# **COMMITTEE NAME** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) **MEETING DATE & TIME** 29 MARCH 2019; 2:00 – 3:15 **MEETING LOCATION** PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, ROOM 301

### ATTENDANCE

MEMBERS       "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R"			otes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets
Р	Donna Bennett (Library, ECUS Member)	ennett (Library, ECUS Member) <b>R</b> Glynnis Haley (CoHS, ECUS Member)	
P	Alex Blazer (CoAS, ECUS Chair)	P	David Johnson (CoAS, ECUS Vice-Chair)
R	Kelli Brown (Provost)	P	John Swinton (CoB, ECUS Member)
R	Nicole DeClouette (CoE, ECUS Chair Emeritus)	P	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)
R	Steve Dorman (University President)		
	GUESTS None		
	Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting.         *Plain text denotes new discussion on old business.		

AGENDA TOPIC	<b>DISCUSSIONS &amp; CONCLUSIONS</b>	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to order	The meeting was called to order at 2:04 pm by Alex Blazer (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A <u>MOTION</u> to approve the minutes of the 1 Mar 2019 meeting of the Executive Committee was made and seconded. A draft of these minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered. Thus, the minutes had been posted as circulated.	The minutes of the 1 Mar 2019 Executive Committee meeting were approved as posted, so no additional action was required.	

IV. Reports		
Presiding Officer Report	1. ECUS 2018-2019 Annual Report The	
	Presiding Officer's suggestion for one of the	
Alex Blazer	goals for the 2019-2020 University Senate is	
	for ECUS to review current practices of and	
	recommend best practices for the	
	maintenances of the Policies, Procedures,	
	and Practices Manual, specifically	
	regarding, first, the creation of an annually	
	released pdf version of the manual and,	
	second, incorporating notations regarding	
	who, when, and why updates to the manual	
	are made. This was endorsed by those	
	present at the meeting.	
	2. <u>AAUP Redbook</u> Donna Bennett has	
	secured the AAUP Redbook in ebook form,	
	accessible to any member of the university	
	community through unify credentials. We	
	can link to it on the university senate	
	website.	
	3. <u>Recognitions</u>	
	a. <u>Certificates</u>	
	i. Shea Council and Alex Blazer	
	prepared certificates.	
	ii. Certificates for non-senators on	
	standing committees (appointees,	
	designees, and volunteers, but not	
	Presidential Appointees) were	
	distributed to standing committee	
	chairs to give to committee members	
	at today's standing committee	
	meetings.	
	iii. The certificates for University	
	Senators who have completed their	

	terms, standing committee officers,
	and ECUS members will be
	distributed at the 2:00pm April 19
	meeting of the 2018-2019 university
	senate by its Presiding Officer (Alex
	Blazer).
	b. <u>Pins</u> for first-time university senators
	will be distributed at the 3:30pm April
	19 organizational meeting of the 2019-
	2020 university senate by its presiding
	officer (David Johnson).
	4. 2019-2020 Standing Committees
	Organization Meeting David Johnson
	communicated the plan is to hold these
	meetings (including officer elections)
	during the committee breakout session at
	the 13 Aug 2019 governance retreat rather
	than on 26 Apr 2019 as presently
	scheduled.
	5. Foundation
	a. Loraine Neligan, Director of University
	Advancements, explained the
	difference between the two University
	Senate Foundation Accounts.
	i. F15320 – University Senate is a
	Heritage Fund allocation. The
	amount may vary from year to year
	and depends on the unrestricted
	money available. Current Funds:
	\$256.00
	ii. F20087 – University Senate is an
	Operating Account for University
	Senate which is funded by donor-
	directed donations (as opposed to an
μ	

	allocation from unrestricted funds).
	Current Funds: \$1000.00
	b. Balance: \$1256.00
	6. Budget
	a. There is no change in the balance from
	last month's report.
	b. However, two expenses are anticipated.
	First, we're purchasing supplies for the
	2019 governance retreat; second, our
	University System of Georgia Faculty
	Council (USGFC) representatives
	travel and lodging to the spring meeting
	in early April will need to be
	reimbursed.
	c. 2018-2019 Budget: \$5000.00
	i. <u>Travel</u> (USGFC Reps): \$675.00
	ii. Office Supplies & Expenses
	(Printing, Retreat, etc.): \$4325.00
	iii. <u>Total Expended</u> AY 2018-2019:
	\$3402.84
	iv. <b><u>Balance</u> \$1597.16</b> .
Presiding Officer Elect	1. Slate of Nominations (DRAFT) for the
Report	2019-2020 university senate (officers,
	committee members, and university senate
David Johnson	representatives) will be presented at the
	ECUS-SCC meeting immediately post-
	ceding this meeting.
V. Information Items	
Actions/Recommendations	
University Senate Budgets	1. <b>Foundation Account</b> is now established (as
	two accounts) and has an aggregate balance
Alex Blazer	of <b>\$1256.00</b> . See the Presiding Officer
	Report for this meeting for details.
	2. <u><b>Budget</b></u> The balance of the university senate
	budget (\$5000 allocation annually) is
	presently at \$1597.16 as there were no

VI. Unfinished Business	expenditures since the last ECUS meeting. Anticipated expenditures include the reimbursement of attendance expenditures for USGFC meeting attendees <david Johnson and Glynnis Haley&gt; for the spring 2019 USGFC meeting and the items mentioned in the Presiding Officer Report for this meeting.</david 
Review of Action &	
<b>Recommendations</b> , Provide	
updates (if any) to Follow-	
սթ	
Inventory and Review of	<u>7 Sep 2018</u>
Official Documents of the	1. At the 2018 Governance Retreat, Nicole
University Senate	DeClouette and John Swinton agreed to
Nicole DeClouette	serve as the ECUS work group on this initiative.
John Swinton	<ol> <li>Presently other obligations are taking precedence for both Nicole DeClouette and John Swinton, and they anticipate making some progress on this initiative by the 5 Oct 2018 ECUS meeting.</li> <li>A brief discussion included the following</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>talking points.</li> <li>a. There is a list of official documents in the supporting document University Senate Highlights for the 2018 governance retreat which includes university senate mission, vision, beliefs, governing concepts, meeting etiquette, governance history, and the university senator handbook.</li> <li>b. A suggestion was made to fold all of these official documents into the university senator handbook (as chapters) updating as necessary the</li> </ul>

documents for accuracy, currency, as well as for compliance with university senate bylaws AND adding a revision process. In this way, there will be only one official document to maintain going forward.	
<b>5 Oct 2018</b> As both John Swinton and Nicole DeClouette had extended regrets and were unable to attend this meeting, Alex Blazer read into the record their update. The governance history has been reformatted (larger font) and added as a proposed new section to the university senate handbook. Our next step is to bring this history and references to university senate bylaws up to date. After that, we will draft a proposed revision process to guide future handbook updates.	
<ul> <li><u>2 Nov 2018</u> John Swinton and Nicole DeClouette provided the following update. The work on this project is ongoing. To date, proposed revisions include the following.</li> <li>1. All official documents of the university senate have been folded into the university senator handbook.</li> <li>2. An editorial pass has been made through the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>entire handbook to propose updates that promote clarity, readability, compliance and consistency with current university senate bylaws, and updates to reflect any recent changes to the university senate that affect the current content.</li> <li>3. Some events were added to the governance history so that it includes the most recent events of the kind it has been documenting.</li> </ul>	

<ul> <li>Yet to do is</li> <li>1. Draft a proposed revision process to guide future handbook updates.</li> <li>Suggestions from the floor were as follows.</li> <li>1. Some items such as the governance history may require updates every year. There might be a separate revision process for these regular recurring updates from the revision process for less frequent updates.</li> <li>2. The Past Presiding Officer should chair the handbook review committee annually as that individual would have the most intimate knowledge of the most recent activity of the university senate.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li><u>7 Dec 2018</u></li> <li>John Swinton provided the following update.</li> <li>1. We continue to work on drafting a revision process for the university senate handbook.</li> <li>2. We also received a suggestion from Alex Blazer to include a one page summary of voting practices of the university senate detailing who votes for what.</li> <li>Comments from the floor included</li> <li>1. A request that the draft be finalized for ECUS review at its 1 Feb 2019 meeting to allow sufficient time to present the motion to revise the handbook to the university senate during the 2018-2019 academic year. John Swinton anticipated this request would be easily accommodated.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li><u>1 Feb 2019</u></li> <li>John Swinton provided the following update.</li> <li>1. The draft set of updates to the university senate handbook were circulated with the meeting agenda for your review.</li> </ul>	

	2. Updates include editorial modifications
	throughout the document to correct typos
	and offer revisions to reflect changes since
	the last time the document was approved.
	3. All other official documents are now a part
	of the university senate handbook, most
	notably the governance history.
4	4. We welcome your proposed editorial
	revisions to the draft as well as any other
	feedback you wish to offer at this time.
1	ECUS Deliberation
	1. Several ECUS members indicated that they
	had no editorial revisions to offer and
	complimented the work group on its draft.
	2. It was suggested that the handbook revision
	process be modeled after the university
	senate bylaws revision process, specifically
	first/second readings, editorial and non-
	editorial revision processes, and two-thirds
	majority of those casting votes be the
	threshold for adopting revisions by the
	university senate.
	3. Other editorial revisions were welcomed via
	email and will be incorporated into the draft
	for ECUS review at its 1 Mar 2019 meeting.
	1 Mar 2019
	1. The editorial suggestions received from
	each of Alex Blazer and Craig Turner
	have been incorporated by Nicole
	DeClouette into the draft before you.
	2. John R. Swinton noted that he had
	reviewed the page number references in
	the table of contents to ensure accuracy.
	3. John R. Swinton invited additional
	suggestions from the floor. It was noted
	that some editions will be necessitated if
μΙ	

I	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
	the pending university senate bylaws	
	are accepted and approved.	
	4. After some discussion of the pros and	
	cons of deferring the presentation of this	
	handbook as a motion to the university	
	senate from 15 Mar 2019 to 20 Apr 2019	
	to await incorporation of the pending	
	university bylaws revisions (should they	
	pass), the ECUS members decided to	
	present the current version of the	
	university senate handbook. This was	
	formalized when a <i>MOTION</i> To present	
	the revisions to the University Senate	
	Handbook as a motion to the university	
	senate at its 15 Mar 2019 meeting was	
	made, seconded, and approved with no	
	further discussion and with no	
	dissenting voice.	
	<u>29 Mar 2019</u>	
	The proposed revisions to the University Senate	
	Handbook were approved by the university	
	senate at its 15 Mar 2019 meeting, approved by	
	President Dorman on 19 Mar 2019, and the	
	University Senate Handbook (as amended) is	
	now posted to the university senate website.	
	This completes the deliberation on this item.	
University Senate	<u>2 Nov 2018</u>	
<b>Representation on</b>	Alex Blazer provided the following context.	
University-Wide	1. In October, Executive Cabinet reviewed and	
Committees:	confirmed the compositions, charges, and	
	meeting schedules for all university	
Alex Blazer	committees and task forces, including	
	committees that do not require University	
	Senate representation.	
	2. The intention is to make the committees and	
	task forces available on both the University	
1	iash jorces available on both the Oniversity	

Senate website and a university website to be determined. 3. Alex Blazer and Craig Turner reviewed the University Senate representation part of the document and would like ECUS to think about 1) how the call for volunteers for representatives can be systematized, and 2) who should represent University Senate on academic committees as well as non- academic committees for elected faulty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. Executive Committee Deliberation 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nonination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee state is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees caling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become aware of any new committees for the coming			
<ul> <li>3. Alex Blazer and Craig Turner reviewed the University Senate representation part of the document and would like ECUS to think about 1) how the call for volunteers for representatives can be systematized, and 2) who should represent University Senate on academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCON put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring.</li> <li>Executive Committee Officient of the Subcommittee of the Subcommittee of the isonation (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee selections at the same time as the committee selections at the same time as the committees are iso constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees alling for university senate representative selections act the same time or presentative selections are the same time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees alling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become</li> </ul>		-	
University Senate representation part of the document and would like ECUS to think about 1) how the call for volunteers for representatives can be systematized, and 2) who should represent University Senate on academic committees as well as non- academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCoN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. <u>Executive Committee Deliberation</u> 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative sale citons at the same time as the committee state is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet oconfirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		be determined.	
document and would like ECUS to think about 1) how the call for volunteers for representatives can be systematized, and 2) who should represent University Senate on academic committees as well as non- academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCoN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. Executive Committee Deliberation 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees caling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become	3.	Alex Blazer and Craig Turner reviewed the	
about 1) how the call for volunteers for representatives can be systematized, and 2) who should represent University Senate on academic committees as well as non- academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCaN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. <b>Executive Committee Deliberation</b> 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee state is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in amually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees as continuing or terminating and to become		University Senate representation part of the	
representatives can be systematized, and 2) who should represent University Senate on academic committees as well as non- academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCoN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. <u>Executive Committee Deliberation</u> 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		document and would like ECUS to think	
who should represent University Senate on         academic committees as well as non-         academic committees which already have         staff and student representation. One         possible way to systematize is to have SCoN         put out a call for university senate         representative volunteers from elected         faculty senators in coordination with the         standing committee preference surveys         conducted in the spring.         Executive Committee Deliberation         1. All present supported the idea of adding this         duty to the responsibilities of the         Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and         ideally being able to annually handle all the         university senate representative selections         at the same time as the committee slate is         constructed. It may take some time to reach         this goal. A key step would be to check in         annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm         the status of existing committees calling for         university senate representatives as         continuing or terminating and to become		about 1) how the call for volunteers for	
academic committees as well as non- academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCoN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring.         Executive Committee Deliberation         1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		representatives can be systematized, and 2)	
academic committees which already have staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCoN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. <u>Executive Committee Deliberation</u> 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		who should represent University Senate on	
<ul> <li>staff and student representation. One possible way to systematize is to have SCoN put out a call for university senate representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring.</li> <li><u>Executive Committee Deliberation</u></li> <li>I. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee selections at the same time as the confirm the status of existing committee caling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become</li> </ul>		academic committees as well as non-	
possible way to systematize is to have SCoNput out a call for university senaterepresentative volunteers from electedfaculty senators in coordination with thestanding committee preference surveysconducted in the spring.Executive Committee Deliberation1. All present supported the idea of adding thisduty to the responsibilities of theSubcommittee on Nomination (SCON) andideally being able to annually handle all theuniversity senate representative selectionsat the same time as the committee slate isconstructed. It may take some time to reachthis goal. A key step would be to check inannually with Executive Cabinet to confirmthe status of existing committees calling foruniversity senate representatives ascontinuing or terminating and to become		academic committees which already have	
possible way to systematize is to have SCoNput out a call for university senaterepresentative volunteers from electedfaculty senators in coordination with thestanding committee preference surveysconducted in the spring.Executive Committee Deliberation1. All present supported the idea of adding thisduty to the responsibilities of theSubcommittee on Nomination (SCON) andideally being able to annually handle all theuniversity senate representative selectionsat the same time as the committee slate isconstructed. It may take some time to reachthis goal. A key step would be to check inannually with Executive Cabinet to confirmthe status of existing committees calling foruniversity senate representatives ascontinuing or terminating and to become		staff and student representation. One	
representative volunteers from elected faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. <u>Executive Committee Deliberation</u> 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		possible way to systematize is to have SCoN	
faculty senators in coordination with the standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. Executive Committee Deliberation 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		put out a call for university senate	
standing committee preference surveys conducted in the spring. <u>Executive Committee Deliberation</u> 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		representative volunteers from elected	
conducted in the spring. Executive Committee Deliberation 1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCON) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		faculty senators in coordination with the	
Executive Committee Deliberation         1. All present supported the idea of adding this         duty to the responsibilities of the         Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and         ideally being able to annually handle all the         university senate representative selections         at the same time as the committee slate is         constructed. It may take some time to reach         this goal. A key step would be to check in         annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm         the status of existing committees calling for         university senate representatives as         continuing or terminating and to become		standing committee preference surveys	
1. All present supported the idea of adding this duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		conducted in the spring.	
duty to the responsibilities of the Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become	Ex	xecutive Committee Deliberation	
Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become	$\overline{1.}$	All present supported the idea of adding this	
ideally being able to annually handle all the university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		duty to the responsibilities of the	
university senate representative selections at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		Subcommittee on Nomination (SCoN) and	
at the same time as the committee slate is constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		ideally being able to annually handle all the	
constructed. It may take some time to reach this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		university senate representative selections	
this goal. A key step would be to check in annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		at the same time as the committee slate is	
annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		constructed. It may take some time to reach	
the status of existing committees calling for university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		this goal. A key step would be to check in	
university senate representatives as continuing or terminating and to become		annually with Executive Cabinet to confirm	
continuing or terminating and to become		the status of existing committees calling for	
		university senate representatives as	
aware of any new committees for the coming		continuing or terminating and to become	
		aware of any new committees for the coming	
year that would require a university senate		year that would require a university senate	
representative. Follow-up on the placement			
of the university senate representative could			
occur at the governance retreat, if necessary		occur at the governance retreat, if necessary	

2. If an election is needed to identify a
university senate representative, all
university senators would vote when the
committee is nonacademic while only
elected faculty senators would vote when the
committee is academic.
3. Some university senate representative
positions might be skill-set-oriented and
require the successful candidate to possess
certain expertise.
4. Some university senate representative
positions may naturally align with the scope
of one of the standing committees and so it
may make sense to select the individual from
that committee. As one example, parking is
within the scope of RPIPC; university
senate representatives to parking
committees might appropriately be selected
from the membership of RPIPC.
5. All present supported the selection of an
elected faculty senator for university senate
representatives when the committee already
had appropriate student and staff
representation in its membership. Those
committees of an academic nature might
appropriately not include a staff member,
while nonacademic committees typically
include staff, students, and faculty in the
membership.
6. The selection pool for university senate
representatives might be broadened to corps
of instruction faculty as the number of
university senate representatives needed
meets or exceeds the number of elected
faculty senators available.

Alex Blazer noted his intention to seek more
information from Executive Cabinet for some of
the committees (that want a university senate
representative) in the current inventory to
address some questions that emerged during
review of the draft. After that confirmation, Alex
intends to review and revise the details in the
inventory document and bring it back for
committee review as early as the 7 Dec 2018
ECUS meeting and no later than 1 Feb 2019
ECUS meeting.
č

### 7 Dec 2018

<u>/ Dec 2018</u>
While two committees need to be confirmed and
a few details need to be clarified, the majority
of the review is complete. Once polished, the
document will be shared with President
Dorman for publication on both the University
and University Senate websites. Following up
on our November discussion regarding elected
faculty senators as well as those from certain
committees and those with certain skill sets
serving on particular committees, the proposed
procedure for the identification and
appointment of University Senate
representatives to university-wide committees is
as follows:
• In February, SCoN confirms both the status
(terminating, continuing, new for following
year) of each committee for the following
year as well as whether the current
representatives will continue serving on
their university-wide committees next year
and makes a list of representative openings.

	• In March, SCoN includes University-Wide
	Committee preferences in the Standing
	Committee Preference poll sent to Elected
	Faculty Senators. The preference poll
	should include not only committee
	preference, but level of interest in serving on
	the committee.
	• At the University Senate Organizational
	Meeting, the University Senate reviews the
	proposed slate of university senate
	representative nominees for university
	committees in addition to the slate of
	nominees for university senate officers and
	positions on university senate committees.
	In each case, the university senate can
	approve the slate either as presented or with
	revisions.
	• During the academic year, when a
	representative resigns from a committee or
	a representative is needed for a new
	committee, SCoN calls for a replacement or
	volunteer, subject to the approval of the
	university senate at its next meeting.
	Those present supported the proposed process
	and Alex Blazer indicated his intent to finish the
	draft by the 1 Feb 2019 ECUS meeting so that
	the process could be implemented this spring
	semester. Alex Blazer invited all members to
	review the draft document that had been
	disseminated at today's meeting and send him
	an email with suggested revisions or
	corrections (if any) to the draft.
	1 Feb 2019
ll	

	 1
Alex Blazer had circulated the most current	
draft of this document to the members of ECUS	
along with the meeting agenda.	
Craig Turner had replied to Alex Blazer prior	
to the meeting with annotations for updates to	
the current draft. A hard copy of this annotated	
draft was circulated to all present at the	
meeting. The annotations included an implicit	
suggestion to list positions rather than people	
in contact and composition sections, some	
editorial revisions to make the document more	
consistent, a clarification of the listing to	
include university senate representatives to	
university wide committees as well as positions	
(SCoN Chair, USGFC Representative,	
Electronic Presence Liaison) filled by members	
of the university senate.	
A protocol for the case when two or more	
candidates accept nominations for a university	
senate representative position was established,	
specifically to forward ALL nominations to the	
university senate for a vote.	
Alex Blazer invited other revisions be emailed	
to him and he will fold the suggestions into a	
draft for ECUS review at its 1 Mar 2019	
meeting.	
1 M 2010	
<u>1 Mar 2019</u>	
Alex Blazer had circulated the most current	
draft of this document to the members of ECUS	
along with the meeting agenda.	
Craig Turner had replied to Alex Blazer prior	
to the meeting with annotations for updates to	
the current draft. A hard copy of this annotated	
draft was circulated to all present at the	
meeting. The annotations were primarily	
editorial (spacing, spelling, consistency in	
terminology, etc.) and also included suggested	

	revisions to the procedures and timeline on	
	page 1 of the document to incorporate the	
	revisions to the process discussed at the last few	
	ECUS meetings. There was no dissent to	
	accepting these proposed modifications.	
	One additional modification was made from the	
	floor: to insert By March 1, SCoN reviews and,	
	when necessary, updates the procedures,	
	timeline, table, and/or committee list of this	
	document. All present were supportive of	
	incorporating this modification.	
	A <u>MOTION</u> To adopt the draft (as revised) of the	
	university senate representatives document as	
	the final draft for 2018-2019 was made,	
	seconded, and approved with no dissenting	
	voice. Alex Blazer was charged to ensure all	
	accepted modifications were incorporated into	
	the final draft. Craig Turner was charged to	
	post the final draft (once received from Alex	
	Blazer) to the university senate website.	
	20 M 2010	
	<u>29 Mar 2019</u>	
	The proposed revisions to the university senate	
	representatives document were adopted by	
	ECUS at its 1 Mar 2019 meeting and the	
	adopted document is now posted to the	
	university senate website. This completes the deliberation on this item.	
2019-2020	<b>5 Oct 2018</b>	
Governance Calendar	An extremely rough draft of the 2019-2020	
Governance Calendar	Governance Calendar was handed out to those	
Alex Blazer	in attendance. University senate officers Alex	
	Blazer, David Johnson, and Craig Turner	
	comprise the work group to draft this calendar	
	for ECUS review. The due date for completion	
	is 1 Apr 2019.	
	All events on the draft require confirmation.	
	There is room for more flexibility than is often	

the case. As one example, spring 2020 classes
begin on 6 Jan 2020 and this allows the option
for the meetings on 6 Dec 2019 to be shifted to
10 Jan 2020.
The Provost requested a copy of the draft
calendar be sent to her by email so that she
could share it with the Executive Cabinet to
facilitate confirmation of events. Craig Turner
indicated that he would forward a copy to the
Provost.
<u>2 Nov 2018</u>
An extremely rough draft of the 2019-2020
Governance Calendar was handed out to those
in attendance. University senate officers Alex
Blazer, David Johnson, and Craig Turner
comprise the work group to draft this calendar
for ECUS review. The due date for completion
<i>is 1 Apr 2019.</i>
It was noted that the extremely rough draft
results from taking the previous year's
governance calendar and updating the
calendar dates and year while trying to keep all
events placed as consistently as possible. All
events on this extremely rough draft are in red
print to indicate that confirmation of each is
required. The current phase is to confirm events
that are advertised on the calendar such as
academic calendar breaks, start of classes, final
exam week, faculty contract start/ end dates,
winter break, state of the university address,
new faculty orientation, service recognition
ceremony, inclusive excellence day, common
reader, etc.
Alex Blazer had agreed to contact individuals
to confirm some of these events and had done
so. He reported that the service recognition
ceremony would immediately follow the state of

the university address on the 2019-2020	
governance calendar. During his consultations,	
Alex received a suggestion to clarify that	
certain breaks were for the academic calendar	
and not necessarily for staff. This would include	
fall break, spring break, and particularly	
Thanksgiving break. Said another way,	
distinguish academic calendar break days from	
days on which the university is officially closed.	
The work group intends to meet prior to the 7	
Dec 2018 ECUS meeting to review the	
placement of the actual governance meetings	
(department, college, university senate and its	
committees, ECUS-SCC) in the Friday from	
2:00p to 5:00p common meeting blocks with the	
goal of making their relative placement as	
consistent as possible. Historically, university	
senate committee meetings are placed at 2:00p	
– 3:15p the first Friday of the month, while	
university senate meetings are placed at 3:30p	
- 4:45p on the third Friday. One change that	
was proposed was to shift the organizational	
meetings of standing committees during which	
committee officers are elected from April to the	
governance retreat.	
7 Dec 2018	
2019-2020 Governance Calendar was handed	
out to those in attendance. University senate	
officers Alex Blazer, David Johnson, and Craig	
Turner comprise the work group to draft this	
calendar for ECUS review. The due date for	
completion is 1 Apr 2019.	
The work group met 13 Nov 2018 to review the	
placement of the actual governance meetings	
(department, college, university senate and its	
committees, ECUS-SCC) in the Friday from	
2:00p to 5:00p common meeting blocks with the	

goal of making their relative placement as
consistent as possible.
• This was done with most months having
the university senate committee and
ECUS=SCC meetings on the first
Friday, College meetings on the second
Friday, and department and university
senate meetings on the third Friday.
• One exception is Feb 2020 when the
state of the university address and
service recognition ceremony are
scheduled for the second Friday
displacing the college meetings to the
fourth Friday of February.
• The terms Break and Holiday are
applied to events for which classes are
cancelled or the university is closed,
respectively.
$\circ$ For example, during the two-day
Fall Break in October 2019, classes
do not meet and the university is
still open with staff reporting to
work.
0 The Wednesday immediately
preceding Thanksgiving is labeled
Thanksgiving Break as again
classes are cancelled and the
university is still open with staff
reporting to work. In contrast, the
Thursday and Friday of
Thanksgiving week are labeled
Thanksgiving Holiday Break as the
university is closed in addition to
classes being canceled.
◦ January 1, 2020 is labeled New
Year's Day Holiday to reflect the
university is closed yet classes were
 not cancelled as this day falls in the

break between fall and spring
semesters.
• The work group requests endorsement
of its proposed placement of the
governance meetings into the Friday
afternoon common meeting blocks.
A <u>MOTION</u> to endorse the proposed placement
of the governance meetings into the Friday
afternoon common meeting blocks was made,
seconded, and approved with no dissenting
voice.
An editorial suggestion from the floor was to
insert academic year – replacing Faculty
Contract Start Date with Academic Year
Faculty Contract Start Date (1 Aug 2019) and
Faculty Contract End Date with Academic Year
Faculty Contract End Date (7 May 2020) – as
there are also faculty with fiscal year contracts
starting 1 July and ending 30 June. This
suggestion was accepted and incorporated into
the draft.
What remains is to confirm the events marked
in red and Provost Brown indicated her
willingness to facilitate most of those
confirmations as Academic Affairs oversees
these events.
Once these events are confirmed, the draft
calendar can be circulated for review and
feedback to department chairs and deans by the
provost and also be presented for review and
feedback to the university senate at its 15 Feb
2019 meeting. Any feedback received from
these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar
2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be
adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019
meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply
with the 1 April completion deadline The latest
draft (dated 13 Nov 2018) of the 2019-2020

1	
	Governance Calendar was handed out to those
	in attendance. University senate officers Alex
	Blazer, David Johnson, and Craig Turner
	comprise the work group to draft this calendar
	for ECUS review. The due date for completion
	<i>is 1 Apr 2019.</i>
	The work group met 13 Nov 2018 to review the
	placement of the actual governance meetings
	(department, college, university senate and its
	committees, ECUS-SCC) in the Friday from
	2:00p to 5:00p common meeting blocks with the
	goal of making their relative placement as
	consistent as possible.
	• This was done with most months having
	the university senate committee and
	ECUS=SCC meetings on the first
	Friday, College meetings on the second
	Friday, and department and university
	senate meetings on the third Friday.
	• One exception is Feb 2020 when the
	state of the university address and
	service recognition ceremony are
	scheduled for the second Friday
	displacing the college meetings to the
	fourth Friday of February.
	• The terms Break and Holiday are
	applied to events for which classes are
	cancelled or the university is closed,
	respectively.
	$\circ$ For example, during the two-day
	Fall Break in October 2019, classes
	do not meet and the university is
	still open with staff reporting to
	work.
	0 The Wednesday immediately
	preceding Thanksgiving is labeled
	Thanksgiving Break as again
	classes are cancelled and the

0		
	university is still open with staff	
	reporting to work. In contrast, the	
	Thursday and Friday of	
	Thanksgiving week are labeled	
	Thanksgiving Holiday Break as the	
	university is closed in addition to	
	classes being canceled.	
	o January 1, 2020 is labeled New	
	Year's Day Holiday to reflect the	
	university is closed yet classes were	
	not cancelled as this day falls in the	
	break between fall and spring	
	semesters.	
	• The work group requests endorsement	
	of its proposed placement of the	
	governance meetings into the Friday	
	afternoon common meeting blocks.	
	A <u>MOTION</u> to endorse the proposed placement	
	of the governance meetings into the Friday	
	afternoon common meeting blocks was made,	
	seconded, and approved with no dissenting	
	voice.	
	An editorial suggestion from the floor was to	
	insert academic year – replacing Faculty	
	Contract Start Date with Academic Year	
	Faculty Contract Start Date (1 Aug 2019) and	
	Faculty Contract End Date with Academic Year	
	Faculty Contract End Date (7 May 2020) – as	
	there are also faculty with fiscal year contracts	
	starting 1 July and ending 30 June. This	
	suggestion was accepted and incorporated into	
	the draft.	
	What remains is to confirm the events marked	
	in red and Provost Brown indicated her	
	willingness to facilitate most of those	
	confirmations as Academic Affairs oversees	
	these events.	
	וועטכ כייכוווט.	

Once these events are confirmed, the draft calendar can be circulated for review and feedback to department chairs and deans by the provost and also be presented for review and feedback to the university senate at its 15 Feb 2019 meeting. Any feedback received from these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline. <b>1 Feb 2019</b>
feedback to department chairs and deans by the provost and also be presented for review and feedback to the university senate at its 15 Feb 2019 meeting. Any feedback received from these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
provost and also be presented for review and feedback to the university senate at its 15 Feb 2019 meeting. Any feedback received from these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
feedback to the university senate at its 15 Feb 2019 meeting. Any feedback received from these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
2019 meeting. Any feedback received from these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
these reviews can be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
2019 ECUS meeting. The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
adopted by ECUS at either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
meeting or its 29 Mar 2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April completion deadline.
with the 1 April completion deadline.
1 Feb 2019
Alex Blazer noted that the placement of
governance meetings for February 2020 to
April 2020 (coded in blue print in the draft)
were revised due to the change in date for the
State of the University Address and Service
Recognition Ceremony to the first Friday in
February 2020. He further noted that all
advertised events had been confirmed with their
respective point persons. A question from the
floor was made to seek confirmation of the
governance retreat placement and it was
confirmed that the governance retreat was
appropriately placed on 13 Aug 2019.
A <b>MOTION</b> to endorse the proposed placement
of the governance meetings into the Friday
afternoon common meeting blocks and to
accept the advertised events (State of the
University Address, Academic Year Faculty
Contract Start/End Dates, New Faculty
Orientation, etc.) that are presently included in
the calendar was made, and seconded.
• A <u>MOTION</u> to amend the draft
governance calendar by interchanging
the placements of the governance

meetings in the 2:00pm to 5:00pm time
slots for 6 Mar 2020 and 13 Mar 2020
was made and seconded. The primary
rationale offered for this modification
was so that the university senate
committee meetings were not scheduled
for the Friday immediately preceding
spring break. This motion to amend was
approved with no further discussion and
no dissenting voice.
The main motion (as amended) was endorsed
with no further discussion and no dissenting
voice.
The endorsed draft governance calendar will
now be circulated for review and feedback to
department chairs and deans by the provost and
also be presented to the university senate for
review and feedback at its 15 Feb 2019 meeting.
Any feedback received from these reviews can
be processed at the 1 Mar 2019 ECUS meeting.
The calendar can then be adopted by ECUS at
either its 1 Mar 2019 meeting or its 29 Mar
2019 meeting to comply with the 1 April
completion deadline.
<u>1 Mar 2019</u>
A hard copy of the 2019-2020 Governance
Calendar was provided to each individual in
attendance. An electronic copy had been
circulated by email as a supporting document
to the meeting agenda prior to this meeting.
Alex Blazer indicated that the review by the
university senate at its 15 Feb 2019 meeting
resulted in no suggested revisions. In addition,
the review by department chairs and deans had
resulted in no suggested revisions. When Alex
Blazer invited any suggested editions from the
floor, there were none. A <u>MOTION</u> To adopt the

	2019-2020 Governance Calendar was made,	
	seconded and approved with no further	
	discussion and no dissenting voice. Craig	
	Turner was charged to post the final draft of the	
	2019-2020 Governance Calendar to the	
	university senate website.	
	29 Mar 2019	
	The 2019-2020 Governance Calendar was	
	approved by the executive committee at its 1	
	Mar 2019 meeting, and is now posted to the	
	university senate website. This completes the	
	deliberation on this item.	
VII. New Business		
Actions/Recommendations		
Recognitions		
8		
Alex Blazer		
Suggestions for ECUS	Alex Blazer invited suggestions for items to	
Annual Report	include in the 2018-2019 ECUS annual report.	
	There were no particular suggestions offered.	
Alex Blazer	Those present were supportive of modeling the	
	2018-2019 ECUS annual report after the 2017-	
	2018 ECUS annual report with appropriate	
	updates.	
Suggestions for Spending	Alex Blazer invited suggestions for ways to	
Balance in Budget	spend down the remaining balance in the	
Č Č	university senate budget which was about	
Alex Blazer	\$1000 after funding the governance retreat	
	supplies and the reimbursement of USGFC	
	meeting attendees.	
	The discussion explored some different options	
	yet did not result in any specific items to buy.	
	• <u>Governance Retreat Binders</u> In an	
	attempt to defray copying costs of the items	
	populating the governance retreat binders,	
	it was suggested (and adopted by those	
	present) that the survey to governance	
	present) that the survey to governance	

	retreat invitees offer the invitees the option	
	to receive the retreat binder handouts in pdf	
	form rather than as hard copies. Thus,	
	copies of the governance retreat documents	
	will be made for only the invitees who	
	prefer a hard copy to a pdf copy.	
	AAUP Redbooks for Committee Chairs	
	Given the recently received access to the e-	
	book version of the Redbook, it was	
	suggested (and adopted by those present)	
	that the 2019-2020 leaders (ECUS	
	members and standing committee chairs)	
	be offered the option of getting the	
	Redbook in its e-book form and purchase	
	hard copies for only those 2019-2020	
	leaders who prefer the hard copy form to	
	the e-book form.	
Open Discussion	Alex Blazer invited open discussion.	
	1. Faculty Salary Review Task Force John	
	Swinton noted that the task force	
	completed its review of the HR-CUPA and	
	Georgia College Salary information for the	
	2019-2020 academic year for each CIP	
	(Classification of Instructional Program)	
	code and each faculty rank (Lecturer,	
	Senior Lecturer, Assistant Professor,	
	Associate Professor, Professor), and is now	
	preparing its report of these data in	
	graphical (box and whisker plots) and	
	tabular form.	
VIII. Next Meeting		
(Tentative Agenda,		
Calendar)		
1. Calendar	2018-2019 University Senate (Final Meeting)	
	19 Apr 2019 @ 2:00pm Uni. Senate A&S 2-72	
	2019-2020 University Senate (Org. Meeting)	
	19 Apr 2019 @ 3:30pm Uni. Senate (Org. Weeting)	
μ	$17 \text{ Mpi} 2017 \oplus 5.50 \text{pin} 0 \text{ m}$ . Senate Add $2^{-1}2$	

2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today may have generated tentative agenda items for future ECUS and ECUS-SCC meetings.		Alex Blazer to ensure that such items (if any) are added to recommendations
	Leob and Leob See meetings.		to the 2019-2020 ECUS as items in the 2018-2019 ECUS annual report.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider, a <u>MOTION</u> to adjourn the meeting was made and seconded.	5	

#### **Distribution:**

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

#### Approved by:\_\_\_

Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

# **COMMITTEE NAME** EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) WITH STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS **COMMITTEE OFFICERS** ALEX BLAZER (CHAIR), DAVID JOHNSON (VICE-CHAIR), CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY) **ACADEMIC YEAR** 2018-2019

### AGGREGATE MEMBER ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR "P" denotes resent, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets

Acronyms	EFS = Elected Fac	EFS = Elected Faculty Senator;									
Acronyms	CoAS = College o	CoAS = College of Arts and Sciences, CoB = College of Business; CoE = College of Education; CoHS = College of Health Sciences									
Meeting Dates		9/7/2018	10/5/2018	11/2/2018	12/7/2018	2/1/2019	3/1/2019	3/30/2019	Present	Regrets	Absent
Donna Bennett EFS; Library; E	CUS Member	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	7	0	0
Alex Blazer EFS; CoAS; EC	US Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	7	0	0
Kelli Brown <i>Provost</i>		Р	Р	R	Р	R	R	R	3	4	0
Nicole DeClouett EFS; CoE; ECU	te IS Chair Emeritus	Р	R	Р	R	R	Р	R	3	4	0
Steve Dorman University Presi	dent	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	0	7	0
Glynnis Haley EFS; CoHS; EC	US Member	Р	R	Р	Р	R	Р	R	4	3	0
David Johnson EFS; CoAS; EC	US Vice-Chair	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	7	0	0
John Swinton EFS; CoB; ECU	'S Member	Р	R	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	6	1	0
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; EC	US Secretary	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	7	0	0
CHAIRPERSON	SIGNATURE	'URE OATE									

chair at committee discretion)