## **COMMITTEE NAME** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS **MEETING DATE & TIME** 01 FEBRUARY 2019; 3:30–4:45 **MEETING L** OGATION DEFEORLEMENT DOOM 212

MEETING LOCATION BEESON HALL, ROOM 313

# ATTENDANCE

Members		"P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets			
Р	Donna Bennett (Library, ECUS Member)	P	John Swinton (CoB, ECUS Member)		
Р	Alex Blazer (CoAS, ECUS Chair)	Р	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)		
R	Kelli Brown (Provost)	P	Rodica Cazacu (CoAS, APC Chair)		
R	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Chair Emeritus)	P	Mary Magoulick (CoAS, EAPC Chair)		
R	Steve Dorman (University President)	P	Ashley Taylor (CoAS, FAPC Chair)		
R	Glynnis Haley (CoHS, ECUS Member)	P	Diana Young (CoAS, RPIPC Chair)		
Р	David Johnson (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)	P	Joanna Schwartz (CoB, SAPC Chair)		
GUES	GUESTS				
Costas Spirou, Senior Associate Provost					
	Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.				
	*Denotes new discussion on old business.				

AGENDA TOPIC	<b>DISCUSSIONS &amp; CONCLUSIONS</b>	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	<b>FOLLOW-UP</b> {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to Order	The meeting was called to order at 3:32 pm by Alex Blazer (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the minutes of the 7 Dec 2018 meeting of the Executive Committee		

	with Standing Committee Chairs was made	Standing Committee Chairs	
	and seconded. A draft of these minutes had	meeting were approved as posted,	
	been circulated to the meeting attendees via	so no additional action was	
	email with no revisions offered. Thus, the	required.	
	minutes had been posted as circulated.		
IV. Reports			
President's Report	As President Dorman had extended <i>Regrets</i>		
	and was unable to attend this meeting, there		
President Dorman	was no President's Report.		
Provost's Report	President Brown had extended Regrets and		
-	she had authorized Senior Associate Provost		
Costas Spirou	Costas Spirou to provide the following		
	Provost's Report.		
for	1. USG Momentum Year Summit II will		
	take place February 28 (core team) and		
Provost Kelli Brown	March 1 (core team/deans/department		
	chairs). The GC Core Team is comprised		
	of President Dorman, Provost Brown, Dr.		
	Brooks, Ms. Allen, Ms. Delisa, Dr. Alby,		
	Ms. Weston, and Ms. Mayweather.		
	2. Excellence Awards The nominations for		
	university excellence awards are due to		
	the Center for Teaching and Learning on		
	March 1.		
	3. COPLAC Awards The COPLAC Dunn		
	(faculty) and Prior (student) awards are		
	due to COPLAC on March 1. The Center		
	for Teaching and Learning is overseeing		
	the process.		
	4. Searches		
	a. The COHS Dean Search Committee		
	completed airport interviews last week		
	and the finalists will be on campus		
	beginning February 11.		
	b. Numerous other searches are currently		
	underway (faculty, department chairs,		
	underway (racuity, department chails,		l

1		
	Executive Director of Admissions,	
	Director of the Center for Teaching	
	and Learning).	
5.	<b><u>RACAA</u></b> Georgia College will host the	
	USG RACAA (Regents Administrative	
	Committee on Academic Affairs) meeting	
	of Chief Academic Officers on February	
	20 and 21.	
6.	Tenure and Promotion The Office of the	
	Provost is working on developing an	
	electronic submission of T/P documents	
	and a T/P workshop which will take place	
	in April 2019. Both of these initiatives are	
	aimed at supporting GC faculty.	
7.	Curriculum Updates The USG recently	
	approved (1) the MAT in Special	
	Education delivery in an online format, (2)	
	MSN certificate and concentration in	
	Midwifery, and (3) MSN certificate and	
	concentration in Women's Health Nurse	
	Practitioner.	
8.	University Curriculum Review The	
	online curriculum review and approval	
	process is now complete and fully	
	operational (connected to General	
	Education Committee (GEC), University	
	Curriculum Committee (UCC) and	
	Graduate Council).	
	a. The new electronic system for	
	submitting and tracking curricular	
	proposals is now in place. All	
	proposals can be easily located and	
	tracked to determine progress and	
	decisions. Relevant forms and	
	resources are located on the GC	
	Curriculum Approval website with url	
	http://www.gcsu.edu/provost/gc-	
	<u>nup.//www.gcsu.cuu/provost/gc-</u>	

	curriculum-approval. Parts of this
	website are accessible only with unify
	credentials.
	9. <u>Questions?</u>
	a. What is the cost to implement the
	online review of Tenure and
	Promotion portfolios? There is no
	cost for doing this, so the cost is \$0.
Subcommittee on	1. <u>Motions</u> SCoN has one motion to submit
Nominations (SCoN)	for university senate consideration at its
	25 Jan 2019 meeting. See item 3.
David Johnson	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 SCoN officers are
	David Johnson (Chair), (No Vice-Chair
	position) and Craig Turner (Secretary).
	3. <u>Slate of Nominations</u> As Bob Orr retired
	from the university, the slate of nominees
	requires a replacement, and specifically
	Cindy Bowen replaces Bob Orr as Chief
	Information Officer Designee to RPIPC
	effective 16 Jan 2019. A motion with this
	proposed revision to the committee slate
	of nominees has been drafted and entered
	into the online motion database for
	consideration by the university senate at
	its 15 Feb 2019 meeting contingent on an
	endorsement to sponsor the motion by
	ECUS-SCC.
	a. A MOTION to have the SCoN sponsor
	the aforementioned slate revision
	motion was made, seconded, and
	endorsed with no further deliberation
	and no dissenting voice and only
	ECUS members and Standing
	Committee Chairs eligible to vote.
	b. This motion will be added to the
	consent agenda of the 15 Feb 2019
	university senate agenda.
0	

	4. Election Oversight .No elected faculty
	4. <u>Election Oversight</u> .No elected faculty senator election results have been received
	from the deans of the academic units. I
	will send an email reminder to each of the
	college deans. No action from the library
	is required this cycle as the term of each of
	its elected faculty senators extends beyond
	April 2019.
Executive Committee of the	1. <u>Motions</u> ECUS has two motions for
University Senate (ECUS)	university senate consideration at its 25
	Jan 2019 meeting. See item 3.a.
Alex Blazer	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 ECUS officers are
	Alex Blazer (Chair), David Johnson
	(Vice-Chair) and Craig Turner
	(Secretary).
	3. Meeting ECUS met on 01 Feb 2019 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics
	were discussed.
	a. Bylaws Revisions
	i. Organizational Meetings of the
	Standing Committees
	ii. Committee Structure
	iii. See these minutes, specifically
	the entry entitled <b>Retreat</b>
	Sessions on Possible Revisions
	to University Senate and
	Assessment for details of items i
	and ii.
	b. Inventory and Review of Official
	Documents including but not
	limited to the Governance History,
	University Senate Handbook ECUS
	members provided feedback on the
	draft, notably proposing that the
	handbook revision process be
	modeled after the university senate
	bylaws revisions process. The

	Handbook is on track to being voted	
	on by ECUS, and then university	
	senate in March.	
с.	<b>Review Procedures for University</b>	
	Senate Representation on	
	University-Wide Committees	
	ECUS members provided feedback	
	on the draft, notably including	
	i. other university senate positions	
	beyond those serving on	
	university-wide committees such	
	as USG Faculty Council, the	
	Electronic Web Presence Liaison,	
	and so forth,	
	·	
	ii. matching the committee table	
	information with the committee	
	bullet point information, and	
	iii. selection by university senator	
	vote when more than one senator	
	volunteers to serve as a university	
	senate representative on a	
	university-wide committee.	
d.	2019-2020 Governance Calendar	
	ECUS members provided feedback	
	on the draft. The Presiding Officer	
	will share the draft with the Provost	
	for review by the Deans and Chairs.	
e.	Open Discussion John Swinton,	
	university senate representative to the	
	Faculty Salary Review Task Force,	
	reported that any task force	
	recommendations will be enacted no	
	earlier than Jan 2020 contingent on	
	acceptance by the Executive Cabinet	
£		
f.	University Senate Foundation	
	i. 2018-2019 Donations \$1256.00	
	ii. Expenses to Date \$0.00	

	iii. Remaining \$1256.00
	g. <u>University Senate Budget</u>
	i. No change from last month
	ii. 2018-2019 Budget \$5000.00
	1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
	Meetings) \$675.00
	2) Office Supplies & Expenses
	(Printing, Retreat, etc.)
	\$4325.00
	iii. Total Expended AY 2018-2019
	\$3402.84
	1) Travel (USG Faculty Council
	Meetings) \$433.93
	2) Office Supplies & Expenses
	\$2968.31
	iv. Remaining <b>\$1597.16</b>
	v. At the next ECUS-SCC meeting,
	we will discuss Foundation and
	Budget expenses.
Academic Policy Committee	1. <u>Motions</u> APC has no motions to submit
(APC)	for university senate consideration at its
	25 Jan 2019 meeting.
Rodica Cazacu	2. Officers The 2018-19 APC officers are
	Rodica Cazacu (Chair), Lyndall Muschell
	(Vice-Chair) and Sarah Handwerker
	(Secretary).
	3. Meeting The Academic Policy
	Committee did not meet on 01 Feb 2019
	from 2:00pm to 3:15pm as there were no
	items of business requiring the attention
	of this committee.
Educational and	1. Motions EAPC has no motions to submit
Assessment Policy	for university senate consideration at its
<b>Committee (EAPC)</b>	25 Jan 2019 meeting.
	2. <u>Officers</u> The 2018-19 EAPC officers are
Mary Magoulick	Mary Magoulick (Chair), Matt Forrest

	(Vias Chain) and Christing Mutiti
	(Vice-Chair) and Christine Mutiti
	(Secretary).
	3. <u>Meeting</u> The Educational and Assessment
	Policy Committee did not meet on 01 Feb
	2019 from 2:00pm to 3:15pm as there
	were no items of business requiring the
	attention of this committee.
Faculty Affairs Policy	1. Motions FAPC has no motions to submit
<b>Committee (FAPC)</b>	for consideration by the university senate
	at its 25 Jan 2019 meeting.
Ashley Taylor	2. Officers The 2018-19 FAPC officers are
	Ashley Taylor (Chair), Angela Criscoe
	(Vice-Chair) and Olha Osobov
	(Secretary).
	3. <u>Meeting</u> The Faculty Affairs Policy
	Committee did meet on 01 Feb 2019 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topic
	was discussed.
	a. <u>Midterm Feedback</u> 1) FAPC continued our discussion
	regarding the midterm feedback
	policy. Representatives from
	SGA's Academic Affairs
	Committee, Taylor Carswell and
	Turner Gibson, came to discuss
	student concerns regarding the
	lack of midterm feedback from
	some professors. In particular,
	they mentioned student's lack of
	awareness regarding how to
	proceed when they have not
	received feedback and other
	barriers to reporting their
	experiences.
	2) As a committee, we decided to
	follow up on this issue by learning
	more about APC's

	recommon detions on midtern
	recommendations on midterm
	feedback policy.
	3) At the next FAPC meeting, we will
	discuss the feasibility of a policy
	that requires midterm feedback in
	PAWS for all undergraduate
	courses.
<b>Resources, Planning and</b>	1. Motions RPIPC has no motions to submit
Institutional Policy	for university senate consideration at its 25
Committee (RPIPC)	Jan 2019 meeting.
× ,	2. Officers The 2018-19 RPIPC officers are
Diana Young	Diana Young (Chair), Darryl Richardson
	(Vice-Chair) and Marcela Chiorescu
	(Secretary).
	3. <u>Meetings</u> The Resources, Planning and
	Institutional Policy Committee did not
	meet on 01 Feb 2019 from 2:00pm to
	3:15pm as there were no items of business
	requiring the attention of this committee
	a. We are exploring the feasibility of
	placing water filters on all campus
	drinking fountains.
Student Affairs Policy	1. <u>Motions</u> SAPC has no motions to submit
<b>Committee (SAPC)</b>	for university senate consideration at its
	25 Jan 2019 meeting.
Joanna Schwartz	2. Officers The 2018-19 SAPC officers are
	Joanna Schwartz (Chair), Monica Ketchie
	(Vice-Chair) and Guy Biyogmam
	(Secretary).
	3. Meeting The Student Affairs Policy
	Committee did meet on 01 Feb 2019 from
	2:00pm to 3:15pm. The following topics
	were discussed.
	a. Cultural Center
	i. The director of the cultural center,
	Dr Stacey Milner, presented
	several activities and important

μ		
	programs covered by the cultural	
	center in an effort to promote	
	diversity and inclusion. She	
	stated that the cultural center is	
	receiving more attention from	
	students and there is ongoing	
	construction to provide them with	
	more space in the HUB	
	(Honoring, Uniting, Building).	
	Dr. Milner stated that the biggest	
	challenges of the cultural center	
	to achieve its mission are	
	visibility and formal funding.	
	Also, the cultural center would	
	like to partner with other	
	departments on campus. It was	
	recommended that a Diversity	
	and Inclusion component be	
	included in the freshmen seminar	
	course.	
	b. Bobcats.gcsu Email	
	i. The committee continued	
	discussion on the students'	
	concern about their Bobcat E-	
	mail addresses. The committee's	
	recollection from conversations	
	with Dr. Bob Orr is that for	
	students to move their email	
	domain from a google domain to	
	a different one, they would have	
	to give up their Google affiliation.	
	This could result in SGA calling	
	for a ballot for students to vote	
	and reach a consensus.	
	ii. The committee thought that it will	
	be very hard to get a consensus	
UI	,	

	from students, so they to me in stad
	from students, so they terminated
	this discussion on this topic.
	c. <u>Georgia College Early College</u>
	i. The discussion on concerns that
	Georgia College Early College
	(GCEC) students do not feel
	welcome on campus continued.
	ii. SGA added a new position
	dedicated to GCEC students in
	order to help improve the
	relationship between GCEC
	students and GC students.
	iii. The recommendation that a
	Diversity and Inclusion
	component be included in the
	freshmen seminar course will also
	better prepare GC students to
	accept GCEC students on
	campus, and educate them on
	opportunities such as volunteer
	hours, tutoring, leadership, active
	learning, and diversity and
	inclusion activities offered by the
	GCEC program.
	iv. SGA will meet with ambassadors
	of Executive Student Board to
	discuss with them again how to
	make GC students aware of the
	importance of GCEC students on
	Campus during campus tours.
V. Information Items	
Actions/Recommendations	
University Senate Budgets	1. Foundation Account is now established
Chiversity Schate Duugets	and has a balance of <b>\$1256.00</b> .
Alex Blazer	2. <u>Balance</u> The balance of the university
	senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually)
	is presently at <b>\$1597.16</b> as there were no
	is presently at \$1377.10 as there were no

	expenditures since the last ECUS	
	meeting. Anticipated expenditures	
	include the reimbursement of attendance	
	expenditures for USGFC meeting	
	attendees <david and="" glynnis<="" johnson="" th=""><th></th></david>	
	Haley> for the spring 2019 USGFC	
	meeting.	
University Senate	<u>7 Sep 2018</u>	
Representatives	1. Alex Blazer noted that the inventory of	
	university committees or university task	
Alex Blazer	forces desiring one or more university	
	senate representatives is in preparation.	
	a. Alex Blazer is presently contacting	
	point persons of these groups to	
	determine/clarify their needs.	
	b. Alex Blazer noted that he is	
	supplementing the current minimal	
	information (name of group	
	<committee, etc.="" force,="" task="">, name</committee,>	
	of university senate representative)	
	with	
	<i>1) desired position characteristic(s)</i>	
	(faculty, staff, student, etc.),	
	2) term of service of position	
	<i>3) selection process of position</i>	
	4) membership of group	
	5) charge of group	
	5) Churge of group	
	5 Oct 2018	
	This agenda item was postponed to the next	
	ECUS-SCC meeting due to a shortage of time.	
	2 Nov 2018	
	$\frac{2 \text{ Nov 2018}}{4 \pi \text{ graded on this item uses manifold in the }}$	
	An update on this item was provided in the	
	ECUS report.	
	<b>5</b> D 2010	
	<u>7 Dec 2018</u>	

	An update on this item was provided in the
	ECUS report.
	<u>1 Feb 2019</u>
	An update on this item was provided in the
	ECUS report.
Inventory and Review of	7 Sep 2018
Official Documents of the	1. At the 2018 Governance Retreat, Nicole
University Senate	DeClouette and John Swinton agreed to
emversity senate	serve as the ECUS work group on this
Nicole DeClouette	initiative.
John Swinton	2. Presently other obligations are taking
John Swinton	
	precedence for both Nicole DeClouette
	and John Swinton, and they anticipate
	making some progress on this initiative by
	the 5 Oct 2018 ECUS meeting.
	3. A brief discussion included the following
	talking points.
	a. There is a list of official documents in
	the supporting document University
	Senate Highlights for the 2018
	governance retreat which includes
	university senate mission, vision,
	beliefs, governing concepts, meeting
	etiquette, governance history, and the
	university senator handbook.
	b. A suggestion was made to fold all of
	these official documents into the
	university senator handbook (as
	chapters) updating as necessary the
	documents for accuracy, currency, as
	well as for compliance with university
	senate bylaws AND adding a revision
	process. In this way, there will be only
	one official document to maintain
	going forward.
Ĺ	

	5 Oct 2019	
	<u><b>5 Oct 2018</b></u> As both John Swinton and Nicole DeClouette	
	had extended regrets and were unable to	
	attend this meeting, Alex Blazer read into the	
	record their update.	
	The governance history has been reformatted	
	(larger font) and added as a proposed new	
	section to the university senate handbook.	
	Our next step is to bring this history and	
	references to university senate bylaws up to	
	date. After that, we will draft a proposed	
	revision process to guide future handbook	
	updates.	
	1	
	<u>2 Nov 2018</u>	
	An update on this item was provided in the	
	ECUS report.	
	7 Dec 2018	
	An update on this item was provided in the	
	ECUS report.	
	<u>1 Feb 2019</u>	
	An update on this item was provided in the	
	ECUS report.	
VI. Unfinished Business	*	
Review of Action &		
Recommendations, Provide		
updates (if any) to Follow-up		
<b>Retreat Sessions on Possible</b>	<u>7 Sep 2018</u>	
<b>Revisions to University</b>	This agenda item was postponed to the next	
Senate and Assessment	ECUS-SCC meeting due to a shortage of time.	
	5 0 -4 2019	
	5 Oct 2018 Alar Place invited feedback on the proposed	
	Alex Blazer invited feedback on the proposal	
	to consolidate the scopes of EAPC and APC	
01 February 2010 FCUS SCC Mootin	and dissolve EAPC. A question of what would	 Page 14 or

happen to the EAPC members – would they	
have a committee assignment? One	
suggestion was to continue EAPC during	
2018-2019 and invite them to assist with the	
assessment reports pertaining to the	
upcoming 5-year SACS review. No position	
was adopted by the full membership present	
at this meeting as there was not time to do so.	
This agenda item was postponed to the next	
ECUS-SCC meeting due to a shortage of time.	
Discussion might continue via email.	
<b><u>2 Nov 2018</u></b> Each of the four topics were taken	
up in the order they were provided in the	
supporting document disseminated along with	
the meeting agenda.	
1. University Senate Officer Selection	
a. Contextual information was	
provided to present the current	
process by which the	
Presiding Officer Elect and	
Secretary are selected. The	
process requires SCoN to	
nominate a single candidate	
Ũ	
for each officer position. The university senate bylaws	
5 5	
compel the Presiding Officer to extend an invitation for	
nominations from the floor at	
the organizational meeting.	
b. The point of concern is that a	
× •	
successful candidate nominated from the floor	
•	
could cause a significant	
ripple effect into the slate of	
nominees as the SCoN's	
nominee might be displaced	

another when assigned to a         committee       and         this       displacement could domino         across multiple committees.       c.         c.       In spite of this potential         shortcoming of the current       process, there was not         sufficient interest in pursuing       an alternate university senate         officer process at this time.       2.         Organizational Committee Meetings       a.         a.       Recently (the last two to three         years), organizational       committee Meetings have not         been well attended and       multiple committees have not         met the quorun needed to call       the meeting to order to         organize which includes the       election of committee officers         and considering tentative       agenda items for the         committee to consider in the       committee officers         and considering tentative       agenda items for the         committee to consider in the       committee         committee to consider in the       committee         committee to consider in the       governance retreat was made,         seconded and adopted with no       further discussion. When it         was noted and adopted with no       further discussion. When it		
committee       and       this         displacement       could domino         across multiple committees.       c.         In spite of this potential       shortcoming of the current         process, there was not       sufficient interest in pursuing         an alternate university senate       officer process at this time.         2. Organizational Committee Meetings       a. Recently (the last two to three         years),       organizational         committee meetings have not       been well attended         multiple committees have not       meeting to order to         organize which includes the       election of committee officers         and considering tentative       agenda items for the         committee to consider in the       committee         committee to conduct       organizational         organizational report from the       previous year's conduct         organizational report from the       generational committee         b. A MOTION to conduct       organizational committee         meeting during the committee       breakout session of the         governance retreat was made,       seconded and adopted with no         further discussion. When it       was noted that this would	from ECUS and displace	
displacement could domino across multiple committees. c. In spite of this potential shortcoming of the current process, there was not sufficient interest in pursuing an alternate university senate officer process at this time. 2. Organizational Committee Meetings a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not meet he quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to he	0	
across multiple committees.         c. In spite of this optential shortcoming of the current process, there was not sufficient interest in pursuing an alternate university senate officer process at this time.         2. Organizational Committee Meetings         a. Recently (the last two to three years),         organizational Committee Meetings an ulternate university senate         years),       organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the commite to consider in the commite to consider in the commite to consider in the previous year's committee.         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the		
<ul> <li>c. In spite of this potential shortcoming of the current process, there was not sufficient interest in pursuing an alternate university senate officer process at this time.</li> <li>2. Organizational Committee Meetings <ul> <li>a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year's committee.</li> <li>b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational report from the previous year's committee the seconder data data data data data data data dat</li></ul></li></ul>	_	
shortcoming of the current process, there was not sufficient interest in pursuing an alternate university senate officer process at this time.         2. Organizational Committee Meetings a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the coming tear based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee.         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee free during the committee meetings during the committee meetings during the committee meetings during the committee free during the committee meetings during the committee free during the committee meetings during the comm	_	
process, there was not sufficient interest in pursuing an alternate university senate officer process at this time.         2. Organizational Committee Meetings a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year's committee.         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizaduring the committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	c. In spite of this potential	
sufficient interest in pursuing an alternate university senate officer process at this time.         2. Organizational Committee Meetings         a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year's committee.         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	shortcoming of the current	
an alternate university senate officer process at this time. 2. Organizational Committee Meetings a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the commitge to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	process, there was not	
officer process at this time.         2. Organizational Committee Meetings         a. Recently (the last two to three         years),       organizational         committee meetings have not         been well attended and         multiple committees have not         met the quorum needed to call         the meeting to order to         organize which includes the         election of committee officers         and considering tentative         agenda items for the         committee to consider in the         committee to consider         of the annual report from the         previous year's committee         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct         organizational committee         breakout session of the         governance retreat was made,         seconded and adopted with no         further discussion. When it         was noted that this would         require a revision to the	sufficient interest in pursuing	
<ul> <li>2. Organizational Committee Meetings <ul> <li>a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committee have not met the quorum needed to call</li> <li>the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee bereakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	an alternate university senate	
a. Recently (the last two to three years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made. seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	officer process at this time.	
years), organizational committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	2. Organizational Committee Meetings	
committee meetings have not been well attended and multiple committees have not meet the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the committee to consider in the previous year's committee.b. A MOTION organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	a. Recently (the last two to three	
been well attended and multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	years), organizational	
multiple committees have not met the quorum needed to call the meeting to order to organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee.         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	committee meetings have not	
met the quorum needed to call         the meeting to order to         organize which includes the         election of committee officers         and considering tentative         agenda items for the         committee to consider in the         coming year based on a review         of the annual report from the         previous year's committee         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct         organizational committee         breakout session of the         governance retreat was made,         seconded and adopted with no         further discussion. When it         was noted that this would         require a revision to the	been well attended and	
the meeting to order to         organize which includes the         election of committee officers         and considering tentative         agenda items for the         committee to consider in the         committee to consider in the         coming year based on a review         of the annual report from the         previous year's committee.         b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct         organizational committee         meetings during the committee         breakout session of the         governance retreat was made,         seconded and adopted with no         further discussion. When it         was noted that this would         require a revision to the	multiple committees have not	
organize which includes the election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee.b. A MOTION motional meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	met the quorum needed to call	
election of committee officers and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	the meeting to order to	
and considering tentative agenda items for the committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	organize which includes the	
agendaitemsforthecommitteetoconsiderincoming year based on a reviewoftheanual report fromof the annual report from theprevious year's committee.b.AMOTIONtoconductorganizationalcommitteemeetings during the committeebreakoutsessionofthegovernanceretreat was made,seconded and adopted with nofurtherdiscussion.Whenitwasnotedthat thiswouldrequirearevisionto	election of committee officers	
committee to consider in the coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee.         b. A       MOTION       to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	and considering tentative	
coming year based on a review of the annual report from the previous year's committee.b. AMOTIONtoconduct organizationalcommittee meetings during the committee breakoutbecakoutsessionof the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion.further was noted that this would require a revision	agenda items for the	
of the annual report from the previous year's committee. b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	committee to consider in the	
previous year's committee.b. AMOTIONorganizationalcommitteemeetings during the committeebreakoutsessiongovernance retreat was made,seconded and adopted with nofurtherdiscussion.Whenitwasnotedrequirea revisiontothe	coming year based on a review	
previous year's committee.b. AMOTIONorganizationalcommitteemeetings during the committeebreakoutsessiongovernance retreat was made,seconded and adopted with nofurtherdiscussion.Whenitwasnotedrequirea revisiontothe	of the annual report from the	
b. A <u>MOTION</u> to conduct organizational committee meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the		
meetings during the committee breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the		
breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	organizational committee	
breakout session of the governance retreat was made, seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	meetings during the committee	
seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the		
seconded and adopted with no further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	governance retreat was made,	
further discussion. When it was noted that this would require a revision to the	seconded and adopted with no	
require a revision to the	—	
	was noted that this would	
	require a revision to the	
	university senate bylaws, a	

<u>MOTION</u> to modify the	
university senate bylaw	
language pertaining to	
organizational committee	
meetings to reflect the	
preference expressed in the	
previous motion was made,	
seconded, and adopted with no	
further discussion. The	
suggested timing of presenting	
this motion to university	
senate was proposed to be	
Feb/March to allow the	
accumulation of any other	
university senate bylaws	
revisions that are proposed	
between now and then.	
3. Standing Committee Structure	
a. It was noted that EAPC has	
had no business since its	
recent creation as a CAPC	
(Curriculum and Assessment	
Policy Committee)	
replacement.	
b. A proposal to dissolve EAPC	
and merge the scopes of EAPC	
and APC was made. The	
talking points were as follows.	
<i>i</i> . The number of	
volunteer positions on	
APC, SAPC, RPIPC,	
and FAPC will be	
reduced in the	
aggregate by seven	
due to the seven	
elected faculty senator	
positions displaced	
positions aispiaeea	

from EAPC. While the	
option to mitigate this	
reduction in volunteer	
positions (which give a	
corps of instruction	
faculty member the	
opportunity to try out	
governance with a one	
year term of service as	
a volunteer) by adding	
two corps of	
instruction positions to	
each of APC, SAPC,	
RPIPC, and FAPC and	
making them of size	
fifteen rather than	
thirteen, the prospect	
of enlarging committee	
size was not appealing	
to a majority of those	
present. Thus	
enlarging the	
committee size was not	
accepted.	
ii. Assessment should be	
intentionally placed in	
a committee scope (so	
as not to lose it) and	
this would likely be	
incorporated into the	
scope of APC.	
iii. Alex Blazer was	
charged to consult	
with EAPC to confirm	
the current	
membership of the	
2018-2019 EAPC (who	

- 1	
have not yet met due to	
lack of business) are	
supportive of the	
dissolution of EAPC	
and report back at the	
next meeting of ECUS-	
SCC. This EAPC	
consultation is	
necessary due to the	
absence of the EAPC	
Chair who may have	
been able to supply this	
information during	
this meeting.	
4. Attendance	
a. There was agreement not to	
apply any punitive measures	
on individuals who establish a	
pattern of not attending	
meetings of university senate	
or its committees, but rather to	
reach out to these individuals	
to offer assistance in and	
encouragement to being	
present to future meetings.	
b. The Presiding Officer Elect	
(David Johnson) offered to be	
one to reach out as necessary.	
His kind offer was quickly	
accepted by those present. So	
it was agreed that committee	
chairs and university senate	
officers should route the	
names of individuals	
establishing a pattern of not	
attending to David Johnson	
and he would reach out to	

1	.1 . 1 . 1 . 1 .	
	these individuals to encourage	
	and assist in resolving the	
	attendance concern.	
	7 Dec 2018 The discussion on two of the four	
	aforementioned topics continued.	
	1. Committee Organizational Meetings	
	a. There was agreement by those	
	present to form a bylaws	
	revision work group to draft	
	proposed revisions to the	
	university senate bylaws	
	pertaining to the rescheduling	
	of the standing committee	
	organizational meetings.	
	b. There was a recommendation	
	to keep the language flexible	
	(rather than prescribe the	
	committee breakout session of	
	the governance retreat,	
	designate a body such as	
	ECUS or ECUS-SCC to be the	
	authority to annually set the	
	timing of these organizational	
	meetings) to avoid the	
	necessity of another revision	
	on this scheduling in the	
	future.	
	c. Volunteers to this bylaws	
	revision work group were Alex	
	Blazer, David Johnson, and	
	Craig Turner.	
	2. <u>Standing Committee Structure</u>	
	a. There was agreement by those	
	present to form a bylaws	
	revision work group to draft	
	proposed revisions to the	

	university senate bylaws
	pertaining to the standing
	committee structure.
<i>b</i> .	There was a recommendation
	to propose the dissolution of
	EAPC and merge the scopes of
	APC and EAPC.
С.	There was a recommendation
	not to increase the committee
	sizes of existing committees
	recognizing that this would
	reduce the number of faculty
	volunteers necessary to
	populate these committees
	going forward. There are
	plenty of service opportunities
	for university faculty to
	include in particular the UCC
	(University Curriculum
	Committee) GEC (General
	Education Committee).
4	It was noted that the 2017-
a.	2018 EAPC annual report
	included the following
	recommendations:
	charge of the committee be
	examined based on the
	needs of the university.
	ii. The committee
	recommends considering
	the consolidation of the
	Educational and
	Assessment Policy
	Committee and the

<b></b>	<u> </u>	
	Academic Policy	
	Committee.	
	e. It was noted that the 2018-	
	2019 EAPC held an email vote	
	regarding the dissolution of	
	EAPC. Of the seven EAPC	
	members that cast votes, all	
	seven supported its	
	dissolution.	
	f. The inclusion of faculty	
	oversight of assessment in the	
	combined scope was	
	proposed.	
	<i>i.</i> Proponents indicated this	
	oversight is founded on	
	AAUP principles.	
	ii. Critics suggested that it	
	would be rather unusual to	
	have faculty oversee an	
	office, in this case the	
	office of university	
	assessment.	
	iii. A compromise position	
	was reached to clarify the	
	faculty oversight proposal	
	would be realized	
	satisfactorily if we	
	maintain the existing	
	threads of the EAPC scope	
	pertaining to the advisory	
	role on academic	
	assessment as well as the	
	responsibility to review	
	and recommend for or	
	against policy relating to	
	academic assessment.	
L		I

<b>T</b> T 1	
g. Volunteers to this bylaws	
revision work group were Alex	
Blazer, David Johnson, Mary	
Magoulick, John Swinton, and	
Craig Turner	
<u>7 Feb 2019</u>	
1. There were two sets of proposed	
bylaws revisions that were circulated	
with the agenda of this meeting.	
a. Scheduling Organizational	
Meetings of Standing	
Committees	
i. The draft circulated proposed	
that within 10 days of the spring	
organizational university senate	
meeting, ECUS both	
1) determine a meeting date	
for standing committee	
organizational meetings and	
2) disseminate this date to all	
standing committee	
members.	
ii. A <u>Motion</u> To endorse the	
proposed revisions and have	
ECUS submit the proposal as a	
motion for university senate	
consideration was made and	
seconded.	
1) A <u>MOTION TO AMEND</u> the	
draft by excising the	
duplicate of (replace of of	
with of) was made,	
seconded, and endorsed	
with no further discussion	
and no dissenting voice and	
only ECUS members and	

Standing Operations Of '	
Standing Committee Chairs	
eligible to vote.	
2) The motion (as amended)	
was endorsed with no	
further discussion and no	
dissenting voice and only	
ECUS members and	
Standing Committee Chairs	
eligible to vote.	
b. Committee Structure	
i. The draft circulated proposed	
that (1) EAPC be dissolved and	
all references to EAPC	
throughout the university senate	
bylaws be excised, and (2) the	
scope of EAPC be merged with	
the scope of APC.	
ii. A MOTION To endorse the	
proposed revisions and have	
ECUS submit the proposal as a	
motion for university senate	
consideration was made and	
seconded.	
1) A <u>MOTION TO AMEND</u> the	
draft by	
a) adding a corps of	
instruction position to	
each of APC, FAPC,	
RPIPC, and SAPC to	
increase the number of	
volunteer positions from	
2-4 to 6-8 and the	
number of positions on	
these committees from	
13 to 14	

	b) reducing the number of Presidential Appointees		
	from five to four, and		
	c) reducing the number of		
	university senators from		
	50 to 49		
	was made, seconded, and		
	endorsed with no further		
	discussion and no		
	dissenting voice and only		
	ECUS members and		
	Standing Committee Chairs		
	eligible to vote.		
	iii. The motion (as amended) was		
	endorsed with no further		
	discussion and no dissenting		
	voice and only ECUS members		
	and Standing Committee Chairs		
	eligible to vote.		
VII. New Business			
Actions/Recommendations	At the time of this meeting, there were no		
Steering of Items to Committees	At the time of this meeting, there were no items that required steering to a committee.		
Committees	thems that required steering to a committee.		
Alex Blazer			
University Senate Agenda	1. <u>Tentative Agenda 15 Feb 2019</u> Based on	The motion (circulate minutes)	1. Alex Blazer to draft the
and Minutes Review	the committee reports at this meeting	was approved.	tentative agenda of this
	a. <u>Motions</u> There will be up to three (3)		university senate
	motions on the agenda of this meeting		meeting.
	of the university senate.		2. There are three motions
	i. ECUS		to be entered into the
	1) Bylaws Revisions: Standing		online motion database:
	Committee Organizational		ECUS (2) and SCoN
	Meetings 2) Pulawa Bayiciana, Committee		(1).
	2) Bylaws Revisions: Committee Structure Modifications		3. Craig Turner to circulate the DRAFT of
	ii. SCoN		the university senate
			the university senate

	1) Slate of Nominees Revision		meeting minutes to
	b. <u><b>Reports</b></u> Administrative reports and		university senators for
	committee reports and an update on the		review.
	activities of the University Curriculum		
	Committee (UCC), and the Faculty		
	Salary Review Task Force will also be		
	agenda items.		
	c. University Senate Minutes Review A		
	<b>MOTION</b> that the DRAFT minutes of the		
	$\overline{25}$ Jan 2019 meeting of the university		
	senate be circulated for university		
	senator review was made and		
	seconded.		
Open Discussion	Open discussion was invited. There was none.		
VIII. Next Meeting			
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)			
1. Calendar	15 Feb 2019 @ 3:30pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72		
	01 Mar 2019 @ 2:00pm ECUS Parks 301		
	01 Mar 2019 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC Parks 301		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have		Alex Blazer to ensure that
	generated tentative agenda items for future		such items (if any) are
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		added to agenda of a future
			meeting of ECUS or ECUS-
			SCC.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider,	The motion to adjourn was	
	a <u>MOTION</u> to adjourn the meeting was made	approved and the meeting	
	and seconded.	adjourned at 4:45 pm.	

### Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by: \_\_\_\_\_ Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

## **COMMITTEE NAME** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS **COMMITTEE OFFICERS** ALEX BLAZER (CHAIR), DAVID JOHNSON (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY) **ACADEMIC YEAR** 2018-2019

# AGGREGATE ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR MEETINGS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets and "N/A" denotes Not Applicable.

Aaronyms	/	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator;									
Acronyms	CoAS = College of Arts and Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences						Sciences				
Meeting Dates		9/7/2018	10/5/2018	11/2/2018	12/7/2018	2/1/2019	3/1/2019	3/30/2019	Present	Regrets	Absent
Donna Bennett EFS; Library; EC	US Member	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			5	0	0
Alex Blazer EFS; CoAS; ECUS	S Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			5	0	0
Kelli Brown Provost		Р	Р	R	Р	R			3	2	0
Nicole DeClouette EFS; CoE; ECUS	Chair Emeritus	Р	R	Р	R	R			2	3	0
Steve Dorman University Preside	ent	R	R	R	R	R			0	5	0
Glynnis Haley EFS; CoHS; ECU	S Member	Р	R	Р	Р	R			3	2	0
David Johnson EFS; CoAS; ECUS	S Vice-Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			5	0	0
John Swinton EFS; CoB; ECUS	Member	Р	R	Р	Р	Р			4	1	0
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECUS	S Secretary	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			5	0	0
Rodica Cazacu EFS; CoAS; APC	Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			5	0	0
Mary Magoulick <i>EFS; CoAS; EAPC</i>	C Chair	Р	Р	R	Р	Р			4	1	0
Ashley Taylor EFS; CoAS; FAPC	C Chair	R	Р	Р	Р	Р			4	1	0
Diana Young <i>EFS; CoAS; RPIP</i>	C Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			5	0	0
Joanna Schwartz EFS; CoB; SAPC	Chair	R	Р	R	Р	Р			3	2	0
	AIRPERSON SIGNATURE DATE										