COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS)

MEETING DATE & TIME: 3 FEBRUARY 2017; 2:00 –3:15

MEETING LOCATION: TERRELL HALL, ROOM 114

ATTENDANCE:

MEM	MEMBERS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes R		otes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets
R	Kelli Brown (Provost)	R	Susan Steele (CoHS, ECUS Member)
P	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Vice-Chair)	P	John R. Swinton (CoB, ECUS Chair Emeritus)
P	Steve Dorman (University President)	P	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)
R	Chavonda Mills (CoAS, ECUS Chair)	P	Shaundra Walker (Library, ECUS Member)
GUES	STS		
Costas Spirou (Interim Associate Provost)			
	Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting. *Denotes new discussion on old business.		

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR	FOLLOW-UP
		RECOMMENDATIONS	{including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to order	As Chavonda Mills had extended regrets and was unable to attend, the meeting was called to order at 2:04pm by Nicole DeClouette (Vice-Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A MOTION to approve the minutes of the 2 Dec 2016 meeting of the Executive Committee was made and seconded. A draft of these minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted as circulated.	The minutes of the 2 Dec 2016 Executive Committee meeting were approved as posted, so no additional action was required.	
IV. Reports	The following reports were invited.		

Presiding Officer Deport	As Chayonda Mills had aytended regrets and
Presiding Officer Report	As Chavonda Mills had extended regrets and
Chavonda Mills	was unable to attend, there was no Presiding
Chavonda Mins	Officer Report.
Past Presiding Officer	John R. Swinton indicated that he had nothing
Report	to report as Past Presiding Officer of the
	University Senate.
John R. Swinton	
Presiding Officer Elect	Nicole DeClouette reported on the following.
Report	1. 2017 Governance Retreat
	a. Terri Camp has confirmed that
Nicole DeClouette	Rock Eagle is available on
	Tuesday, August 15, 2017.
	b. She sent the contract and the menu;
	once we pick the lunch menu item
	then she will add it to the price.
	2. The USGFC Spring meeting will be held
	on 31 Mar 2017 at the University System
	of Georgia Office in Atlanta. Are there
	agenda items that you would like for Nicole
	to take to the meeting? Those present had
	no suggestions. The deadline for agenda
	items is 10 Feb 2017, so if you think of
	something between now and then, please
	email Nicole.
	3. Regrets Nicole sends her regrets for the
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings on 31 Mar
	2017 due to the conflict with the USGFC
	meeting.
	4. AAUP The AAUP (American Association
	of University Professors) National
	President, Rudy Fichtenbaum, drafted a
	statement regarding the AAUP's position
	on Donald Trump's "unconstitutional and
	discriminatory ban."
	a. Robert "Scotty" Scott, the President
	of the Georgia Conference of the
	AAUP, emailed the USGFC
	representatives to ask if our faculty

or students are putting together a	
resolution (or taking other actions)	
on this subject as we did in various	
campus carry resolutions last year.	
His thoughts were that there may be	
ways to capitalize on each other's	
efforts if we know about them. So,	
have you heard about any	
organizing on our campus? Those	
present had not.	
5. <u>2017-2018 University Senate Officers</u> We	
will soon be seeking nominations for	
officers (presiding officer elect, secretary)	
for the 2017-2018 university senate, so	
please be thinking of people to nominate.	
6. Election Oversight	
a. The election procedures for each	
academic unit (colleges/ library)	
have been received and are	
archived to the Green Page.	
b. Nicole sent a reminder email to the	
Deans of all units reminding them	
that election results are due	
(February 1, 2017).	
i. Library sent their election	
results-Donna Bennett (1	
February 2017)	
ii. CoE sent their election	
results-Nicole DeClouette	
and Linda Bradley (2	
February 2017)	
iii. According to the university	
senate bylaws, these	
election results are to be	
shared with the university	
community no later than	
February 6.	

	iv. The At-large Elected
	Faculty Senator Election
	Procedures are ready to be
	sent out to corps of
	instruction faculty together
	with a call for nominations.
	v. Nicole contacted Chris
	Ferland to get emails for the
	corps of instruction (30
	January). No response thus
	far.
	7. Selected Staff Senators Nicole sent a
	memo to Staff Council Chair (Millicent
	Dempsey) regarding the selection of
	Selected Staff Senators for the 2017-2018
	university senate. (1 February 2017).
	8. <u>University Committees and Task Forces</u>
	with University Senate Representatives
	David Johnson volunteered to serve as the
	university senate representative on the
	Space Utilization Committee.
	9. Revisions to the Slate of Nominees Nicole
	is preparing the revised slate to include
	Provost Brown's return and the
	replacement of Ryan Brown and Joe
	Mocnik as FAPC volunteers. Kell
	Carpenter and Christina Smith have
	volunteered to serve as volunteers to
	FAPC. Eleven SCoN members voted to
	approve this set of replacements be
	submitted as a motion to the university
Sanatawy Danaut	Senate. Chaig Tymnon indicated that he had nothing to
Secretary Report	Craig Turner indicated that he had nothing to
Croig Turmer	report as University Senate Secretary.
Craig Turner	Chayadaa Wallyan indicated that also had
Library Senator Report	Shaundra Walker indicated that she had
	nothing to report as the Elected Faculty Senator
Shaundra Walker	from the Library serving on ECUS.

D 47 4 D		
President's Report	As President Dorman was unable to attend the	
	joint meeting of ECUS with Standing	
President Dorman	Committee Chairs at 3:30pm, he was invited to	
	provide a President's Report to ECUS.	
	President Dorman expressed his appreciation	
	for the opportunity and indicated that he had	
	nothing to report.	
Provost's Report	OneUSG Implementation Georgia College	
	joins a group of USG institutions that are part	
Interim Associate Provost	of the first cohort of colleges and universities	
Costas Spirou	transitioning to the HCM (Human Capital	
for	Management) platform. One aspect of this	
Provost Kelli Brown	initiative is Faculty Events (anticipated to	
	include but not necessarily be limited to	
	recording the dates of appointment, tenure,	
	promotion, resignation/ retirement). The	
	Design Team for these Faculty Events will be	
	meeting on Thursday, 16 Feb 2017 at Georgia	
	State University. A key goal of this session is	
	the completion of the Business Process and	
	Compliance review which will define the scope	
	of Faculty Events functionality. This includes	
	a description of key processes and interfaces,	
	as well as the basis for communication,	
	training, and other change management	
	initiatives. It is expected that the Georgia	
	College OneUSG HCM will go live in June	
	2017.	
V. Information Items		
Actions/Recommendations		
University Senate Budget	7 Oct 2016	7 Oct 2016
	1. <u>Balance</u> The balance of the university	1. Chavonda Mills to
Chavonda Mills	senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually)	explore the viability of
	is presently holding at \$1711.24.	the establishment of a
	2. <u>Expenditures</u> The total cost for the 2016	foundation account for
	governance retreat was \$3288.76,	the university senate
	breaking down as follows.	and report back (the

- a. Rock Eagle \$2077.00
- b. Printing \$425.90
- c. Supplies and Materials \$412.19
- d. Transportation \$373.67
- 3. <u>Foundation Account</u> Chavonda Mills noted that there was presently no foundation account established for the university senate. A suggestion from the floor was that the Presiding Officer (Chavonda Mills) explore the viability of establishing such an account going forward and report back (the pros and cons and best practices) to the Executive Committee. Chavonda Mills agreed to implement this recommendation.

4 Nov 2016

- 1. <u>Balance</u> The balance of the university senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually) is presently holding at \$1711.24.
- 2. <u>Expenditures</u> Pending expenditures are the reimbursements for travel expenses incurred by Nicole DeClouette when she was attending the USGFC meeting.
- 3. <u>Foundation Account</u> Chavonda Mills noted that establishing a foundation account for the university senate was as simple as filing a completed form. There was no minimum balance.

ECUS Deliberation

a. <u>Restrictions</u> It was noted that while foundation accounts can be used for food items, one has to be attentive to the set of restrictions on the use of foundation funds. Chavonda Mills was advised to consult with Monica Starley and/or Kathy Waers on these restrictions.

pros and cons and best practices) to the Executive Committee.

4 Nov 2016

- 1. Chavonda Mills did explore the viability of the establishment of a foundation account for the university senate and reported back (the pros and cons and best practices) to the Executive Committee.
- 2. Chavonda Mills to consult with Monica Starley and/or Kathy Waers to learn the restrictions on the use of foundation monies.
- 3. Chavonda Mills to take the actions necessary to establish a foundation account for the university senate.

- b. An Option for SCCP It was noted that establishing a foundations account would allow employees to contribute to this account as part of the State Charitable Contributions Program (SCCP).
- c. <u>AAUP</u> One possible use of foundation funds might be to support attendance of events sponsored by the American Association of University Professors (AAUP). President Dorman indicated that he was willing to support this type of activity from other resources.
- d. Enticing Donors It was advisable to consider the possible ways the dollars in the foundation account would be used to inform its advertising. Perhaps certain uses of the funds would entice potential donors to contribute. The articulation of these particulars were postponed pending knowledge of the aforementioned restrictions.
- e. <u>Establish a Foundation Account</u>
 Those present recommended that
 Chavonda Mills complete the form and
 take the actions necessary to establish
 a university senate foundation
 account.

2 Dec 2016

- 1. <u>Balance</u> The balance of the university senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually) is presently holding at \$1711.24.
- 2. <u>Expenditures</u> Pending expenditures are the reimbursements for travel expenses incurred by Nicole DeClouette when she was attending the USGFC meeting. Chavonda Mills indicated her intention to

- check into this as this reimbursement was taking longer than usual.
- 3. <u>Foundation Account</u> Chavonda Mills sought assistance in filling out the form for requesting a foundation account for the university senate. After receiving some guidance, it was suggested that Chavonda Mills consult with foundation personnel for further assistance.

3 Feb 2017

- 1. **Balance** The balance of the university senate budget (\$5000 allocation annually) is presently holding at \$1711.24.
- 2. Expenditures Pending expenditures (\$256.99) are the reimbursements for travel expenses incurred by Nicole DeClouette when she was attending the USGFC meeting. These expenditures were inadvertently charged to Nicole's department budget and this is currently being reconciled.
- 3. <u>Foundation Account</u> Chavonda Mills' efforts to establish a foundation account for the university senate are ongoing.
- 4. <u>Possible Uses of Remaining Funds</u> under exploration are the purchase of
 - a. clickers for university senate votes as proposed by Chavonda Mills and
 - b. office supplies (notebooks, writing implements, paper, copies, etc.) for the 2017 governance retreat as proposed by Nicole DeClouette.

If you have other ideas on potential uses of these funds, let us know.

VI. Unfinished Business		
Review of Action &		
Recommendations, Provide		
updates (if any) to Follow-up		
Routing (Steering) of Items	7 Oct 2016	7 Oct 2016
to University Senate	There are a number of items that are under	Chavonda Mills to share
Committees	consideration of being routed (steered) to a	contextual information on
	university senate committee for consideration.	each of these matters to the
Chavonda Mills	1. Distance Education Policy This item was	respective chair of the
	brought to the attention of $\overline{E}CUS$ at its final	receiving committee to
	meeting of the 2015-2016 academic year.	facilitate review of the
	Since that time was concurrent with the	matter by that committee.
	final meetings of the standing committees to	
	which it might be steered, the steering of	<u>4 Nov 2016</u>
	this item was referred to the 2016-2017	Chavonda Mills did share
	ECUS for consideration. The interested	contextual information on
	reader is referred to the 1 Apr 2016 ECUS	each of these matters to the
	minutes for additional detail. After a brief	respective chair of the
	discussion, this item was steered to the	receiving committee to
	Academic Policy Committee (APC) for	facilitate review of the
	review. Suggested revisions to the	matter by that committee.
	procedures should be communicated to	
	Interim Associate Provost Dale Young by	<u> 2 Dec 2016</u>
	APC. Suggested revisions to the policy	Chavonda Mills to steer
	should be submitted as a motion for	the request to draft an
	university senate consideration.	election procedure
	2. <u>Termination of the Institutional Overlays</u>	(eligibility to serve, call for
	as a Graduation Requirement This item	nominations, voting
	was brought to the attention of ECUS at its	eligibility, election method,
	final meeting of the 2015-2016 academic	etc) for the second USGFC
	year. Since that time was concurrent with	representative to Nicole
	the final meetings of the standing	DeClouette, SCoN Chair.
	committees to which it might be steered, the	
	steering of this item was referred to the	<u>3 Feb 2017</u>
	2016-2017 ECUS for consideration. The	Chavonda Mills steered the
	interested reader is referred to the 1 Apr	request to draft an election
	2016 ECUS minutes for additional detail.	procedure (eligibility to

- After a brief discussion, this item was steered to the Subcommittee on the Core Curriculum (SoCC) for consideration.
- 3. Review of Standing Committee

 Composition Each standing committee
 (APC, CAPC, FAPC, RPIPC, and SAPC)
 has been invited to review its composition
 as articulated in the current university
 senate bylaws. This invitation was extended
 at the 2016 Governance Retreat and will be
 reiterated at the joint meetings of the
 Standing Committee Chairs and the
 Executive Committee.
- 4. USGFC Representative Term of Service This matter was considered at the 2016 Governance Retreat, at which time a revision to the current practice was proposed for consideration. Our current practice is for the Presiding Officer Elect of the University Senate to serve as the institution's voting representative on the USGFC (University System of Georgia Faculty Council) for a one year term of service. The proposed revision is to broaden the pool of those eligible to serve to all elected faculty senators and to extend the term from its current one year term to a two or three year term. This item was steered to ECUS and will be discussed further at a future ECUS meeting.

4 Nov 2016

- 1. <u>USGFC Representative Term of Service</u> The following were conversation points.
 - a. Our current practice is that the Presiding Officer Elect serves as the voting member of the USGFC for a one year term concurrent with their time as

serve, call for nominations, voting eligibility, election method, etc.) for the second USGFC representative to Nicole DeClouette, SCoN Chair.

- Presiding Officer Elect. This practice emerged as motion 1011.EC.001.R.
- b. The recommendation under review was to broaden the pool to all elected faculty senators and extend the term of service to two or three years.
- c. It is good to have the USGFC representative serving on ECUS to facilitate consultation.
- d. Serving on the USGFC is a great developmental experience for the Presiding Officer Elect.
- e. Serving only one year typically only two meetings of the USGFC – has seemed to be too short a term to some past Presiding Officer Elects.
- f. Those present recommended to continue the current practice that the Presiding Officer Elect serving a one year term as Georgia College's voting member of the USGFC.
- g. In addition, a second representative for Georgia College with a two year term of service to attend USGFC meetings was proposed. This representative shall be an elected faculty senator and shall be elected by the university senate. It was further recommended that the Subcommittee on Nominations conduct this election.

2 Dec 2016

- 1. <u>USGFC Representative Term of Service</u>
 - a. Chavonda Mills provided a recap of the discussion at the previous meeting (see the entry above for 4 Nov 2016).
 - b. Chavonda Mills inquired whether the eligibility for the second representative

- should be restricted to elected faculty senators with at least two years remaining in their three year elected faculty senator term. The question did not stimulate any discussion and no opinions were vocalized.
- c. Chavonda Mills recommended that the drafting of an election procedure (eligibility to serve, call for nominations, voting eligibility, election method, etc.) be charged to Nicole DeClouette, SCoN Chair. Naturally, drafting this in consultation with members of the Subcommittee on Nominations (SCoN) is appropriate.

3 Feb 2017

A draft of the election procedures for the elected faculty senator participant on USGFC with a two year term of service had been drafted by Nicole DeClouette for ECUS review and this draft was disseminated at the meeting by Nicole to those present for review and feedback.

The deliberation resulted in the following revisions to the draft.

- Strike the sentence Therefore, the senator elected to serve a two-year term on the USGFC must not be in his/her final year of senate service in order for the representative to serve a two-year term.
- Replace ECUS proposes a revision of this practice broadening the pool of those eligible to serve to all elected faculty senators for a period of two years with

ECUS proposes a revision of this practice to add a second position with a two-year

	term of service and broadening the pool of	
	those eligible to serve in this second	
	position to all elected faculty senators.	
	Add in sentences <i>Nominations including</i>	
	self-nominations shall be accepted from	
	only elected faculty senators and Only	
	elected faculty senators shall be eligible to	
	, ,	
	vote to the paragraph immediately	
	preceding Election Procedures.	
	Those present approved these election	
D : 4/5 D ::	procedures as amended.	7.0 (2017
Review of Tasks Requiring	7 Oct 2016	7 Oct 2016
Follow-up from the 2015-	A number of items were recommended for	1. Shaundra Walker to
2016 ECUS Annual Report	consideration by the 2016-2017 ECUS in the	gather contextual
	committee annual report of the 2015-2016	information to inform
Chavonda Mills	ECUS. These include (yet may not be limited	the ongoing GC Story
	to) the following.	Archivist deliberation.
	1. <u>Faculty Listserv</u> The establishment of a	2. Chavonda Mills to
	university faculty email list to which any	explore the viability of
	subscriber can post a message has been	the establishment of a
	under consideration. Required is a	foundation account for
	volunteer to serve as moderator of this list,	the university senate
	and this requirement has not yet been met.	and report back (the
	Those present at this meeting felt that the	pros and cons and best
	committee action should be a wait-and-see	practices) to the
	approach. Specifically, to wait and see if	Executive Committee.
	one or more individuals step forward to	
	serve as moderator.	4 Nov 2016
	2. Streamline Curricular Routing This	1. Shaundra Walker did
	matter is already in progress. A work group	gather contextual
	including Interim Associate Provost Dale	information to inform
	Young, University Registrar Kay Anderson,	the ongoing GC Story
	and CAPC Chair Lyndall Muschell has	Archivist deliberation.
	been actively meeting and has been in	2. Chavonda Mills did
	consultation with ECUS Chair Chavonda	explore the viability of
	Mills. Plans include electronic	the establishment of a
	implementation in coordination with IT	foundation account for
	personnel.	the university senate
ш	1 1	

- 3. Regular Review of the PPPM This item is proposing the regular review of the Policies, Procedures, and Practices Manual (PPPM). Our current university policy officer, Sadie Simmons, is receptive to any recommendations on this matter. Craig Turner was the only committee member to volunteer to assist in this initiative. He indicated that his thoughts would be to advocate for
 - a. a review of all university senate policy motions (type P motions) to ensure that they are present in their entirety (not just the policy part, but pertinent procedures as adopted as well) in the PPPM, and
 - b. adoption of the university senate policy template as a template for entries in the PPPM going forward.

Those present supported these ideas.

- 4. <u>GC Story Archivist</u> This item was on the agenda of each meeting of the 2015-2016 ECUS and was recommended for ongoing consideration by the 2016-2017 ECUS. Shaudra Walker noted the recent hiring of a Digital Archivist and agreed to gather contextual information pertinent to this matter to inform future ECUS deliberation.
- 5. Feasibility of Foundation Account for the University Senate Chavonda Mills noted that there was presently no foundation account established for the university senate. A suggestion from the floor was that the Presiding Officer (Chavonda Mills) explore the viability of establishing such an account going forward and report back (the pros and cons and best practices) to the

- and report back (the pros and cons and best practices) to the Executive Committee. To see this, look to the budget entry in the list of information items.
- 3. Chavonda Mills to pass the template for SCC reports at ECUS-SCC meetings on to the SCCs commencing its implementation at the 2 Dec 2016 ECUS-SCC meeting.

2 Dec 2016

Chavonda Mills did pass the template for SCC reports at ECUS-SCC meetings on to the SCCs.

- Executive Committee. Chavonda Mills agreed to implement this recommendation.
- 6. How Best to Receive Updates from University Senate Representatives on Task Forces, Committees, and Other Groups After a brief discussion, the consensus was that a written report included for archiving in the minutes –supplemented at the discretion of the representative with a brief oral report at the university senate meeting was desirable.
- 7. Details in Standing Committee Chair Reports for ECUS-SCC Meetings After a brief discussion, the consensus was that the oral report of the standing committee chair given at Executive Committee (ECUS) with Standing Committee Chairs (SCC) meetings should generally be a brief overview of the topics discussed at the committee meeting, rather than a detailed specification of the committee deliberation of each topic discussed at the committee meeting.

4 Nov 2016

1. <u>GC Story Archivist</u> Shaundra Walker noted that Holly Craft had been hired as a Digital Archivist and that the story-telling aspect of her position would be to tell the story about an event that has occurred as part of the process of archiving information pertinent to the event. All agreed this is ideal and precisely what was desired.

<u>Question</u> How does this differ from our university historian role? Answer: The digital archivist document events that occur on campus as they are archived. The university historian reviews this

In Feb 2017, Parsed Into	that matter, and thus in effect prevent the consideration of the matter by the full	
Craig Turner	FAPC, RPIPC, SAPC) disapproving a matter would end university senate consideration of	
Chavonda Mills	whether a standing committee (APC, CAPC,	
Review is Denied	was received. Specifically, the question asked	
under Standing Committee	of university senate consideration of a matter	
Procedures if Proposal	A question seeking clarification on the process	
University Senate	2 Dec 2016	
	and other groups.	
	university-wide committees, task forces,	
	senate representatives serving on	
	reports to university senate by university	
	serve as a guide for the preparation of	
	– as appropriately modified – will also	
	discussion, it was agreed that this template	
	2016 ECUS-SCC meeting. After a bit more	
	implementation to commence at the 2 Dec	
	standing committee chairs and for	
	present was to share the template with the	
	assessment. The recommendation of those	
	"Inspired!" Others concurred with this	
	of the draft was "Brilliant!" and	
	attached to these minutes. The first review	
	is available in the supporting documents	
	Committee Chair (SCC) to the participants of the ECUS-SCC meetings. This template	
	content of a report by each Standing Committee Chair (SCC) to the participants	
	offered to prepare a template to guide the	
	Oct 2016 ECUS meeting, Susan Steele had	
	Reports for ECUS-SCC Meetings At the 7	
	2. <u>Details in Standing Committee Chair</u>	
	ECUS deliberation of a GC Story Archivist	
	All present agreed that this completes	
	history of the university.	
	select one or more for inclusion in the	

• Committee Scopes

It was noted that the pertinent university senate bylaw was

IV.Section 1. Committee Business. The regular operations of the University Senate shall follow a committee review procedure. The University Senate by a two-thirds majority vote may suspend committee review of a specific matter and act as a committee of the whole. In all other cases, the University Senate shall submit all matters of substance for study, recommendations, and/or action by a committee prior to definitive action being taken by the University Senate. Standing committee business may be initiated by any member of the committee, by the Executive Committee of the University Senate, by the University President, or by a written request to the Executive Committee signed by at least three Senators.

While this bylaw does not explicitly address whether disapproval of the standing committee ends the consideration of a matter by the university senate, the practice has been for the university senate to consider matters only if they emerge from a standing committee unless the university senate by a two-thirds vote decides to consider the matter as a committee of the whole. Thus, from one point of view the standing committees serve as filters and may result in a matter not being brought for consideration by the university senate. That is, not every matter that is steered to a standing committee emerges from the committee with a recommendation for or against for a decision by the university senate. So at present, in some cases the disapproval of a standing committee MIGHT be the final consideration (and thus

effectively end consideration) of a matter by the university senate.

BEGIN NOTE: During the preparation of these minutes, the ECUS Secretary notes that the University President interprets bylaws.

V.Section1.C.4. Steering Function. Except when the University Senate gives specific directions, the Executive Committee shall, when consideration is being given to referring any matter to a standing committee, determine the standing committee that shall have jurisdiction; provided, however, that nothing in this responsibility shall challenge the University President's authority and responsibility for interpretation of the Statutes and bylaws or for determining ultimate jurisdiction when conflicts arise.

END NOTE

There was general agreement by those present that a review of the university senate process of consideration of matters was in order. The conversation points included the following.

- 1) While the official standing of a recently emerging curricular flowchart document is uncertain, it offers guidance pertinent to the question. Specifically, in the subtitle above the flowchart, it reads A denial at any approval point either stops this process or moves it to an appeal. Those present did not find particular details on venues of appeal articulated in this curricular document.
- 2) What is the role of standing committees in reviewing a proposal emerging from a department and/or college? Matters that emerge from these sources are often

- curricular, but this issue was broadened to all standing committees not simply CAPC. There is a need to attempt to remove the gray areas in the committee charges and perhaps to codify the role of the standing committee more clearly and specifically. As one example, should CAPC be reviewing the merits of a curricular proposal or simply ensuring the proposal has been adequately considered at the department and college levels with good practice in shared governance? At present, the university senate bylaws are silent on guidance in these matters and so there are some gray areas in current committee charges. ECUS subcommittee consisting of Chavonda Mills, Shaundra Walker and Craig Turner was formed and this subcommittee was charged to review committee charges in the university senate bylaws. Some felt removal of all gray areas would be easier said than done.
- 3) The absence of an appeal process may also be a point of tension. ECUS subcommittee consisting of Interim Provost Spirou, John Swinton, and Craig Turner was formed and this subcommittee was charged to draft language for appeal processes. Initially this might be considered with respect to the curricular flowchart that recently emerged as well as for decisions of the standing committees of the university senate.
- 4) In the consideration of curricular matters, faculty have primacy in the curricular content and the pedagogical delivery of this content and administrators have the responsibility to ensure efficiency and effectiveness in the resource management

- in the delivery of curriculum. At times there is a tension between these.
- 5) There is always room for more clarity in existing governance documents, and the recent issue has brought this to light. It is hoped that we can move forward together and bring additional clarity to our shared governance processes including the university senate bylaws and recently emerging curricular flowchart.

3 Feb 2017

Appeal Process John R. Swinton was reporting on behalf of the ECUS work group – membership of John R. Swinton, Costas Spirou, and Craig Turner – charged to consider an appeal process for standing committee decisions.

- The work group reviewed the recently emerging curricular flow chart that was presented by CAPC at the 7 Oct 2016 ECUS with Standing Committee Chairs meeting. Although the subtitle of this document reads A denial at any approval point either stops this process or moves it to an appeal there is no articulation of appeals in the flow chart. The work group thinks this curricular flow chart may require further revision to address this observation.
- The work group had spent most of its deliberation time considering an appeal process for standing committee decisions, ultimately elected to recommend against an appeal process. The work group was at a loss to identify an appellate body believing that neither ECUS nor the University Senate should serve in such a capacity.

- Rather than recommend the opportunity for appeal, the work group proposed that all committee recommendations – of both for and against proposals – be brought before the university senate.
 - The work group proposed that *recommendations for* a proposal would continue (as in current practice) to be considered by the university senate as formal motions entered into the online motion database.
 - The work group proposed recommendations against a proposal be realized as items on the consent agenda. This would allow any member of the university senate to draw such an item from the consent agenda for either clarification or further review and deliberation by the university senate which could include formalizing parliamentary actions on the recommendations against as motions (amend, commit, adopt, etc.). In either case, these matters (recommendations against) would be acted on formally by the University President. At present, the University President does not act formally committee on recommendations against and such proposals effectively die in committee.
 - o If the work group proposal on the handling of a committee recommendation against were adopted, the University President would have to act on any committee recommendation against similar to acting on any committee recommendation for, and there would be a clear record of the

University President's actions vis-à-vis the recommendation of the committee. If the University President were to choose a course of action contrary to the position taken by the committee (which might be formalized as a veto), such action may require an explanation to the USG Board of Regents. As our process now works, the University President does not officially get notified of a committee's recommendation against a proposal as an action item. Therefore, the University President does not have to acknowledge being advised against moving forward with the proposal.

Those present recommended that this report be provided at and that deliberation continue at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting.

<u>Standing Committee Scopes</u> Craig Turner was reporting on behalf of the ECUS work group – membership of Shaundra Walker, Chavonda Mills, and Craig Turner – charged to review committee scopes.

- At present, the bylaws have two sections for each standing committee (APC, CAPC, FAPC, RPIPC, SAPC): composition and scope. The committee scopes include articulation of the advisory function of the committee and a list of the topics considered by the committee to inform steering of items. What is missing is formalizing the duties of the committee.
- The work group proposes that the scope section remain to include the topic list and that a duties section be added.
- The work group proposes that the duties section include the advisory role sentence

	41 1 41 11 1	
	presently in the scope as well as language	
	regarding the review of proposals within	
	the scope culminating in the making of	
	recommendation for or against these	
	proposals to the university senate.	
	• The work group proposes that each	
	standing committee (APC, CAPC, FAPC,	
	RPIPC, SAPC) review its scope and draft a	
	revised scope and new duties section in	
	consideration of the aforementioned work	
	group recommendations. These drafts by	
	the committee would be further reviewed at	
	the 2017 governance retreat. This may	
	result in formal recommendations for	
	revisions to the university senate bylaws	
	pertaining to standing committees	
	Those present recommended that this report be	
	provided at and that deliberation continue at the	
	3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting.	
Review of Standing	When this topic was brought up, consideration	
Committee Compositions	was postponed to the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC	
	meeting where deliberation on this topic had	
Nicole DeClouette	been documented to this point. The interested	
	reader is directed to the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC	
	minutes for the details.	
Governance Calendar	2 Dec 2016	
2017-2018	Chavonda Mills noted that one of the annually	
	recurring ECUS tasks is the preparation of the	
Chavonda Mills	governance calendar, and that the university	
	senate bylaws call for completion by 1 April.	
	Recent practice has been	
	• to inform the drafting of the calendar	
	with a consultation of the university	
	senate at its February meeting, and	
	· ·	
	• to have the university senate officers	
	serve as the ECUS subcommittee to	
	draft the calendar for ECUS review.	

Chavonda Mills recommended continuation of recent practice, specifically that

- the current university senate officers (Presiding Officer Chavonda Mills, Presiding Officer Elect Nicole DeClouette, Secretary Craig Turner) serve as the ECUS subcommittee to draft the 2017-2018 Governance Calendar for review by ECUS at its 3 Feb 2017 meeting, and
- review of the draft calendar by the university senate at its 17 Feb 2017 meeting.

All who were present at this meeting supported this recommendation.

3 Feb 2017

Craig Turner reported on behalf of the work group (Chavonda Mills, Nicole DeClouette, Craig Turner) that a draft of the 2017-2018 Governance Calendar had been circulated with the meeting agenda. This draft included

- the designated governance meetings (department, college, university senate committees, executive committee with standing committee chairs, university senate) for the common meeting blocks (2:00p 3:15p and 3:30p 4:45p) on Fridays. These placements were done quite similarly to the 2016-2017 governance calendar adjusting for a change in relative positon of the February State of the University Address.
- a note to indicate the inclusion of graduate council meetings is being explored by Chavonda Mills who is consulting with Costas Spirou

 other events historically advertised on the calendar – coded in red type in the current draft – are under review in the office of academic affairs, these events include assessment meetings, new faculty orientation sessions, first year convocation, common reader discussions, faculty contract start/end dates, and celebration of faculty/ staff excellence.

Costas Spirou acknowledged that consultation of the addition of the graduate council dates was ongoing and that Lori Westbrook would be emailing Craig Turner regarding the events coded in red.

No proposed changes to the common meeting block designations were offered from those present and all present were in agreement that the draft calendar would undergo reviews by

- standing committee chairs at the ECUS-SCC meeting on 3 Feb 2017
- university senators at the university senate meeting on 17 Feb 2017

Finally it was noted that university senate bylaws call for completion of this calendar no later than 1 April.

Note: During the preparation of these minutes, the ECUS secretary notes that review by the deans and department chairs of academic units (colleges and library) is ongoing and being facilitated by Provost Brown.

Policy Updates

Chavonda Mills

2 Dec 2016

Issue ECUS considered a query regarding the process for ensuring existing university policies are in compliance with USG/BoR policy when USG/BoR policies are updated. ECUS noted that the University Compliance/Policy Officer (presently Sadie Simmons) is the responsible party for ensuring policy compliance and recommends this officer notify the university senate of USG/BoR policy changes. University Senate will present these USG/BoR policy updates as information items as no deliberation is necessary unless it is to contest the policy change.

<u>Proposal</u> ECUS proposed formation of a policy oversight committee to ensure proposed policies are in compliance with external (USG/BoR) as well as existing internal (GC) polices, processes, and procedures. Recommended as committee members were the Policy/ Compliance Officer and representatives from the following: Legal Affairs, Human Resources, Academic Affairs, and Finance and Administration. This committee might also draft policies.

3 Feb 2017

Nicole DeClouette seeded the conversation by summarizing prior ECUS deliberation (see above). When the policy oversight committee was proposed to standing committee chairs on 2 Dec 2016, the reception was not enthusiastic and time had run short at that meeting to fully discuss the matter (The interested reader is directed to the 2 Dec 2016 ECUS-SCC minutes for details.)

Those present were still in favor of the further consideration of this proposal (of establishing

perspectives of • legal review • review for consistency with USG/BoR policy and • review for viability at Georgia College would serve to strengthen policy statements and should be performed during the university senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		a policy oversight committee) noting that the	
legal review review for consistency with USG/BoR policy and review for viability at Georgia College would serve to strengthen policy statements and should be performed during the university senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)			
review for consistency with USG/BoR policy and review for viability at Georgia College would serve to strengthen policy statements and should be performed during the university senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		1 1	
policy and			
review for viability at Georgia College would serve to strengthen policy statements and should be performed during the university senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the universitys senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include 1516.SAPC.002.0 adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate representatives on university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
would serve to strengthen policy statements and should be performed during the university senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations VII. New Business A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		_ · · · ·	
and should be performed during the university senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations VII. Senate Representatives on University Senate Representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate representatives on university-wide committees standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee			
senate standing committee review of policies, specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)			
specifically prior to consideration by the university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		1	
university senate. Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		1	
Recent motions that may have benefitted from such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees Nicole DeClouette Recent motions deleting identity • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expressive with was information in the university senate representatives on university senate representatives on university-wide committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		1	
such a review include • 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees Nicole DeClouette such a review indivision to the university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee			
1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees Nicole DeClouette 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity was inconsistent to the university senate representatives on university-wide committees 1516.SAPC.002.O adding gender identity was information statement of the university senate representatives on university-wide committees 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate representatives on university sen			
and expression to the nondiscrimination statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees from the membership of the university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee			
statement of the university which was informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees From the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely Nicole DeClouette state of the university which was informed by university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee			
informed by university counsel review from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees From the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely Aligned charge (e.g. parking committee		1	
from a federal compliance perspective during University President review • 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely Nicole DeClouette aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		statement of the university which was	
during University President review 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees From the membership of the university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely Nicole DeClouette aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		informed by university counsel review	
1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely Nicole DeClouette 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Committees A proposal to select university senate representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		from a federal compliance perspective	
Administrative Emeritus Status and Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on University Committees From the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		during University President review	
Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university senate representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely Nicole DeClouette Benefits Policy which ultimately was not recommended to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting.		• 1516.FAPC.001.P Faculty and	
recommended by university senate due to a proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees From the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		Administrative Emeritus Status and	
proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		Benefits Policy which ultimately was not	
individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		recommended by university senate due to a	
individuals not being viable Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		proposed benefit of free parking to emeritus	
Those present recommended continuing this deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee			
deliberation with standing committee chairs at the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)			
the 3 Feb 2017 ECUS-SCC meeting. VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		-	
VII. New Business Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)		l = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	
Actions/Recommendations University Senate Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees University Committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee)	VII. New Business		
Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee			
Representatives on representatives on university-wide committees from the membership of the university senate standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee	University Senate	A proposal to select university senate	
standing committee with the most closely aligned charge (e.g. parking committee	1	representatives on university-wide committees	
Nicole DeClouette aligned charge (e.g. parking committee	University Committees	from the membership of the university senate	
Nicole DeClouette aligned charge (e.g. parking committee	-	standing committee with the most closely	
mambage colored only from DDDC etc.) in	Nicole DeClouette		
members selected only from RPIPC, etc.) in		members selected only from RPIPC, etc.) in	
the future had been received by Presiding		•	

		T	T
	Officer Chavonda Mills and this proposal was		
	presented to ECUS.		
	After brief deliberation, ECUS came to the		
	conclusion that while this proposal was the		
	ideal practice, it is not always feasible to select		
	university senate representatives to serve on		
	university-wide committees from the		
	membership of the university senate standing		
	committee with the most closely aligned		
	charge (e.g. parking committee members		
	selected only from RPIPC, etc.) These		
	positions are often filled by a call for		
	nominations including self-nominations and		
	those willing (volunteer <self-nominate> or</self-nominate>		
	accept a nomination) to serve are not always on		
	the pertinent committee.		
VIII. Next Meeting	the pertinent committee.		
(Tentative Agenda,			
Calendar)			
1. Calendar	17 Feb 2017 @ 2:00pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72		
1. Calendar	3 Mar 2017 @ 2:00pm ECUS in 301 Parks		
	3 Mar 2017 @ 3:30pm ECUS-SCC in 301 Parks		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have		Chavonda Mills to ensure
2. Tentative Agenda	generated tentative agenda items for future		that such items (if any) are
	ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		added to agendas of an
	ECOS and ECOS-SCC meetings.		ECUS and/or ECUS-SCC
IV A 1:	A - 41 C-41 1	The	meeting in the future.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider, a	The motion to adjourn was	
	MOTION to adjourn the meeting was made and	approved and the meeting	
	seconded.	adjourned at 3:18 pm. Note that	
		there was a motions to extend the	
		meeting for up to five minutes	
		allowing the meeting to adjourn	
		as late as 3:20pm.	

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by:_____

Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS)

COMMITTEE OFFICERS: CHAVONDA MILLS (CHAIR), NICOLE DECLOUETTE (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY)

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2016-2017

AGGREGATE MEMBER ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR:

"P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets

Note: 2 Sep 2016 meeting canceled due to University officially closing (tropical storm)

Acronyms	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator; CoAS = College of Arts & Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences										
Meeting Dates		09-02-16	10-07-16	11-04-16	12-02-16	02-03-17	03-03-17	03-31-17	Present	Regrets	Absent
Kelli Brown Provost		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	R			0	1	0
Nicole DeClouette EFS; CoE; ECUS Vice-Chair		N/A	P	P	R	P			3	1	0
Steve Dorman University President		N/A	R	P	P	P			2	2	0
Chavonda Mills EFS; CoAS; ECUS Chair		N/A	P	P	P	R			3	1	0
Costas Spirou Interim Provost		N/A	P	P	P	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	0	0
Susan Steele EFS; CoHS; ECUS Member		N/A	P	P	R	R			2	2	0
John R. Swinton EFS; CoB; ECUS Chair Emeritus		N/A	P	P	P	P			4	0	0
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECUS S	Secretary	N/A	P	P	P	P			4	0	0
Shaundra Walker EFS; Library; ECUS Member		N/A	P	P	P	P			4	0	0