**COMMITTEE NAME:** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS)

**MEETING DATE & TIME:** 23 JANUARY 2015; 2:00 – 3:15

**MEETING LOCATION: 301 PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING** 

## **ATTENDANCE**:

MEM	MEMBERS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regr		
R	Kelli Brown (Provost)	P	Susan Steele (CoHS, ECUS Vice-Chair)
P	Ben Davis (Library)	P	John Swinton (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)
R	Steve Dorman (University President)	P	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)
P	Lyndall Muschell (CoE, ECUS Chair Emeritus)		
	GUESTS: Carter Shadden (Graduate Assistant of the 2014-2015 University Senate)		
	Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.  *Denotes new discussion on old business.		

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to order	The meeting was called to order at 2:00 pm by Susan Steele (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A MOTION to approve the agenda was made and seconded. Some amendments were made in the agenda circulated at the meeting from the draft circulated by email. Specifically these included (1) corrections to the minutes review and university senate meeting date (2) the addition of proposed editorial revisions to the university senate bylaws (3) consideration of the date for the 2015-16 State of the University address for inclusion in the 2015-		

	16 Governance Calendar. Each of these		
	amendments was acceptable to all present.		
III. Approval of Minutes	A MOTION to approve the minutes of the 14 Nov 2014 meeting of the Executive Committee was made and seconded. A draft of these minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted (as circulated) to the minutes.gcsu.edu site.	The 14 Nov 2014 Executive Committee minutes were approved as posted, so no additional action was required.	
IV. Reports	Reports from each elected faculty senator were invited by Susan Steele.		
Presiding Officer Report	3 Oct 2014	3 Oct 2014	3 Oct 2014
Susan Steele	1. SoCC Bylaws  a. President I met with Dr. Dorman regarding Motion 1314.EC.001.B that proposed revisions to the university senate bylaws affecting the Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum (SoCC). He has not approved this motion due to concerns about two areas of the proposed language. He requested revisions to these two areas or he feels he needs to veto the motion.  b. SoCC I had a telephone meeting with SoCC Chair Julia Metzker to brief her on my meeting with President Dorman. I asked Julia to facilitate a discussion with SoCC members to see if revisions to address President Dorman's concerns could be identified. SoCC discussed this matter at its weekly meeting on 26 Sep 2014, and Craig Turner and I were asked to	Fraud Prevention Task Force As no volunteer from ECUS was forthcoming, Susan Steele to broaden her search for a University Senate representative to the full University Senate.	Susan Steele to seek a representative of the University Senate to serve on the Fraud Prevention Task Force.  23 Jan 2015 Susan Steele sought and found a representative of the University Senate, Ben McMillan, to serve on the Fraud Prevention Task Force

- be present at the SoCC meeting on 3
  Oct 2014.

  versity Action Plan I met with Veronica
- 2. Diversity Action Plan I met with Veronica Womack regarding the diversity action plan. She would like university senate involvement and I will be seeking input from ECUS and the full university senate on ways to support and become engaged in the diversity plan.
  - a. Contextual information regarding the consideration of seeking university senate endorsement of the diversity action plan from the previous year was offered by Lyndall Muschell.
  - b. Former SoCC Chair John Swinton noted that SoCC had requested an operational definition of diversity from the Diversity Action Team during 2013-2014 for possible use in the implementation of overlays.
- 3. Fraud Prevention Task Force I received a request from Dr. Bruce Harshbarger for a volunteer to represent the University Senate on the Task Force that will plan a Fraud Prevention Event to be held on campus. This is a USG initiative and is required of each USG institution. I am seeking a volunteer from either ECUS or the University Senate.
- 4. Compliance Committee I am representing University Senate on the newly formed Compliance Committee chaired by Julia Hann. This group has met once. Input

- regarding faculty, staff and student roles in compliance were brought forward for consideration.
- 5. Faculty Club Discussion held informally with Craig Turner, and later with Jan Clark regarding a proposal for the allocation of campus space for a faculty club.
- 6. **Policies** Proposed policies were received from Chief Information Officer Robert Orr and Provost Kelli Brown regarding Institutional Technology and Student Opinion Surveys, respectively.
- 7. **Proposing Items** I fielded requests from two members of the university community regarding how to propose an issue for consideration by the university senate.
- 8. Parking I met with Dean Sandra Gangstead regarding a parking issue affecting adjunct faculty in the College of Health Sciences. She agreed to seek information from the other deans regarding whether this affected other colleges or only the College of Health Sciences. If it is a campus-wide issue, it might be forwarded to ECUS for steering to a standing committee.
- 9. Governance Retreat All the outstanding bills from the 2014 Governance Retreat will be paid from funds in the university senate budget.

## 14 Nov 2014

As Susan Steele had extended regrets and was unable to attend the meeting, there was no Presiding Officer Report.

## 23 Jan 2015

- 1. Academic Leadership Meeting Susan Steele attended the January 2015 meeting of the Academic Leadership Team with introductions of Carolyn Denard, Joseph Peters, and Ramon Blakely and updates from different areas. At this meeting, Susan Steele reported on the executive committee oversight for academic unit elected faculty senator elections.
- 2. <u>Risk Task Force</u> Susan Steele attended the Risk Task Force meeting to represent the University Senate.
- 3. <u>USG Updates</u> Susan Steele had obtained USG updates to be shared as information.
- 4. <u>Student Opinion Survey Policy</u> Susan Steele had followed up with FAPC Chair Tom Toney regarding the status of FAPC deliberation on the SRIs (student opinion survey; SRI is an acronym for *Student Ratings of Instruction*) policy sent to FAPC during fall 2014 semester. This policy was still an ongoing matter of deliberation for the Faculty Affairs Policy Committee (FAPC).

## 5. Questions for Discussion

a. What action should be taken by ECUS if policy is not brought forward when requested by the administration? This

	<del>-</del>	
	was discussed briefly and the general	
	agreements were that ECUS steers	
	items (such as proposed policies) to	
	committees for review and is also	
	authorized to facilitate communication	
	between the receiving committee and	
	administration to share information,	
	offer clarifications and guidance, and	
	share time-sensitivity guidance and	
	timeline requests.	
	b. Future impact of oneusg initiative to	
	standardize shared services.	
Dogt Duosiding Officer		
Past Presiding Officer Report	Lyndall Muschell indicated that she had nothing to report as Past Presiding Officer.	
Report	nothing to report as I ast I residing Officer.	
Lyndall Muschell		
Presiding Officer Elect	John Swinton indicated that he had nothing to	
Report	report as Presiding Officer Elect. He did have	
	a report as Subcommittee on Nominations	
John Swinton	Chair.	
	1. Motion The motion (1415.CN.002.O)	
	for the three replacements to the	
	university senate has been entered into the online motion database. The	
	replacements are (1) Mike Gleason for	
	Andrei Barkovskii as College of Arts	
	and Sciences elected faculty senator	
	and APC member (2) Tyler Bragg for	
	Moriah Thomas as selected student	
	senator and SAPC member (3) Karen	
	Berman for Bill Fisher as the	
	Presidential Appointee to FAPC.	
	Further details (terms of service) are	
	available in the supporting documents	
	included with the motion as entered	
	into the online motion database.	

	2. <u>Election Oversight</u> All the academic units (four colleges and the library)	
	have submitted their elected faculty	
	senator election procedures which	
	have been archived to the University	
	Senate Green Page with url	
	http://us.gcsu.edu.	
Secretary Report	14 Nov 2014	14 Nov 2014
	1. <b>Redbooks</b> Craig Turner noted that he had	1. John R. Swinton Chair
Craig Turner	brought to this meeting nine copies of the	of the Subcommittee on
	10 <sup>th</sup> edition of the American Association of	Nominations to contact
	University Professors Policies and	Indiren Pillay to see
	Reports – more commonly known as The	how the constituency of
	AAUP Redbook due to its red cover -	elected faculty senator
	which belonged to the University Senate.	Andrei Barkovskii (who
	Further, he noted that the 11 <sup>th</sup> edition of	has indicated he will
	this AAUP Redbook would soon be	take a professional
	available (its publication and availability	leave during Spring
	anticipated in January 2015). The	2015) would like to be
	question was: What to do with these nine	represented during the
	copies? After a brief discussion, there was	Spring 2015 semester.
	agreement by those present to first offer	2. Susan Steele to ensure
	these copies to the committee officers at	that the recently hired
	the 14 Nov 2014 3:30pm meeting of the	Chief Human Resources
	Executive Committee with the Standing	Officer Leslie Pierce is
	Committee Chairs, and second if there	aware of the need for a
	were any copies remaining, to offer them	verification list to be
	to the elected faculty senators at the 5 Dec	circulated by Human
	2014 meeting of the University Senate.	Resources as part of the
	Note: During the preparation of these	implementation of the
	minutes, it was known that all nine copies	Service Recognition
	were distributed to committee officers at	Policy.
	the 14 Nov 2014 meeting of the Executive	
	Committee with Standing Committee	23 Jan 2015
	Chairs.	1. John R. Swinton Chair
	2. Elected Faculty Senator on Leave Craig	of the Subcommittee on
	Turner noted that he and Susan Steele had	Nominations did
	received an email from elected faculty	contact Indiren Pillay

senator Andrei Barkovskii indicating that Andrei would be on professional leave during the Spring 2015 semester and did not intend to attend meetings of the university senate or its committees during this time. A relevant university senate bylaw states

II.Section 1.B.

Replacements. Vacancies (i.e. professional leave, sick leave, etc) or resignations that occur during a term of service shall be filled for the remainder of that term following the process used in the original election, selection or appointment.

To initiate this process the individual or proxy (i.e., colleges/library, Student Government Association, Staff Council, presidential appointee) should notify the Chair of the Committee on Nominations in writing (electronic forms are acceptable).

It was further noted that the current elected faculty senator term of Andrei Barkovskii ended 1 May 2015. During discussion, a consensus opinion emerged that this information should be shared with the constituency of Andrei Barkovskii (his department colleagues) who could then decide if they wanted to name a replacement to complete the term of Andrei Barkovskii and serve as their elected faculty senator for Spring 2015. As current Chair of the Subcommittee on Nominations, this responsibility fell to John R. Swinton.

3. <u>Service Recognition Policy</u> A concern regarding the implementation of this

- to see how the constituency of elected faculty senator Andrei Barkovskii (who has indicated he will take a professional leave during Spring 2015) would like to represented during the Spring 2015 semester. It was determined that Mike Gleason would complete Andrei's term.
- 2. Susan Steele will ensure that the recently hired Chief Human Resources Officer Leslie Pierce is aware of the need for a verification list to be circulated by Human Resources as part of the implementation of the Service Recognition Policy. In particular, there is a meeting scheduled for 27 Jan 2015 at 3:00 pm to discuss this matter and ensure this awareness. The meeting attendees include Leslie Pierce, Crystal Reeves, Monica Starley, Susan Steele, and Craig Turner.
- 3. Susan Steele to share with President Dorman

policy that was discussed earlier this academic year by the Executive Committee may still be unresolved. The primary point of concern was whether or not a list seeking the verification of the time in service has been circulated to supervisors as called for in the policy. The specific policy language is

The Office of Human Resources and Employee Relations shall be responsible for identifying employees to be recognized based on the eligible service criteria.

- Employees shall be recognized at the Service Recognition Ceremony that next occurs after they reach the specified years of eligible service.
- A list of all employees, including their length of service, shall be distributed to supervisors by August 1st each year. Supervisors shall be responsible for confirming with the employee that the length of service is accurate. Discrepancies shall be reported immediately to the Office of Human Resources and Employee Relations.
- To assist in the identification process, employees with discrepancies or unusual service records (e.g. non-continuous service, leave of absence, part-time to full-time) are encouraged to complete the Employee Service Update Form and submit it to the Office of Human Resources and Employee Relations.

It was noted that the Service Recognitions Policy was adopted on 2 May 2013 as the result of motion 1213.EC.002. found in the

- as an information item Motion 0708.EC.003.R regarding the keeping of a university-wide committee registry.
- 4. Susan Steele to seek permission from President Dorman to circulate (in advance of minutes being posted) the president's report to the university senate. If secured, these two reports (president and provost) should circulated to the university community by the presiding officer of the university senate the FrontPage via digest email system.
- 5. Susan Steele to ensure that consultation with individuals who serve on university senate committees (in roles other than university senator) have the opportunity to offer suggestion for possible tokens of appreciation to recognize their service that would be meaningful to them (to possibly be added as a parallel practice to the current awarding of the

- online motion database at the url <a href="http://senate.gcsu.edu/senate/view\_motio">http://senate.gcsu.edu/senate/view\_motio</a>
  <a href="mailto:n.php?mid=557">n.php?mid=557</a>
  and that this circulation of the list for verification has yet to be implemented. After brief discussion, the consensus recommendation was to contact the recently hired Chief Human Resources Officer Leslie Pierce to acquaint her with this facet of the Service Recognition Policy. As current Presiding Officer of the University Senate, this responsibility fell to Susan Steele.
- 4. Communication Craig Turner offered for consideration of those present a suggestion that the reports to the university senate from the university president and the provost be distributed more widely in closer proximity to the university senate meeting at which they are delivered than is present practice. He observed that all members of the university community could read these reports from the university senate minutes which were typically posted closer to the following meeting of the university senate and that was typically four to five weeks after the reports were given to university senators. After brief discussion, the consensus opinion of those present was that this was a good idea and that it could be implemented by sharing the reports with the elected faculty senators of the executive committee who would in turn be responsible to share the information with their academic units OR these reports could be distributed to the university senators who would be charged to get the information to their constituencies. No

university senate pins to university senators).

- particular strategy was agreed upon for implementation. There was also consensus opinion that permission from each of the university president and provost should be secured prior to any implementation.
- a. <u>Concern</u> A more general concern was offered during this discussion that sometimes the grapevine information is ahead of the official information on the campus network. There was agreement that there is always room for improvement in communication in any organization. No specific remedy was offered for implementation, yet it was noted that communication might be more direct in certain instances rather than flowing through the administrative chain.

### 23 Jan 2015

- 1. Committee Registry Craig Turner shared a copy of Motion 0708.EC.003.R, a resolution from 2007-08 regarding the desire to maintain a registry of university-wide committees. Specifically the motion text reads as follows
  - Whereas the primary goals are to support the governing concepts of transparent decision-making and shared information, specifically the sharing of information deemed relevant to the deliberations within the decision-making process, therefore be it resolved by the University Senate of Georgia College & State University that the University President identify an appropriate university official to maintain a registry of university-wide

- committees or a master list of committees that
- (1) provides appropriate information, including but not limited to membership rosters, committee charge, and committee meeting minutes,
- (2) is accessible to members of the university community, and
- (3) is periodically reviewed to determine the activity status of each committee.

A brief history of this matter was shared indicating that prior to this resolution, it was a university senate bylaw that ECUS maintain a registry of administrative committees. In both instances, the desire was to supporting the governing concepts of transparent decisions making and shared information as well as maintain a list of the committees that were both representative of the university as a whole and reporting to an administrator so that any member of the university community could (if interested) find out about the activity and deliberations of such committees. The motion implementor assigned [Paul Jones] is presently on leave. After some brief conversation, it was recommended that Susan Steele bring this matter to the attention of President Dorman as an information item.

2. Administrative Report Circulation As part of the communication item of 14 Nov 2014 executive committee secretary report (see above), the recommendation of circulating to the university community the reports given at university senate meetings by the university president and the provost as soon after the meeting as

- feasible was revisited. It was noted that permission to circulate the provost report was obtained at the 14 Nov 2014 meeting of the executive committee with standing committee chairs directly from Provost Brown. To date, there had not been an attempt to secure such permission from President Dorman. After some brief discussion, the agreement was that Susan Steele should seek permission from President Dorman to circulate president's report and if secured, these two reports (president and provost) should be circulated to the university community by the presiding officer of the university senate via the FrontPage digest email system.
- 3. Tokens for Governance Service Craig Turner suggested to those present that pins for service on the university senate not be exclusive to the university senators but also be awarded to those members who serve on committees in other capacities (faculty volunteers, appointees by SGA, Staff Council or the Chief Administrative Officers). There was general agreement with the spirit of the recommendation but not necessary the letter. After some discussion, there was agreement to consult with those presently serving in these other capacities to find out what token of appreciation would be a meaningful one for them, realizing this might not result in the awarding of a pin. Susan Steele agreed to ensure that this consultation is done.
- 4. <u>Minutes Cleanup</u> Craig Turner requested assistance on some *minutes cleanup* to obtain updates on the open actions charged

	•	
	at previous meetings of the executive committee. These included (1) the fraud awareness representative (Ben McMillan identified) (2) Orientation for Standing Committee Officers email (Susan Steele sent this email and received no responses) (3) Graduate Task Force representative (before a replacement could be named, the task force completed its work with Catherine Whelan serving as university senate representative).	
Library Senator Report	Ben Davis indicated that he had nothing to	
Library Schator Report	report as Library Senator	
Ben Davis	report as Library Schator	
V. Information Items		
Actions/Recommendations		
Actions/Accommendations		
Risk Task Force Update	The risk assessment process has been	
_	completed and actions assigned to various	
Susan Steele	Vice Presidents.	
	vice i residents.	
USG Updates	The University System of Georgia (USG) has	
	announced a number of changes and	
Susan Steele	documents were made available for interested	
	parties. These documents are also available on	
	USG websites.	
	Oneusg designed to expand Shared Services     Afficial Language Control of the Control of t	
	Affordable Learning Georgia	
AAVIDD II 1 /44th	• Changes in retiree healthcare benefits	
AAUP Redbooks (11th	Ben Davis and Craig Turner noted that this	Susan Steele to ensure this
Edition) Purchase Any?	book has been donated to the library and is	topic is placed on the
	available in the reference section and cannot	tentative agenda of a future
Craig Turner	be checked out of the library. Some of the	ECUS or ECUS/SCC
	previous editions of this book are available in	meeting.
	the library in the general collection and	
	available for checkout by patrons.	

	T		
	Further consideration of this matter		
	(specifically whether to allocate university		
	senate funds to purchase copies) was		
	postponed to a future meeting of ECUS or		
	ECUS-SCC due to lack of time for its		
	deliberation.		
VI. Unfinished Business			
Review of Action &			
Recommendations, Provide			
updates (if any) to Follow-up			
Standing Committee Officer	22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele sought guidance	22 Aug 2014 ECUS members	22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele
Orientation (SCOO)	from the committee on the implementation of	agreed that an opportunity for an	to ensure that the
	a Standing Committee Officer Orientation for	orientation (training) should be	opportunity for an
Susan Steele	2014-2015. Recently this orientation has been	extended to the current committee	orientation is extended by
	offered by email and customized to the needs	officers and that ECUS members	email to the officers of the
	of the individual officers rather than holding	were the likely suspects to supply	2014-15 committees of the
	a formal face-to-face session as was done in	such an orientation.	university senate.
	years prior. Most officers have declined a		
	formal orientation and seek out information		23 Jan 2015
	as needed.		Susan Steele did email the
			officers of the standing
			committees receiving no
			responses that requested an
			orientation.

<b>Graduate Task Force</b>	22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele noted that as	22 Aug 2014 ECUS members	22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele
(Replacement for Catherine	Catherine Whelan had rotated off the	agreed that Susan Steele should	to email the 2014-15
Whelan)	University Senate, she had resigned as	extend an email invitation to the	University Senate and seek
	university senate representative to the	members of the 2014-15	a volunteer to serve on the
Susan Steele	Graduate Task Force. Susan Steele indicated	university senate inviting a	Graduate Task Force.
	that Mandy Jarriel had expressed interest in	volunteer to replace Catherine	
	serving. Lyndall Muschell noted that several	Whelan on the Graduate Task	23 Jan 2015
	individuals volunteered when the opportunity	Force.	The Graduate Task Force
	was presented in 2013-14 and that she would		completed its work prior to
	forward the names of these volunteers to		the naming of a university
	Susan Steele by email. Provost Kelli Brown		senate representative to
	indicated that it was her understanding that		replace Catherine Whelan,
	the Graduate Task Force was likely to		who served on this task
	complete its work in September 2014.		force as the representative
			of the university senate
			through completion of its
			work.
SoCC Bylaws	<b>22 Aug 2014</b> Susan Steele noted that at the 24		22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele
	Apr 2014 meeting of the University Senate,		to ensure that this matter
Susan Steele	revisions to the university bylaws pertaining		be taken up at a future
	to the Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum		meeting of ECUS or
	(SoCC) were recommended to President		ECUS-SCC (as necessary).
	Dorman by the University Senate. This		
	recommendation has not yet received action		<u>3 Oct 2014</u> One of the
	(approval, veto) by President Dorman as he		items in the Presiding
	desires additional information on a couple of		Officer Report was an
	the details: in particular the definition of the		update on the SoCC
	term teaching representative. As President		bylaws. The interested
	Dorman had extended regrets and was unable		reader is invited to see that
	to attend this meeting, consideration of this		report in the 3 Oct 2014
	matter was postponed to a future meeting of		minutes for the details.
	ECUS or ECUS-SCC. Provost Kelli Brown		
	agreed to consult with President Dorman on		23 Jan 2015 Susan Steele
	this matter and keep him apprised on the brief		did ensure that this matter
	discussion on this matter at this ECUS		was taken up at meetings of
	meeting.		ECUS or ECUS-SCC.
	14 Nov 2014 Craig Turner indicated that the		
	Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum		

	(SoCC) under the leadership of its Chair Julia
	Metzker had discussed the concerns of
	President Dorman to the proposed changes to
	the bylaws. The concerns were two in number:
	(1) a definition of teaching representative and
	(2) the eligibility and selection of SoCC Chair.
	Craig Turner went on to indicate that Julia
	Metzker had met with President Dorman and
	reached a compromise position on both issues
	and that Julia Metzker would be present at the
	14 Nov 2014 3:30pm meeting of the Executive
	Committee with Standing Committee Chairs
	to share the details.
	23 Jan 2015
	This matter was considered at the 5 Dec 2014
	meeting of the university senate and will be
	further considered (anticipating disposition)
	at the 13 Feb 2015 meeting of university
	senate
<b>Electronic Presence of the</b>	3 Oct 2014
University Senate	David Smith and Kelly Rickman of Enterprise
	Applications are revising the electronic
Craig Turner	presence of the university senate. Craig
	Turner is the university senate liaison for this
	initiative. David Smith, Kelly Rickman and
	Craig Turner met with Dr. Bryan Marshall, a
	faculty member in the College of Business
	who is the system administrator of the server
	that hosts the online senator database. Given
	the recent crash of this server which resulted
	in two years of lost data (this lost data has
	been re-entered), the discussion focused on
	migrating the online senator database to a
	server maintained by Enterprise Applications.
	Bryan Marshall was both receptive and
	supportive of this migration. While there are
	a number of details that must be attended to
	a name of of actions must be discussed to

prior to the actual migration, this migration is in progress.

#### 14 Nov 2014

Craig Turner indicated that he had received the following information from David Smith and Kelly Rickman regarding the status of the recasting of the electronic presence of the university senate.

- 1. David Smith reported that the migration of the data from the online senator database has been completed and is now housed on his personal computer as well as backed up in another location.
- 2. Kelly Rickman reported that the development of the front end of the online senator database to request the various reports and to support access to these data by the user is progressing and not yet complete.
- 3. Kelly Rickman reported that the file repository is now complete and functional.
- 4. David Smith has requested university server space for the recast of the university senate electronic presence. This request is presently under consideration.

## 23 Jan 2015

Note: This report was not given orally at the meeting due to time constraints and inserted during the preparation of these minutes.

1. David Smith and Kelly Rickman of Enterprise Applications (under the leadership of James Carlisle) are the staff who are implementing the modifications to

	the electronic presence of the university	
	senate.	
2.	Progress is being made – though there are	
	other projects requiring attention and taking	
	priority from time to time.	
3.	The latest action of note was the migration	
	of the online senator database from a	
	college of business server to a server	
	maintained by the university information	
	technology staff. This will be a more	
	convenient place for this information and is	
	a stop-gap measure while the new version	
	of the online senator database is in	
	preparation. The links to the online senator	
	database have been updated on both the	
	green page (us.gcsu.edu) as well as the	
	senate.gcsu.edu sites and this migration	
	should be seamless to any browser of the	
	university senate web presence.	

# **Elected Faculty Senator Elections Oversight**

#### 3 Oct 2014

The oversight of elected faculty senator elections is an ECUS function. The relevant university senate bylaw is provided below.

The recent practice has been that the Presiding Officer of the University Senate shares letters with the academic deans of colleges and the library at an academic leadership meeting. These letters and their appendices have been prepared by the Chair of the Subcommittee on Nominations and the Presiding Officer of the University Senate.

John Swinton, Chair of the Subcommittee on Nominations and Susan Steele, Presiding Officer of the University Senate agreed to follow the recent practice for the 2014-2015 academic year. Specifically, John Swinton will ensure that the letters to deans, with the appropriate appendices, are drafted and Susan Steele will share these letters and their appendices with the deans at an academic leadership meeting.

II.Section2.A.3. Election Process. The faculty of each College and the Library shall determine a constituency and an election procedure for each elected faculty senator position apportioned to their respective academic unit, subject to the eligibility criteria and term of service specified in II.Section1.A.2 and the voting eligibility criteria specified in II.Section 2.A.1. College Deans and the University Librarian shall ensure that (1) the aforementioned election procedure and specification of the constituencies from their respective academic

#### 3 Oct 2014

- 1. John Swinton to ensure that the letters to deans, with the appropriate appendices, are drafted.
- 2. Susan Steele to share these letters and their appendices with the deans at an academic leadership meeting.

#### 14 Nov 2014

- 1. John Swinton ensured that the letters to deans, with the appropriate appendices, are drafted.
- 2. Susan Steele to ensure that these letters are delivered to deans

## 23 Jan 2015

1. Susan Steele did ensure that these letters and their appendices were delivered to deans.

unit are submitted in writing to the Executive	
e	
Committee no later than December 1 and (2)	
the elections within their unit are implemented	
according to unit procedures and the results	
are reported to the Executive Committee no	
later than February 1. These procedures and	
results shall be archived in an appropriate	
format. The Executive Committee shall	
announce the results of the College and	
Library Elections to the University	
Community no later than February 6.	

	T	T
At-Large Elected Faculty	3 Oct 2014	3 Oct 2014
Senator Election	The implementation of at-large elected faculty	John Swinton to draft an
	senator elections is an ECUS function. The	at-large election process
	relevant university senate bylaw is provided	for ECUS review at a
	below.	future meeting.
	John Swinton agreed to serve as point person	23 Jan 2015
	for the at-large election to be held during the	1. John Swinton did draft
	2014-2015 academic year. In particular, he	an at-large election
	will draft an at-large election process for	process for ECUS
	ECUS review at a future meeting.	review.
		2. John Swinton to take
	II.Section2.A.4. At-Large. The Executive	point and ensure the at-
	Committee shall determine an election	large election process is
	procedure and conduct the elections for each	implemented.
	of the at-large elected faculty senator	
	positions subject to the eligibility criteria and	
	term of service specified in II.Section1.A.2	
	and the voting eligibility criteria specified in	
	II.Section2.A.1. The results of such elections	
	shall be announced to the University	
	Community no later than March 1.	
	23 Jan 2015	
	The draft of the at-large election process that	
	was prepared by John Swinton was reviewed	
	by those present. In particular, this included	
	review of the timeline (including nominations,	
	eligibility confirmation, voting, reporting of	
	the election results) as well as tiebreaker and	
	replacement language. The draft was endorsed	
	(as presented) by all who were present. The	
	process charges the Chair of the	
	Subcommittee on Nominations (John	
	Swinton) to coordinate the implementation of	
	the at-large election process.	
Internal Audit of Policies,	<u>14 Nov 2014</u>	 
<b>Procedures and Practices</b>		

Manual (PPPM) and the	John R. Swinton and Craig Turner reported	
University Senate Online	on the ongoing internal audit of the PPPM	
Motion Database	and the University Senate Online Motion	
	Database by Julia Hann. In short, the report	
Susan Steele	was that such an internal audit had been made	
John R. Swinton	and a meeting of Julia Hann, Provost Kelli	
Craig Turner	Brown, Associate Provost Tom Ormond,	
	Matthew Buchanan, Susan Steele, John R.	
	Swinton, and Craig Turner had been	
	scheduled for Wed 10 Dec 2014 at 3:00PM.	
	At the meeting, more details will be shared	
	and these details will subsequently be shared	
	at a future meeting of Executive Committee.	
	The preliminary information that had been	
	provided by Julia Hann via email was	
	distributed to Benjamin Davis and Lyndall	
	Muschell to keep them in the information loop.	
VII. New Business		
Actions/Recommendations		
(S)election/Appointee of	It was noted that the executive committee has	1. John Swinton to remind
University Senators	the responsibility of oversight of the selection	deans of elected faculty
Oversight	and election of university senators for the	senator results due.
	2015-16 university senate. This includes the	2. John Swinton to work
John R. Swinton	elected faculty senator elections of academic	with staff council
	units (results due by 1 Feb 2015), the selection	leadership to ensure the
	of staff senators (due by 1 Mar 2015), the	naming of selected staff
	selection of student senators (due by 31 Mar	senators for the 2015-16
	2015), and presidential appointees (due by 7	university senate.
	Apr 2015). Lyndall Muschell asked John	3. John Swinton to work
	Swinton to contact the new dean of the college	with the leaders of the
	of education, who just started 1 Jan 2015, to	student government
	bring him up to speed on the elected faculty	association to ensure the
	senator elections expectations. John Swinton	naming of selected
	agreed to follow-up with deans and remind	student senators for the
	each that the elected faculty senator election	2015-16 university
	results are due no later than 1 Feb 2015. John	senate.
	Swinton also agreed to follow up with staff	
	council and student government association.	

<b>Appointees to University</b>	The Subcommittee on Nominations is	
Senate Committees	responsible to prepare a slate of nominees for	
Oversight	service on the committees of the 2015-16	
o (craight	university senate and complete this work by 7	
John R. Swinton	Apr 2015. This includes the naming of	
<b>G</b> Garage Et al. (1997)	appointees by the chief administrative	
	officers, staff council, and student	
	government association.	
<b>Annual Report Process</b>	According to the university senate bylaws, the	
•	committee annual report template and due	
	date are to be determined by the executive	
	committee in consultation with the standing	
	committee chairs. It was agreed that this	
	consultation will occur at the 23 Jan 2015	
	3:30-4:45 meeting of the executive committee	
	with standing committee chairs. It was noted	
	that in 2013-14, the due date for these annual	
	report was the Wednesday prior to the	
	organizational meeting of the university	
	senate. A proposal to back that up a week and	
	select a due date of Wed 22 Apr 2015 was	
	offered and this was accepted as the due date	
	to be shared as a starting point in the	
	consultation with the standing committee	
	chairs. There were no recommendations for	
	modifications to the committee annual report	
	template.	
University Senate	While university senate recognitions include	Susan Steele to ensure that
Recognitions	pins for new university senators (awarded	certificates of recognition
	only once to an individual at the	are prepared for 2014-15.
Susan Steele	commencement of their first term of service as	
	a university senator) and certificates to	
	recognize the completion of a term of service	
	as university senator, committee member, or	
	officer, the conversation focused on the	
	certificates. In particular, Susan Steele sought	
	guidance on the logistics of certificate	
	preparation from Lyndall Muschell who had	

	1 11 1' C 1'C' 1'	
	ensured the preparation of certificates in	
	2013-14. The main points were that the office	
	of the president had supplies (paper stock) and	
	printing facilities while the graduate assistant	
	had assisted with the preparation of the text	
	for inclusion on the certificates using a mail-	
	merge last year. Lyndall Muschell indicated	
	that she could share the documentation and	
	any template she had from last year.	
2015-2016	Susan Steele noted that the preparation of the	1. Craig Turner to prepare
Governance Calendar	governance calendar was an executive	a draft of the 2015-16
	committee function and invited a volunteer to	governance calendar
Susan Steele	serve as point for its preparation. Craig Turner	for review by members
	volunteered. All present were agreeable to this	of the executive
	appointment.	committee.
	There were concerns about the reception of	2. Susan Steele to obtain
	regrets for university senate meetings in	from President Dorman
	which it was stated that a college, department	a date for the state of
	or other unit meeting was the reason for the	the university address
	regrets. This was deemed to be unfortunate.	for inclusion on the
	There was a desire to have as part of the	2015-16 governance
	campus culture the ideal that those scheduling	calendar.
	meetings involving individuals participating	3. Susan Steele to seek
	in shared governance (serving on the	support from both
	university senate and/or its committees)	President Dorman and
	should strive to select meeting times that do	Provost Brown to
	not conflict with meetings of university senate	encourage the campus
	or its committees. A suggestion to seek	meeting planners to try
	support from the university president and	to avoid scheduling
	provost in promoting this cultural practice was	meetings that would
	made and all present were in favor of doing	introduce conflicts for
	this.	participants in meeting
	The selection of a date for the 2015-2016 state	of university senate or
	of the university presidential address had been	its committees.
	requested and it was noted that historically	
	this date is determined by the university	
	president and simply advertised (listed) on the	
	governance calendar.	

#### **University Senate Retreat**

#### **Susan Steele**

- 1. Chair Susan Steele noted that it was time to begin work on planning the 2015 governance retreat. It was noted that the previous three governance retreat committees were chaired by the presiding officer elect. In light of this information, John Swinton agreed to chair the 2015 governance retreat planning committee. Both Susan Steele and Lyndall Muschell agreed to share their notes as previous planners.
- 2. <u>Invitees</u> There was agreement to continue the practice of inviting all members of the 2015-16 university senate and/or its committees to attend the retreat.
- 3. Cancel or Continue While the attendance for 2014 was low and a suggestion to consider discontinuing the governance retreat was briefly entertained, it was ultimately recommended by all present to continue to have a governance retreat. Among the reasons for doing so were: it is a valuable tone-setting event that is a good fresh start to the university senate year and encourages participants to commit to service on the university senate and/or its committees.
- 4. Scheduling In recent years, the retreat has been scheduled during the week prior to the first day of fall classes and scheduled to not coincide with the university assessment day. The suggestion was to consider the Tuesday prior to the first day of fall 2015 classes. Craig Turner was asked to pencil the retreat in on this date on the 2015-16 governance calendar.
- 5. <u>Venue</u> In recent years the venue for the retreat has been Rock Eagle. A thorough

- 1. John Swinton to chair the planning committee of the 2015 governance retreat.
- 2. Craig Turner to pencil in (on the governance calendar for 2015-16) the governance retreat on the Tuesday prior to the first day of fall 2015 classes.

	search was made for venues a couple years back and while other sites that could			
	accommodate a group of our size were			
	identified, none were as affordable as			
	Rock Eagle. The West Complex, while			
	viable, is perceived to have the drawback			
	of people popping in and out throughout			
	the day due its proximity to the main			
	campus. While the venue for the 2015			
	retreat is decidedly not yet selected, Rock			
	Eagle is a frontrunner for the site at this			
	time.			
Editorial Revisions to the	Earlier in the academic year, John Swinton	1. Susan Steele to ensure		
University Senate Bylaws	noted an errant reference to the Subcommittee	the editorial revisions of		
omversity senate bylaws	of Nominations (its current name) as the	the university senate		
Craig Turner	Committee on Nominations (its original name)	bylaws adopted by the		
Craig Turner	in the university senate bylaws and brought	executive committee		
	this observation to the attention of Craig			
	Turner. This ultimately triggered Craig Turner	(ECUS) are reported to the university senate at		
	to review the bylaws more comprehensively	its 13 Feb 2015 meeting.		
	for other matters in need of editorial revision.	its 10 100 2010 meeting.		
	Craig Turner circulated a summary of this			
	review to the other members of Executive			
	Committee prior to this meeting. As the			
	summary list was reviewed during the			
	meeting, there was unanimous agreement that			
	all the recommended revisions were editorial			
	in nature. Further, those present were			
	supportive of the adoption of all of the			
	proposed editorial revisions. This is an			
	authority (to adopt proposed editorial			
	revisions to the university senate bylaws) the			
	executive committee is given in the university			
	senate bylaws. To comply with the university			
	senate bylaws revisions process (for editorial			
	revisions), the next and final step is to report			
	the editorial revisions to the university			
	senators at a university senate meeting. This			

	will be done at the next meeting of university		
	senate scheduled for 13 Feb 2015. A summary		
	list of the editorial revisions is attached as a		
	supporting document to these minutes.		
VIII. Next Meeting			
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)			
1. Calendar	13 Feb 2015 @ 2:00pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72		
	27 Feb 2015 @ 2:00pm Univ. Senate committees		
	27 Feb 2015 @ 3:30pm ECUS/SCC Parks 301		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have		Susan Steele will ensure
	generated tentative agenda items for future		that such items (if any) are
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		added to agendas of the
			appropriate ECUS and/or
			ECUS-SCC meetings.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider,	The motion to adjourn was	_
	a MOTION to adjourn the meeting was made	approved and the meeting	
	and seconded.	adjourned at 3:30 pm.	
		Distribution:	

First; To Committee Membership for Review Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by:_			_
Committee Chai	irperson (Including t	his Approval by chair	at committee discretion)

**COMMITTEE NAME:** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS)

COMMITTEE OFFICERS: SUSAN STEELE (CHAIR), JOHN SWINTON (VICE-CHAIR) CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY)

**ACADEMIC YEAR: 2014-2015** 

## AGGREGATE MEMBER ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR:

"P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets

Acronyms	ronyms									
Meeting Dates	<u> </u>	08-22-14	10-03-14		01-23-15	02-27-15	04-03-15	Present	Regrets	Absent
Kelli Brown Provost		P	R	R	R			1	3	0
Ben Davis EFS; Library		P	P	P	P			4	0	0
Steve Dorman University Pres	sident	R	R	R	R			0	4	0
Lyndall Musch EFS; CoE; EC	ell US Chair Emeritus	P	P	P	P			4	0	0
Susan Steele EFS; CoHS; E	CUS Chair	P	P	R	P			3	1	0
John Swinton EFS; CoB; EC	US Vice-Chair	P	P	P	P			4	0	0
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; EC	CUS Secretary	P	P	P	P			4	0	0