## **COMMITTEE NAME:** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) **MEETING DATE & TIME:** 27 FEBRUARY 2015; 2:00–3:15 **MEETING LOCATION:** 301 PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING

## **ATTENDANCE:**

Мем	BERS "P"	den	otes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets
Р	Kelli Brown (Provost)	Р	Susan Steele (CoHS, ECUS Vice-Chair)
Р	Ben Davis (Library)	Р	John Swinton (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)
R	Steve Dorman (University President)	Р	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)
R	Lyndall Muschell (CoE, ECUS Chair Emeritus)		
GUESTS:			
	Ormond (Senior Associate Provost)		
Carter	Shadden (Graduate Assistant of the 2014-2015 University Sena	ite)	
Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.         *Denotes new discussion on old business.			

AGENDA TOPIC	<b>DISCUSSIONS &amp; CONCLUSIONS</b>	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	<b>FOLLOW-UP</b> {including dates/responsible person, status (pending,
			ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to order	The meeting was called to order at 2:06 pm by Susan Steele (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded. An amendment was offered by Susan Steele to add consideration of USG Background Investigation Revisions (Steer) as an item of New Business. This amendment was acceptable to all present.	The agenda was approved as amended.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the minutes of the 23 Jan 2015 meeting of the Executive Committee was made and seconded. A draft of these		

	minutes had been circulated to the meeting approved as posted, so no
	attendees via email with no revisions offered. additional action was required.
	Thus, the minutes had been posted (as
	circulated) to the minutes.gcsu.edu site.
IV. Reports	Reports from each elected faculty senator
	were invited by Susan Steele.
Provost Report	1. Faculty & Staff Excellence The Faculty
	& Staff Celebration of Excellence will be
	held Th 16 Apr 2015 at 9:00 a.m. in
	Russell Auditorium; all are invited and
	encouraged to attend.
	2. <u>Course Registration</u> Student registration
	begins next week and we are all set and
	ready to begin registration for summer and
	fall.
	3. <b><u>Budget</u></b> In May after state legislation ends
	we will know for sure what our FY16
	budget will be.
	4. Academic Calendar On behalf of
	Cabinet, I have requested to the APC
	(Academic Policy Committee) to review
	having a fall break during the week of
	Thanksgiving vs. just the Wednesday and
	consider starting back one week earlier in
	January.
	5. Administrative Support for University
	Senate Hopefully this position will be
	filled this summer sometime; the person in
	this position will be able to assist with
	university senate tasks and assist the
	Presiding Officer and can help with
	preparations for the Governance Retreat in
	August.

Presiding Officer Report	1. Scheduled meeting with Drs. Dorman and
	Brown regarding registry of committees.
Susan Steele	2. Sent draft governance calendar to Dr.
	Brown requesting review with Academic
	Leadership Team and discussion of need
	to avoid college or departmental meeting
	conflicts with governance events.
	3. Obtained permission to use Presidential
	Seal, and to order special paper for
	university senate recognition certificates.
	Paper ordered through <i>Printing Services</i> .
	4. Discussed 2016 State of the University
	address date with Monica Starley from
	President's office and obtained a
	confirmed date (29 Jan 2016) for inclusion
	on the 2015-16 governance calendar.
	5. Reviewed USG documents forwarded to
	me including (1) USG enrollment
	predictions memorandum from Chancellor
	Huckaby (2) Chancellor's budget remarks
	to legislature and (3) Chancellor's
	PowerPoint presentation to legislature.
	6. Prepared and distributed the university
	senate agenda for 13 Feb 2015 meeting.
	Discussed university senate meeting time
	frame with President Dorman and Provost
	Brown; specifically a revised format of the
	university senate agenda to include time
	limitations for agenda items.
	7. At the 13 Feb 2015 university senate
	meeting, I announced ECUS-adopted (at
	its 23 Jan 2015 meeting) editorial
	revisions to the university senate bylaws.

This announcement made these editorial
revisions effective at the adjournment of
this meeting of university senate.
8. Added a note to Motion 1314.EC.001.B
(indicating its connection to Motion
1415.CAPC.003.B) in the online motion
database. These motions deal with the
proposed revisions to language pertaining
to SoCC in the university senate bylaws.
9. Affixed the presiding officer signature to
all motions recently adopted by university
senate in the online motion database
communicating suggested motion
implementers to Kathy Waers in the
President's office.
10. It should be noted that items 8 and 9 were
accomplished under the tutelage of Dr.
Craig Turner.
11. Received notification from Macon
McGinley of medical leave and Amy
Pinney coverage of SAPC committee.
12. Reviewed the internal audit draft report
regarding the review of the Policies,
Procedures and Practices Manual. Report
identifies issues with lack of closure in the
online motion database for policies
recommended. This information will be
shared with the Enterprise Application
team working on a new electronic
presence for university senate, including a
revised online motion database.

Past Presiding Officer	As Lyndall Muschell had extended regrets and
Report	was unable to attend the meeting, there was no
	Past Presiding Officer Report.
Lyndall Muschell	
Presiding Officer Elect	John Swinton indicated that he had nothing to
Report	report as Presiding Officer Elect. He did have
-	a report as Subcommittee on Nominations
John Swinton	Chair.
	1. At-Large Election A draft of the at-large
	election ballot was circulated around the
	table for review by the members of the
	executive committee. Due to technical
	issues, implementing the at-large ballot
	had been delayed and will be implemented
	as soon as possible. The delay was caused
	by the time needed to prepare an email list
	for the Corps of Instruction. Qualtrics
	survey (ballot) for at-large elected faculty
	senator to be launched on 2/27/15 with
	election results ready 3/6/15.
	2. <u>Election Oversight</u> All the academic units
	(four colleges and the library) have
	submitted their elected faculty senator
	election results.
	3. <u>Committee Preference Surveys</u> Once the
	at-large election is complete, committee
	preference surveys will be implemented.
	One survey will provide the 2015-2016
	elected faculty senators an opportunity to
	share their preferences regarding serving
	on a committee and serving as an officer
	(Secretary, Presiding Officer Elect). The
	other survey will provide all members of
	the university faculty an opportunity to
	express their interest in serving on a
	university senate committee as a
	volunteer.

	and as Governance Retreat Planning	
	Committee Chair	
	1. <u>Committee Members</u> Three individuals	
	(Macon McGinley, Susan Steele, Craig	
	Turner) have volunteered to serve on the	
	governance retreat planning committee.	
	2. <u>Date</u> The 2015 Governance Retreat is	
	scheduled for 9:00am-4:00pm on Tuesday	
	11 Aug 2015.	
	3. <u>Site</u> The location for the 2015 Governance	
	Retreat will be Rock Eagle assuming I am	
	able to confirm its availability on our date,	
	a confirmation I plan to attend to by the	
	end of this month.	
	4. <u>Invitees</u> The invitees for the 2015	
	governance retreat will be all members of	
	the committees of the 2015-2016	
	university senate. This list will be	
	available following the organizational	
	meeting of the 2015-2016 university	
	senate scheduled to occur at 2:00 pm on	
	Fri 1 May 2015 in Arts & Sciences 2-72.	
	5. <u>Surveys</u> I anticipate circulating surveys to	
	collect preferences on intent to attend	
	(RSVP), travel (bus/van/personal car) and	
	food (dietary needs), likely after the	
	organizational meeting of the 2015-16	
	university senate.	
Secretary Report	<u>14 Nov 2014</u>	<u>14 Nov 2014</u>
	1. <u><b>Redbooks</b></u> Craig Turner noted that he had	1. John R. Swinton Chair
Craig Turner	brought to this meeting nine copies of the	of the Subcommittee on
	10 <sup>th</sup> edition of the American Association of	Nominations to contact
	University Professors Policies and	Indiren Pillay to see
	Reports – more commonly known as The	how the constituency of
	AAUP Redbook due to its red cover –	elected faculty senator
	which belonged to the University Senate.	Andrei Barkovskii (who
	Further, he noted that the $11^{th}$ edition of	has indicated he will
	this AAUP Redbook would soon be	take a professional

available (its publication and availability	leave during Spring
anticipated in January 2015). The	2015) would like to be
question was: What to do with these nine	represented during the
copies? After a brief discussion, there was	Spring 2015 semester.
agreement by those present to first offer	2. Susan Steele to ensure
these copies to the committee officers at	that the recently hired
the 14 Nov 2014 3:30pm meeting of the	Chief Human Resources
Executive Committee with the Standing	Officer Leslie Pierce is
Committee Chairs, and second if there	aware of the need for a
were any copies remaining, to offer them	verification list to be
to the elected faculty senators at the 5 Dec	circulated by Human
2014 meeting of the University Senate.	Resources as part of the
Note: During the preparation of these	implementation of the
minutes, it was known that all nine copies	Service Recognition
were distributed to committee officers at	Policy.
the 14 Nov 2014 meeting of the Executive	
Committee with Standing Committee	<u>23 Jan 2015</u>
Chairs.	1. John R. Swinton Chair
2. Elected Faculty Senator on Leave Craig	of the Subcommittee on
Turner noted that he and Susan Steele had	Nominations did
received an email from elected faculty	contact Indiren Pillay
senator Andrei Barkovskii indicating that	to see how the
Andrei would be on professional leave	constituency of elected
during the Spring 2015 semester and did	faculty senator Andrei
not intend to attend meetings of the	Barkovskii (who has
university senate or its committees during	indicated he will take a
this time. A relevant university senate	professional leave
bylaw states	during Spring 2015)
II.Section1.B.	would like to be
Replacements. Vacancies (i.e.	represented during the
professional leave, sick leave, etc) or	Spring 2015 semester.
resignations that occur during a term	It was determined that
of service shall be filled for the	Mike Gleason would
remainder of that term following the	complete Andrei's
process used in the original election,	term.
selection or appointment.	2. Susan Steele will
To initiate this process the individual	<i>ensure that the recently</i>
or proxy (i.e., colleges/library,	hired Chief Human
	inica Chicj Illinan

Page 7 of 35

Student Government Association, Staff	Resources Officer
Council, presidential appointee)	Leslie Pierce is aware
should notify the Chair of the	of the need for a
Committee on Nominations in writing	verification list to be
(electronic forms are acceptable).	circulated by Human
It was further noted that the current	Resources as part of
elected faculty senator term of Andrei	the implementation of
Barkovskii ended 1 May 2015. During	the Service Recognition
discussion, a consensus opinion emerged	Policy. In particular,
that this information should be shared	there is a meeting
with the constituency of Andrei Barkovskii	scheduled for 27 Jan
(his department colleagues) who could	2015 at 3:00 pm to
then decide if they wanted to name a	discuss this matter and
replacement to complete the term of	ensure this awareness.
Andrei Barkovskii and serve as their	The meeting attendees
elected faculty senator for Spring 2015. As	include Leslie Pierce,
current Chair of the Subcommittee on	Crystal Reeves, Monica
Nominations, this responsibility fell to	Starley, Susan Steele, and
John R. Swinton.	Craig Turner.
3. Service Recognition Policy A concern	3. Susan Steele to share
regarding the implementation of this	with President Dorman
policy that was discussed earlier this	as an information item
academic year by the Executive	Motion 0708.EC.003.R
Committee may still be unresolved. The	regarding the keeping
primary point of concern was whether or	of a university-wide
not a list seeking the verification of the	committee registry.
time in service has been circulated to	4. Susan Steele to seek
supervisors as called for in the policy. The	permission from
specific policy language is	President Dorman to
The Office of Human Resources and	circulate (in advance of
Employee Relations shall be responsible	minutes being posted)
for identifying employees to be recognized	the president's report
based on the eligible service criteria.	to the university senate.
• Employees shall be recognized at the	If secured, these two
Service Recognition Ceremony that	reports (president and
next occurs after they reach the	provost) should be
specified years of eligible service.	circulated to the
	university community

	• A list of all employees, including	by the presiding officer
	their length of service, shall be	of the university senate
	distributed to supervisors by August	via the FrontPage
	1st each year. Supervisors shall be	digest email system.
	responsible for confirming with the	5. Susan Steele to ensure
	employee that the length of service is	that consultation with
	accurate. Discrepancies shall be	individuals who serve
	reported immediately to the Office of	on university senate
	Human Resources and Employee	committees (in roles
	Relations.	other than university
	• To assist in the identification	senator) have the
	process, employees with discrepancies	opportunity to offer
	or unusual service records (e.g. non-	suggestion for possible
	continuous service, leave of absence,	tokens of appreciation
	part-time to full-time) are encouraged	to recognize their
	to complete the Employee Service	service that would be
	Update Form and submit it to the	meaningful to them (to
	Office of Human Resources and	possibly be added as a
	Employee Relations.	parallel practice to the
It	was noted that the Service Recognitions	current awarding of the
	olicy was adopted on 2 May 2013 as the	university senate pins
	esult of motion 1213.EC.002. found in the	to university senators).
	nline motion database at the url	to university senators).
	ttp://senate.gcsu.edu/senate/view_motio	27 Feb 2015
	php?mid=557 and that this circulation	1. Susan Steele will soon
	<i>f</i> the list for verification has yet to be	be meeting with both
-	nplemented. After brief discussion, the	President Dorman and
	onsensus recommendation was to contact	Provost Brown to
	the recently hired Chief Human Resources	discuss the content of
	fficer Leslie Pierce to acquaint her with	Motion 0708.EC.003.F
	is facet of the Service Recognition	which advocates for the
	olicy. As current Presiding Officer of the	keeping of a registry of
	Iniversity Senate, this responsibility fell	university-wide
	Susan Steele.	committees. Susan wil
	<i>ommunication</i> Craig Turner offered for	also formally seel
		permission from
	onsideration of those present a	President Dorman to
	uggestion that the reports to the	
<u> </u>	niversity senate from the university	circulate to the

president and the provost be distributed	university community
more widely in closer proximity to the	(in advance of minutes
university senate meeting at which they	being posted) the
are delivered than is present practice. He	president's report to the
observed that all members of the	university senate.
university community could read these	2. Susan Steele drafted a
reports from the university senate minutes	survey to circulate to
which were typically posted closer to the	non-university-senator
following meeting of the university senate	members of university
and that was typically four to five weeks	senate committees to
after the reports were given to university	gather information on
senators. After brief discussion, the	the interest of this
consensus opinion of those present was	group in receiving a
that this was a good idea and that it could	wearable token of
be implemented by sharing the reports	appreciation for their
with the elected faculty senators of the	university senate
executive committee who would in turn be	committee service.
responsible to share the information with	This recognition would
their academic units OR these reports	be comparable to the
could be distributed to the university	university senate pin
senators who would be charged to get the	awarded to (first-time)
information to their constituencies. No	university senators for
particular strategy was agreed upon for	service on the
implementation. There was also consensus	university senate.
opinion that permission from each of the	
university president and provost should be	
secured prior to any implementation.	
a. <u>Concern</u> A more general concern was	
offered during this discussion that	
sometimes the grapevine information	
is ahead of the official information on	
the campus network. There was	
agreement that there is always room	
for improvement in communication in	
any organization. No specific remedy	
was offered for implementation, yet it	
was noted that communication might	
be more direct in certain instances	
be more uncer in certain instances	

rather than flowing through the administrative chain. 23 Jan 2015 1. <u>Committee Registry</u> Craig Turner shared a copy of Motion 0708.EC.003.R, a resolution from 2007-08 regarding the desire to maintain a registry of university- wide committees. Specifically the motion text reads as follows Whereas the primary goals are to support	
<ul> <li>23 Jan 2015</li> <li>1. Committee Registry Craig Turner shared a copy of Motion 0708.EC.003.R, a resolution from 2007-08 regarding the desire to maintain a registry of university- wide committees. Specifically the motion text reads as follows Whereas the primary goals are to support</li> </ul>	
1. Committee Registry       Craig Turner shared         a copy of Motion 0708.EC.003.R, a         resolution from 2007-08 regarding the         desire to maintain a registry of university-         wide committees. Specifically the motion         text reads as follows         Whereas the primary goals are to support	
1. Committee Registry       Craig Turner shared         a copy of Motion 0708.EC.003.R, a         resolution from 2007-08 regarding the         desire to maintain a registry of university-         wide committees. Specifically the motion         text reads as follows         Whereas the primary goals are to support	
resolution from 2007-08 regarding the desire to maintain a registry of university- wide committees. Specifically the motion text reads as follows Whereas the primary goals are to support	
desire to maintain a registry of university- wide committees. Specifically the motion text reads as follows Whereas the primary goals are to support	
wide committees. Specifically the motion text reads as follows Whereas the primary goals are to support	
text reads as follows Whereas the primary goals are to support	
Whereas the primary goals are to support	
the governing concepts of transparent	
decision-making and shared information,	
specifically the sharing of information	
deemed relevant to the deliberations	
within the decision-making process,	
therefore be it resolved by the University	
Senate of Georgia College & State	
University that the University President	
identify an appropriate university official	
to maintain a registry of university-wide	
committees or a master list of committees	
that	
(1) provides appropriate information,	
including but not limited to membership	
rosters, committee charge, and	
committee meeting minutes, (2) is accessible to members of the	
<i>university community, and</i>	
(3) is periodically reviewed to determine	
the activity status of each committee.	
A brief history of this matter was shared	
indicating that prior to this resolution, it	
was a university senate bylaw that ECUS	
maintain a registry of administrative	
committees. In both instances, the desire	
was to supporting the governing concepts	
of transparent decisions making and	

,	
	shared information as well as maintain a
	list of the committees that were both
	representative of the university as a whole
	and reporting to an administrator so that
	any member of the university community
	could (if interested) find out about the
	activity and deliberations of such
	committees. The motion implementor
	assigned [Paul Jones] is presently on
	leave. After some brief conversation, it
	was recommended that Susan Steele bring
	this matter to the attention of President
	Dorman as an information item.
	2. Administrative Report Circulation As
	part of the communication item of 14 Nov
	2014 executive committee secretary report
	(see above), the recommendation of
	circulating to the university community the
	reports given at university senate meetings
	by the university president and the provost
	as soon after the meeting as feasible was
	revisited. It was noted that permission to
	circulate the provost report was obtained
	at the 14 Nov 2014 meeting of the
	executive committee with standing
	committee chairs directly from Provost
	Brown. To date, there had not been an
	attempt to secure such permission from
	President Dorman. After some brief
	discussion, the agreement was that Susan
	Steele should seek permission from
	President Dorman to circulate the
	president's report and if secured, these
	two reports (president and provost) should
	be circulated to the university community
	by the presiding officer of the university
	senate via the FrontPage digest email
	system.

	2 Tolong for Commence Service C
	3. <u>Tokens for Governance Service</u> Craig
	Turner suggested to those present that
	pins for service on the university senate
	not be exclusive to the university senators
	but also be awarded to those members who
	serve on committees in other capacities
	(faculty volunteers, appointees by SGA,
	Staff Council or the Chief Administrative
	Officers). There was general agreement
	with the spirit of the recommendation but
	not necessary the letter. After some
	discussion, there was agreement to consult
	with those presently serving in these other
	capacities to find out what token of
	appreciation would be a meaningful one
	for them, realizing this might not result in
	the awarding of a pin. Susan Steele agreed
	to ensure that this consultation is done.
	4. Minutes Cleanup Craig Turner requested
	assistance on some minutes cleanup to
	obtain updates on the open actions
	charged at previous meetings of the
	executive committee. These included (1)
	the fraud awareness representative (Ben
	McMillan identified) (2) Orientation for
	Standing Committee Officers email (Susan
	Steele sent this email and received no
	responses) (3) Graduate Task Force
	representative (before a replacement
	could be named, the task force completed
	its work with Catherine Whelan serving as
	university senate representative).
	<u>27 Feb 2015</u>
	Craig Turner indicated that he had nothing to
	report as University Senate Secretary.
Library Senator Report	Ben Davis indicated that he had nothing to
Library Schator Report	report as Library Senator.

Ben Davis		
V. Information Items Actions/Recommendations		
New Faculty Orientation	Effective with the 2015-2016 academic year,	
Provost Brown	the academic affairs component of new faculty orientation is being expanded to two full days. The new faculty orientation events, scheduled to occur between Su 9 Aug 2015	
	and We 12 Aug 2015, were provided for	
	inclusion on the 2015-2016 governance calendar.	
<b>Diploma Size and Wording</b>	This was presented as an informational item	
Provost Brown	and a preview of the matters that would be brought for review and deliberation to those assembled for the executive committee with standing committee chairs meeting scheduled immediately following this meeting starting at	
	3:30pm Fri 27 Feb 2015. Student Government Association President Juawn Jackson met with President Dorman	
	and requested consideration of modifications to diploma size and wording. While President Dorman has the authority to implement the	
	requested changes, his preference is to inform his decision on whether to implement by vetting the proposal to faculty representatives,	
	specifically with the leaders of the university senate which include standing committee chairs and elected faculty senators on the executive committee. The proposed changes	
	are	

	1. <u>Diploma Size</u> To increase the size of the
	master's degree diploma from its current
	size matching that of a bachelor's degree
	to the size of the terminal degree
	(presently used only for the Doctor of
	Nursing Practice. (DNP)).
	2. <u>Wording</u> To add the designation of the
	major to the undergraduate diploma, e.g.
	rather than Bachelor of Science it would
	be Bachelor of Science in Nursing.
	Other contextual information points related to
	the proposal included
	• should the diploma size be amended,
	the way in which it is presented to a
	recipient would also be amended.
	Specifically it would no longer include
	a cover but rather be rolled up with a
	ribbon (as is the case presently for the
	DNP)
	double majors receive two degrees one
	with each major
	A suggestion emerged from the floor to invite
	review by a university senate committee
	(SAPC) during the executive committee of the
	university senate with standing committee
	chair meeting to allow the opportunity for the
	committee review, the default operating
	procedure of the university senate. All were
	agreeable to this suggestion.
Graduate Council Bylaws	A draft of the graduate council bylaws had
	been forwarded as an information item to
Senior Associate Provost	Susan Steele for circulation to the executive
Ormond and Provost Brown	committee. At this meeting, feedback was
	$M_{\rm c}^{\rm c} = \langle EINIAL DDAET \rangle \qquad D_{\rm c} = 15 - (25)$

invited by Senior Associate Provost Tom
Ormond. A number of suggestions and
observations were offered including but not
limited to
• a suggestion to use the term <i>Director</i>
of Graduate Studies as a replacement
for Senior Associate Provost or Senior
Associate Provost and Director of
<i>Graduate Studies</i> throughout the draft.
While presently Tom Ormond holds
both titles, it seems that the relevant
title for use in the Graduate Council
Bylaws is Director of Graduate
Studies
• In section IV.A.c, the use of the phrase
up to two would allow 0, 1, or 2 and
some phrasing equivalent to at least
one and at most two is perhaps what is
intended
• How are the representatives in IV.A.c
selected? Might it be more appropriate
to have an election rather than a
selection? In any case, specification of
the process of selection – preferably an
elected representative of a faculty
constituency – is recommended for
consideration
• One of the reasons for creating this
graduate council is to provide this
group a seat at the table for the
discussions of graduate issues.

1		
	• It was noted that there is intentionality	
	to review the bylaws at least annually	
	built into the current draft.	
	• As currently drafted, some were	
	reading the consideration of graduate	
	curricular matters to sidestep CAPC	
	(Curriculum and Assessment Policy	
	Committee) review/approval. This	
	was not the intent as the curricular	
	review was intended to include	
	review/approval by the CAPC as is	
	presently the case.	
	• Is the graduate council treated as an	
	academic unit from the perspective	
	that certain policies might be made for	
	only those matters in the graduate	
	domain and thus not be university	
	policy? There was some agreement	
	that this was an appropriate way to	
	view this group.	
	• In IV.D, can't have both two-year	
	terms of service and one-third	
	replaced each year – either need three-	
	year terms and one-third OR two-year	
	terms and one-half.	
	• In IV.D, the use of the term	
	appointments may not be consistent	
	with the suggested election insertion	
	into section IV.A.c.	
	• In Article IX, a <i>two-third vote</i> should	
	be a <i>two-thirds vote</i> (add an "s" to the	
	word third)	
	woru umu)	

	Senior Associate Provost Tom Ormond took	
	copious notes and expressed appreciation for	
	the observations and suggestions indicating	
	that each would receive consideration for	
	incorporation into the draft.	
AAUP Redbooks (11 <sup>th</sup>	23 Jan 2015	 23 Jan 2015
Edition) Purchase Any?	Ben Davis and Craig Turner noted that this	Susan Steele to ensure this
	book has been donated to the library and is	topic is placed on the
Craig Turner	available in the reference section and cannot	tentative agenda of a future
	be checked out of the library. Some of the	ECUS or ECUS/SCC
	previous editions of this book are available in	meeting.
	the library in the general collection and	0
	available for checkout by patrons.	<u>27 Feb 2015</u>
	Further consideration of this matter	Susan Steele placed this
	(specifically whether to allocate university	item on the tentative
	senate funds to purchase copies) was	agenda of the 27 Feb 2015
	postponed to a future meeting of ECUS or	ECUS/SCC meeting
	ECUS-SCC due to lack of time for its	
	deliberation.	
VI. Unfinished Business		
Review of Action &		
Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up		
updates (if any) to Follow-up		
Service Recognition Policy	1. <b><u>Committee</u></b> First it was noted that a group	
Changes	consisting of Leslie Pierce, Crystal	
_	Reeves, Monica Starley, Susan Steele, and	
Susan Steele	Craig Turner had met a couple of times to	
	discuss the Service Recognition Policy.	
	с ·	
	set of revisions have been drafted (and	
	submitted in the policy template format)	
	by Crystal Reeves and Leslie Pierce for	
	university senate review. Highlights of the	
	revisions include	

T	
	a. streamlined procedures that can be
	implemented to extend to each
	employee via her/his supervisor an
	opportunity to review for accuracy the
	Human Resources record of her/his
	years of service and to propose
	corrections if that record is in error.
	b. ownership of the service recognition
	ceremony is shifting from the
	University President's Office to
	Human Resources.
	c. begin recognition at the five-year
	milestone rather than the ten-year
	milestone – thus recognizing
	milestones of five years, ten years,
	fifteen years, twenty years, twenty-
	five years, thirty years, and multiples
	of five year milestones realized
	beyond thirty years as well. It may be
	rare to have individuals work longer
	than a typical thirty year career.
	3. <u>Next Step</u> As the next step of deliberation
	was considered, it was noted that the
	policy template includes policy,
	procedures, and guidelines among other
	information. A question from the floor was
	asked – "Did the policy section change?"
	After pulling up the current version of the
	Service Recognition Policy in the Policies,
	Procedures, and Practices Manual, it was
	noted that there were revisions to the short
	(two to three sentence) policy statement.
	The changes were not substantive and

Π		
	seemed in the judgment of those present to	
	be editorial in nature. A suggestion was	
	made to propose consideration of these	
	revisions as a <i>committee of the whole</i> by	
	the university senate at its 27 Mar 2015	
	meeting. By the university senate bylaws,	
	committee of the whole consideration can	
	occur only with a two-thirds majority	
	approval by the university senate. If this	
	two-thirds majority approval is not	
	achieved, the proposal will be steered by	
	ECUS (the Executive Committee of the	
	University Senate) to RPIPC (the	
	Resources, Planning and Institutional	
	Policy Committee) for consideration at its	
	3 Apr 2015 meeting. As a follow-up, an	
	agreement was made to implement this	
	next step plan only if permission to deviate	
	from the usual committee review process	
	is secured from RPIPC Chair Ben	
	McMillan at the 27 Feb 2015 meeting of	
	ECUS with Standing Committee Chairs.	
4.	Question One more question was raised	
	from the floor regarding the intention to	
	recognize part-time employees. Given the	
	current wording, it was not clear to	
	determine whether only full-time faculty	
	and staff would be recognized. There will	
	be efforts to seek clarification from the	
	proposers (Leslie Pierce and Crystal	
	Reeves) prior to further consideration.	

Recognition of Volunteers on University Senate Committees Susan Steele	Susan Steele had circulated a draft survey for volunteers serving on university senate committees. These volunteers include any member serving on a university senate committee who is not simultaneously serving on the university senate. The survey intent is to gather information on the preferences of these volunteers in receiving a wearable token of appreciation to parallel the university senate pin received by university senators. There were no suggested edits to the draft survey and Susan Steele indicated she would implement the survey as soon as was feasible.	Susan Steele to implement the volunteer survey regarding wearable tokens of appreciation for service on university senate committees.
University Senate	23 Jan 2015	23 Jan 2015
Recognitions	While university senate recognitions include	Susan Steele to ensure that
	pins for new university senators (awarded	certificates of recognition
Susan Steele	only once to an individual at the commencement of their first term of service as a university senator) and certificates to recognize the completion of a term of service as university senator, committee member, or officer, the conversation focused on the certificates. In particular, Susan Steele sought guidance on the logistics of certificate preparation from Lyndall Muschell who had ensured the preparation of certificates in 2013-14. The main points were that the office of the president had supplies (paper stock) and printing facilities while the graduate assistant had assisted with the preparation of the text for inclusion on the certificates using a mail-merge last year. Lyndall Muschell indicated that she could share the documentation and any template she had from last year.	are prepared for 2014-15.

2015-2016	23 Jan 2015	23 Jan 2015
Governance Calendar	Susan Steele noted that the preparation of the	1. Craig Turner to
	governance calendar was an executive	prepare a draft of the
Susan Steele	committee function and invited a volunteer to	2015-16 governance
	serve as point for its preparation. Craig	calendar for review by
	Turner volunteered. All present were	members of the
	agreeable to this appointment.	executive committee.
	There were concerns about the reception of	2. Susan Steele to obtain
	regrets for university senate meetings in	from Presiden
	which it was stated that a college, department	Dorman a date for the
	or other unit meeting was the reason for the	state of the university
	regrets. This was deemed to be unfortunate.	address for inclusion
	There was a desire to have as part of the	on the 2015-16
	campus culture the ideal that those scheduling	governance calendar.
	meetings involving individuals participating	3. Susan Steele to see
	in shared governance (serving on the	support from both
	university senate and/or its committees)	President Dorman and
	should strive to select meeting times that do	Provost Brown to
	not conflict with meetings of university senate	encourage the campu
	or its committees. A suggestion to seek	meeting planners to try
	support from the university president and	to avoid scheduling
	provost in promoting this cultural practice	meetings that would
	was made and all present were in favor of	introduce conflicts for
	doing this.	participants in meeting
	The selection of a date for the 2015-2016 state	of university senate of
	of the university presidential address had	its committees.
	been requested and it was noted that	
	historically this date is determined by the	27 Feb 2015
	university president and simply advertised	1. Craig Turner die
	(listed) on the governance calendar.	prepare a draft of the
		2015-16 governance
	27 Feb 2015	calendar for review by
	1. <u>Circulated Drafts</u> A draft of the 2015-16	members of the
	Governance Calendar had been circulated	executive committee.
	to the members of the Executive	2. Susan Steele did obtain
	Committee via email prior to the meeting	from President Dorman
	for their review. A number of dates to be	a date for the state of the
	advertised on the calendar had come in	university address fo

after that draft had been circulated. Thus a	inclusion on the 2015-
new draft (DRAFT 2) was circulated at the	16 governance calendar.
meeting with the most recently received	
dates included. These recently received	
dates included week of welcome events	
(new faculty orientation, Board of Regent	
meeting dates for only August,	
governance retreat, department meeting	
time, college meeting time, assessment	
day meetings, welcome back faculty	
breakfast, freshman convocation, and	
discussions of common reader), faculty	
contract start/end dates, the state of the	
university address and reception date, and	
the service recognition ceremony date.	
Craig Turner summarized these revisions	
to the committee members.	
2. <u>Comparison</u> Craig Turner provided a	
comparison between the draft 2015-16	
governance calendar and the 2014-15	
governance calendar. The 2015-16	
calendar had 7 meetings each of university	
senate, university senate committees, and	
ECUS with standing committee chairs	
while the 2013-14 calendar had only six.	
As proposed, the organizational meetings	
of the 2016-17 university senate and its	
committees were separated by a calendar	
week rather than occurring on the same	
Friday as will be done this year. In the	
proposed draft, there are designated	
Department meetings rather than having	
only College meetings as is currently the	
case – this particular request had been	
made from the floor at the 13 Feb 2015	
meeting of the university senate. Note:	
While not explicitly noted at during the	
meeting, during the preparation of these	

	minutes it is also noted that as requested	
	from the floor of the 13 Feb 2015 meeting	
	of the university senate, the governance	
	retreat and common book meetings do not	
	conflict.	
	3. <u>Action</u> A recommendation to remove the	
	August Board of Regents meeting dates	
	from this calendar was proposed and	
	agreeable to those present noting that the	
	Board of Regents meeting dates have not	
	been included on this calendar historically.	
	The draft 2015-2016 Governance	
	Calendar was then adopted as amended.	
SoCC Bylaws	22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele noted that at the 24	22 Aug 2014 Susan Steele
	Apr 2014 meeting of the University Senate,	to ensure that this matter
Susan Steele	revisions to the university bylaws pertaining	be taken up at a future
	to the Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum	meeting of ECUS or
	(SoCC) were recommended to President	ECUS-SCC (as necessary).
	Dorman by the University Senate. This	
	recommendation has not yet received action	<u>3 Oct 2014</u> One of the
	(approval, veto) by President Dorman as he	items in the Presiding
	desires additional information on a couple of	Officer Report was an
	the details: in particular the definition of the	update on the SoCC
	term teaching representative. As President	bylaws. The interested
	Dorman had extended regrets and was unable	reader is invited to see that
	to attend this meeting, consideration of this	report in the 3 Oct 2014
	matter was postponed to a future meeting of	minutes for the details.
	ECUS or ECUS-SCC. Provost Kelli Brown	
	agreed to consult with President Dorman on	<u>23 Jan 2015</u> Susan Steele
	this matter and keep him apprised on the brief	did ensure that this matter
	discussion on this matter at this ECUS	was taken up at meetings of
	meeting.	ECUS or ECUS-SCC.
	<u><b>14 Nov 2014</b></u> Craig Turner indicated that the	
	Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum	
	(SoCC) under the leadership of its Chair Julia	
	Metzker had discussed the concerns of	
	President Dorman to the proposed changes to	
	the bylaws. The concerns were two in number:	

	(1) a definition of teaching representative and	
	(2) the eligibility and selection of SoCC Chair.	
	Craig Turner went on to indicate that Julia	
	Metzker had met with President Dorman and	
	reached a compromise position on both issues	
	and that Julia Metzker would be present at the	
	14 Nov 2014 3:30pm meeting of the Executive	
	Committee with Standing Committee Chairs	
	to share the details.	
	23 Jan 2015	
	This matter was considered at the 5 Dec 2014	
	meeting of the university senate and will be	
	<i>further considered (anticipating disposition)</i>	
	at the 13 Feb 2015 meeting of university	
	senate	
	<u>27 Feb 2015</u>	
	This matter was recommended to President	
	Dorman as Motion 1415.CAPC.003.B by the	
	university senate at its 13 Feb 2015 meeting.	
	This motion provides closure to university	
	senate deliberation of this issue. The	
	interested reader is invited to review the	
	details available in the 13 Feb 2015 university	
	senate minutes.	
Electronic Presence of the	3 Oct 2014	
University Senate	David Smith and Kelly Rickman of Enterprise	
	Applications are revising the electronic	
Craig Turner	presence of the university senate. Craig	
C	Turner is the university senate liaison for this	
	initiative. David Smith, Kelly Rickman and	
	Craig Turner met with Dr. Bryan Marshall, a	
	faculty member in the College of Business	
	who is the system administrator of the server	
	that hosts the online senator database. Given	
	the recent crash of this server which resulted	
	in two years of lost data (this lost data has	
	in the years of tost and this tost and has	

IT	
	been re-entered), the discussion focused on migrating the online senator database to a
	server maintained by Enterprise Applications.
	Bryan Marshall was both receptive and
	supportive of this migration. While there are
	a number of details that must be attended to
	prior to the actual migration, this migration is
	in progress.
	14 Nov 2014
	Craig Turner indicated that he had received
	the following information from David Smith
	and Kelly Rickman regarding the status of the
	recasting of the electronic presence of the
	university senate.
	1. David Smith reported that the
	migration of the data from the online
	senator database has been completed
	and is now housed on his personal
	computer as well as backed up in
	another location.
	2. Kelly Rickman reported that the
	development of the front end of the
	online senator database – to request
	the various reports and to support
	access to these data by the user – is
	progressing and not yet complete.
	3. Kelly Rickman reported that the file
	repository is now complete and functional.
	4. David Smith has requested university
	server space for the recast of the
	university senate electronic presence.
	This request is presently under
	consideration.
	23 Jan 2015
N	23 Jan 2015

Note: This report was not given orally at the
meeting due to time constraints and inserted
during the preparation of these minutes.
1. David Smith and Kelly Rickman of
Enterprise Applications (under the
leadership of James Carlisle) are the staff
who are implementing the modifications to
the electronic presence of the university
senate.
2. Progress is being made – though there are
other projects requiring attention and
taking priority from time to time.
3. The latest action of note was the migration
of the online senator database from a
college of business server to a server
maintained by the university information
technology staff. This will be a more
convenient place for this information and is
a stop-gap measure while the new version
of the online senator database is in
preparation. The links to the online senator
database have been updated on both the
green page (us.gcsu.edu) as well as the
senate.gcsu.edu sites and this migration
should be seamless to any browser of the
university senate web presence.
27 Eab 2015
27 Feb 2015 In consultation with David Smith of
Enterprise Applications, the update on the
electronic presence of the university senate
was Unfortunately, we have not made any
progress on the university senate site worth
reporting. We have all been pulled into
helping the IT departments various initiatives
for fall 2015.

At-Large Elected Faculty	3 Oct 2014	<u>3 Oct 2014</u>
Senator Election	<i>The implementation of at-large elected faculty</i>	John Swinton to draft an
	senator elections is an ECUS function. The	at-large election process
	relevant university senate bylaw is provided	for ECUS review at a
	below.	<i>future meeting.</i>
	John Swinton agreed to serve as point person	<u>23 Jan 2015</u>
	for the at-large election to be held during the	1. John Swinton did draft
	2014-2015 academic year. In particular, he	an at-large election
	will draft an at-large election process for	process for ECUS
	ECUS review at a future meeting.	review.
		2. John Swinton to take
	II.Section2.A.4. At-Large. The Executive	point and ensure the at-
	Committee shall determine an election	large election process
	procedure and conduct the elections for each	is implemented.
	of the at-large elected faculty senator	
	positions subject to the eligibility criteria and	<u>27 Feb 2015</u>
	term of service specified in II.Section1.A.2	1. John Swinton did take
	and the voting eligibility criteria specified in	point and is ensuring
	II.Section2.A.1. The results of such elections	that the at-large
	shall be announced to the University	election process is
	Community no later than March 1.	being implemented as
		was reported in the
	<u>23 Jan 2015</u>	Presiding Officer Elect
	The draft of the at-large election process that	report included in these
	was prepared by John Swinton was reviewed	minutes.
	by those present. In particular, this included	
	review of the timeline (including nominations,	
	eligibility confirmation, voting, reporting of	
	the election results) as well as tiebreaker and	
	replacement language. The draft was	
	endorsed (as presented) by all who were	
	present. The process charges the Chair of the	
	Subcommittee on Nominations (John	
	Swinton) to coordinate the implementation of	
	the at-large election process.	
Internal Audit of Policies,	<u>14 Nov 2014</u>	
Procedures and Practices		

Manual (PPPM) and the	John R. Swinton and Craig Turner reported	
University Senate Online	on the ongoing internal audit of the PPPM	
Motion Database	and the University Senate Online Motion	
	Database by Julia Hann. In short, the report	
Susan Steele	was that such an internal audit had been made	
John R. Swinton	and a meeting of Julia Hann, Provost Kelli	
Craig Turner	Brown, Associate Provost Tom Ormond,	
	Matthew Buchanan, Susan Steele, John R.	
	Swinton, and Craig Turner had been	
	scheduled for Wed 10 Dec 2014 at 3:00PM.	
	At the meeting, more details will be shared	
	and these details will subsequently be shared	
	at a future meeting of Executive Committee.	
	The preliminary information that had been	
	provided by Julia Hann via email was	
	distributed to Benjamin Davis and Lyndall	
	Muschell to keep them in the information loop.	
	27 Feb 2015	
	As a follow-up to the 10 Dec 2014 meeting	
	mentioned above in the deliberation of this	
	item, Julia Hann prepared a final draft report	
	and invited feedback (corrections, editions)	
	and endorsement of the report (possibly as	
	amended) from the executive committee.	
	Susan Steele had circulated this draft report	
	with the agenda. The following conversation	
	points were raised during the committee	
	review of this draft.	
	• What are the senate manuals being	
	referenced in the draft? Might those by	
	the university senate bylaws or the	
	checklists (motion flow or proposal	
	submission)?	
	• Follow through for implementation	
	with Enterprise Applications and the	
	DoIT (Department of Instructional	
	Technology) as the development of	

the university sena	
presence (including a the online motion	
implemented will b	
component of activity	
initiative.	to support this
	official maliau
• How does one find the	official policy
on X (any topic)?	
• The PPPM (Policies, I	
Practices Manual) is p	
three areas of conte	
affairs, business oper resources) Key question	
• How do policie	
recommended	
senate and app	
university pres	
the PPPM?	
• Shouldn't the it	mplementer be
selected as a po	1
as the perso	
position? (e.g	e
implementer	the Chief
Academic Offic	cer rather than
the person	holding that
-	t way the
individual holdi	ng the position
will be able	to access all
motions for whi	ch the position
is assigned as ir	nplementer.)
• What does i	
mean? Should	
guidance offer	
university pres	
	nprise the
implementation	of a motion?

(S)election/Appointee of University Senators Oversight John R. Swinton	Following this discussion, the draft report from Julia Hann was endorsed (with no revisions) by those who were present.23 Jan 2015It was noted that the executive committee has the responsibility of oversight of the selection and election of university senators for the 2015-16 university senate. This includes the elected faculty senator elections of academic units (results due by 1 Feb 2015), the selection of staff senators (due by 1 Mar 2015), the selection of student senators (due by 31 Mar 	<ul> <li>23 Jan 2015 <ol> <li>John Swinton to remind deans of elected faculty senator results due.</li> <li>John Swinton to work with staff council leadership to ensure the naming of selected staff senators for the 2015-16 university senate.</li> <li>John Swinton to work with the leaders of the student government association to ensure the naming of selected student senators for the 2015-16 university senate.</li> </ol> </li> <li>27 Feb 2015 <ol> <li>John Swinton did remind deans of elected faculty senator results due.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
University Senate Retreat	<i><u>23 Jan 2015</u></i> 1. <u>Chair</u> Susan Steele noted that it was time	<u>23 Jan 2015</u> 1. John Swinton to chair
Susan Steele	to begin work on planning the 2015 governance retreat. It was noted that the previous three governance retreat committees were chaired by the presiding officer elect. In light of this information, John Swinton agreed to chair the 2015 governance retreat planning committee.	the planning committee of the 2015 governance retreat. 2. Craig Turner to pencil in (on the governance calendar for 2015-16) the governance retreat

 Both Susan Steele and Lyndall Muschell	on the Tuesday prior to
agreed to share their notes as previous	the first day of fall 2015
planners.	classes.
2. <i>Invitees There was agreement to continue</i>	
the practice of inviting all members of the	27 Feb 2015
2015-16 university senate and/or its	1. Craig Turner did pencil
committees to attend the retreat.	in (on the governance
3. <u>Cancel or Continue</u> While the attendance	calendar for 2015-16)
for 2014 was low and a suggestion to	the governance retreat
consider discontinuing the governance	on the Tuesday prior to
retreat was briefly entertained, it was	the first day of fall 2015
ultimately recommended by all present to	classes.
continue to have a governance retreat.	2. John Swinton chairs
Among the reasons for doing so were: it is	this committee and did
a valuable tone-setting event that is a good	provide an update as
fresh start to the university senate year	found in the Presiding
and encourages participants to commit to	Officer Elect Report in
service on the university senate and/or its	these minutes.
committees.	
4. <u>Scheduling</u> In recent years, the retreat has	
been scheduled during the week prior to	
the first day of fall classes and scheduled	
to not coincide with the university	
assessment day. The suggestion was to	
consider the Tuesday prior to the first day	
of fall 2015 classes. Craig Turner was	
asked to pencil the retreat in on this date	
on the 2015-16 governance calendar.	
5. <u>Venue</u> In recent years the venue for the	
retreat has been Rock Eagle. A thorough	
search was made for venues a couple	
years back and while other sites that could	
accommodate a group of our size were	
identified, none were as affordable as	
Rock Eagle. The West Complex, while	
viable, is perceived to have the drawback	
of people popping in and out throughout	
the day due its proximity to the main	

	campus. While the venue for the 2015 retreat is decidedly not yet selected, Rock	
	Eagle is a frontrunner for the site at this time.	
Editorial Revisions to the		22 1 2015
	23 Jan 2015	<u>23 Jan 2015</u>
University Senate Bylaws	Earlier in the academic year, John Swinton	1. Susan Steele to ensure
a • m	noted an errant reference to the Subcommittee	the editorial revisions of
Craig Turner	of Nominations (its current name) as the	the university senate
	Committee on Nominations (its original	bylaws adopted by the
	name) in the university senate bylaws and	executive committee
	brought this observation to the attention of	(ECUS) are reported to
	Craig Turner. This ultimately triggered Craig	the university senate at
	Turner to review the bylaws more	its 13 Feb 2015 meeting.
	comprehensively for other matters in need of	
	editorial revision. Craig Turner circulated a	<u> 27 Feb 2015</u>
	summary of this review to the other members	1. Susan Steele did ensure
	of Executive Committee prior to this meeting.	the editorial revisions of
	As the summary list was reviewed during the	the university senate
	meeting, there was unanimous agreement that	bylaws adopted by the
	all the recommended revisions were editorial	executive committee
	in nature. Further, those present were	(ECUS) were reported
	supportive of the adoption of all of the	to the university senate
	proposed editorial revisions. This is an	at its 13 Feb 2015
	authority (to adopt proposed editorial	meeting.
	revisions to the university senate bylaws) the	incomg.
	executive committee is given in the university	
	senate bylaws. To comply with the university	
	senate bylaws revisions process (for editorial	
	revisions), the next and final step is to report	
	the editorial revisions to the university	
	senators at a university senate meeting. This	
	will be done at the next meeting of university	
	senate scheduled for 13 Feb 2015. A summary	
	list of the editorial revisions is attached as a	
	supporting document to these minutes.	
VII. New Business	supporting accument to these minutes.	
Actions/Recommendations		

USG Background Investigations Revisions	Susan Steele had been requested to ensure that the USG Background Investigation Policy –		
(Steer)	recently amended – receives the appropriate attention of the university senate. This matter		
Susan Steele	was steered for review and recommendations to the Resources, Planning and Institutional Policy Committee (RPIPC) by all members present at this meeting.		
VIII. Next Meeting			
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)			
1. Calendar	27 Mar 2015 @ 3:30pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72 3 Apr 2015 @ 2:00pm Univ. Senate committees 3 Apr 2015 @ 3:30pm ECUS/SCC Parks 301		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have generated tentative agenda items for future ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		Susan Steele will ensure that such items (if any) are added to agendas of the appropriate ECUS and/or ECUS-SCC meetings.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider, a <u>MOTION</u> to adjourn the meeting was made and seconded. Note that a motion to extend the duration of this meeting long enough to complete deliberation on some agenda items was made, seconded and adopted at 3:10 pm.	The motion to adjourn was approved and the meeting adjourned at 3:32 pm.	

First; To Committee Membership for Review Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Distribution:

Approved by:\_\_\_\_\_ Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

## **COMMITTEE NAME:** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) **COMMITTEE OFFICERS:** SUSAN STEELE (CHAIR), JOHN SWINTON (VICE-CHAIR) CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY) **ACADEMIC YEAR:** 2014-2015

## AGGREGATE MEMBER ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR: "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets

Acronyms		EFS = Elected Faculty Senator; CoAS = College of Arts & Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences								
Meeting Dates	COAS = College of Arts a	08-22-14	10-03-14		01-23-15	02-27-15	04-03-15	Present	Regrets	Absent
Kelli Brown Provost		Р	R	R	R	Р		2	3	0
Ben Davis EFS; Library		Р	Р	Р	Р	Р		5	0	0
Steve Dorman University President		R	R	R	R	R		0	5	0
Lyndall Musch EFS; CoE; EC	ell US Chair Emeritus	Р	Р	Р	Р	R		4	1	0
Susan Steele EFS; CoHS; E	CUS Chair	Р	Р	R	Р	Р		4	1	0
John Swinton EFS; CoB; EC	US Vice-Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р		5	0	0
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secretary		Р	Р	Р	Р	Р		5	0	0