

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS

COMMITTEE OFFICERS: NICOLE DECLOUETTE (CHAIR), ALEX BLAZER (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY)

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2017-2018

AGGREGATE ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR MEETINGS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS

"P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets and "N/A" denotes Not Applicable.

Acronyms		EFS = Elected Faculty Senator; CoAS = College of Arts and Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences									
Meeting Dates	CoAS = College o	9/1/2017	•	11/3/2017		•		3/31/2018	Present	Regrets	Absent
Alex Blazer EFS; CoAS; ECU	S Vice-Chair	P							1	0	0
Kelli Brown Provost		P							1	0	0
olene Cole EFS; Library; EC		R							0	1	0
Nicole DeClouette EFS; CoE; ECUS		P							1	0	0
Steve Dorman University Presid	ent	R							0	1	0
Will Hobbs EFS; CoHS; ECU	'S Member	P							1	0	0
	S Chair Emeritus	P							1	0	0
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECU	S Secretary	P							1	0	0
.F. Yao EFS; CoB; ECUS	Member	R							0	1	0
ohn Swinton EFS; CoB; APC (Chair	P							1	0	0
Lyndall Muschell EFS; CoE; CAPC	' Chair	P							1	0	0
David Johnson EFS; CoAS; FAP	C Chair	P							1	0	0
Diana Young EFS; CoAS; RPIF	PC Chair	P							1	0	0
Emily Gomez EFS; CoAS; SAPC	C Chair	P							1	0	0
CHAIRPERSON SIG	NATURE			DATE				-(Including t	his Approva	al by chair at	committee d